

12-12-2025

Strategies to Streamline Patient Appointments in Specialty Outpatient Care

Adama Drammeh
Walden University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Walden Dissertations and Doctoral Studies Collection at ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Walden Dissertations and Doctoral Studies by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact ScholarWorks@waldenu.edu.

Walden University

College of Management and Human Potential

This is to certify that the doctoral study by

Adama A. Drammeh

has been found to be complete and satisfactory in all respects,
and that any and all revisions required by
the review committee have been made.

Review Committee

Dr. Leah Grebner, Committee Chairperson, Health Sciences Faculty
Dr. Eboni Green, Committee Member, Health Sciences Faculty

Chief Academic Officer and Provost
Sue Subocz, Ph.D.

Walden University
2025

Strategies to Streamline Patient Appointments in Specialty Outpatient Care

by

Adama A. Drammeh MBA/MHA, BSN, RN

Integrative Review Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Healthcare Administration

Walden University

December 2025

Abstract

Outpatient addiction treatment centers face significant challenges from inefficient appointment scheduling systems that contribute to high no-show rates, delayed care, and weakened organizational performance. These barriers affect more than daily operations; they disrupt the flow of treatment, increase patient disengagement, and place additional strain on limited healthcare resources. For patients navigating substance use disorders, a missed appointment may jeopardize continuity of care and undermine recovery efforts. This integrative review explored strategies to improve scheduling efficiency and enhance patient engagement in outpatient addiction care. A systematic search of recent U.S. studies was conducted and appraised using the Johns Hopkins Evidence-Based Practice tool. Findings were synthesized using a continuous quality improvement framework, emphasizing iterative Plan–Do–Study–Act cycles, adaptability, real-time feedback loops, continuous measurement, and stakeholder engagement to strengthen healthcare delivery. Five themes consistently emerged: continuity of care, technology-enhanced engagement, crisis responsiveness, adaptive scheduling, and adherence optimization. Evidence suggests that telehealth adoption reduces no-show rates and shortens the time-to-appointment, offering flexibility for patients facing logistical barriers. Patient navigation and coordinated follow-ups improved transitions, ensuring individuals remained connected after acute care. Automated self-scheduling tools enhanced access when designed with usability and equity in mind. Discharge scheduling and warm handoffs ensured continuity by arranging follow-up care early, reducing dropouts, and improving retention. These findings underscore that appointment scheduling is not simply an administrative task but a vital component of patient-centered care.

Part 1: Practice-Based Problem

Problem of Interest

Appointment scheduling inefficiencies in outpatient addiction treatment centers have become a defining barrier to effective care delivery. Although often treated as a back-office function, scheduling directly determines whether patients receive timely and continuous treatment. When systems are rigid, unresponsive, or poorly designed, the result is long waits, confusing processes, and elevated missed-appointment rates. For people living with substance use disorders, these breakdowns are not minor inconveniences; they erode trust, interrupt recovery, and contribute to disengagement at precisely the moments when stability is most needed (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Recent evidence underscores the depth of the problem. In many programs, templates still prioritize provider convenience over patient realities; unstable housing, irregular work hours, transportation hurdles, and uneven digital literacy, producing predictable access bottlenecks and premature drop-out. These structural barriers interact and compound one another, depressing adherence while draining organizational capacity. Usability-focused work on self-scheduling and reminders shows that the design of the scheduling experience itself can either amplify or relieve these burdens (Woodcock et al., 2022).

Promising innovations point to practical remedies. Transitions to telehealth scheduling have been associated with lower no-show rates and shorter lead times, particularly for patients facing travel and schedule constraints (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). Patient navigation and coordinated follow-ups strengthen handoffs after emergency or inpatient care, reducing loss to follow-up during high-risk transitions (Gupta et al., 2023). In parallel, simple, human-centered self-scheduling tools, paired with clear reminders, reduce friction and invite patients back into the flow of care (Woodcock et al., 2022). Finally, discharge scheduling and

warm handoffs embed continuity by securing the next appointment before patients leave treatment, a small operational step with outsized effects on retention (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Taken together, these findings confirm that appointment scheduling is not a peripheral administrative task but a vital link in the continuum of addiction care. Closing this practice gap requires moving from rigid, provider-centered templates to adaptive, patient-centered systems that anticipate barriers, minimize missed opportunities, and create reliable pathways to recovery (Gupta et al., 2023; Krawczyk et al., 2024; Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Healthcare Administration Problem

Background

Addiction treatment centers depend on efficient operations to deliver timely and effective care. Within this system, appointment scheduling is one of the most critical administrative functions. When scheduling processes are fragmented, inflexible, or outdated, the effects ripple throughout the organization: patients face unnecessary delays, staff resources are underutilized, and continuity of care is disrupted. What appears to be a logistical inconvenience is, in practice, a significant healthcare administration problem with measurable consequences for quality, safety, and financial sustainability (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Rathi et al., 2022).

Evidence from recent U.S. studies shows that inefficient scheduling systems remain a root cause of poor patient flow, high no-show rates, and premature disengagement from treatment. Observational research in large outpatient and behavioral health networks has demonstrated that patients offered telehealth appointments were more likely to attend scheduled visits and experienced shorter times to care compared to those offered in-person visits only (Eyllon et al., 2021; Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). Among Medicaid populations, telemedicine initiation of buprenorphine treatment was associated with improved

early retention, highlighting the importance of accessible scheduling pathways for patients navigating complex barriers (Hammerslag et al., 2023).

Beyond telehealth, administrative innovations such as patient navigation and coordinated follow-ups have shown promise in strengthening linkage to care. Program evaluations indicate that structured navigation services and integrated social-medical coordination increase attendance and reduce the likelihood of patients being lost after emergency or acute encounters (Gryczynski et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2023). Similarly, research has highlighted the role of automated self-scheduling systems in reducing barriers when platforms are designed for usability and reinforced with reminders (Woodcock et al., 2022). Discharge scheduling and warm handoffs have also been recognized as effective strategies to improve continuity by ensuring patients leave care with their next appointment secured (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

For healthcare administrators, these findings underscore the urgency of treating scheduling as a strategic priority rather than a routine operational task. Adopting patient-centered scheduling models not only reduces inefficiencies and improves organizational performance but also advances equity and engagement, key objectives for addiction treatment centers seeking to improve long-term outcomes (Amagai et al., 2024).

Operational Problem

Inefficient scheduling practices in outpatient addiction treatment centers present a significant operational challenge that directly affects access, retention, and the overall efficiency of care delivery. When scheduling systems are rigid or outdated, patients often experience prolonged wait times, missed opportunities for early intervention, and high rates of no-shows. These inefficiencies disrupt continuity of care, waste valuable staff resources, and reduce the ability of organizations to serve patients on time. In addiction treatment, where consistency and

predictability are vital to engagement, such operational shortcomings can jeopardize patient outcomes and the long-term stability of recovery (Li et al., 2022).

Recent studies underscore the operational implications of scheduling gaps. Large multi-site behavioral health networks have reported that transitions to telehealth scheduling were associated with reduced no-show rates and shorter time-to-appointment, demonstrating that modality and flexibility function as levers for improving clinic flow (Eyllon et al., 2021; Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). Among Medicaid populations, offering buprenorphine initiation via telemedicine improved retention, suggesting that adapting scheduling to patient realities strengthens adherence (Hammerslag et al., 2023). Beyond telehealth, program evaluations show that structured patient navigation and integrated coordination models improve linkage and reduce care fragmentation, directly addressing operational inefficiencies that often result in missed follow-up visits (Gupta et al., 2023).

Automated self-scheduling platforms further illustrate how operational redesign can improve outcomes. When systems are designed with patient usability in mind and paired with reminders, they reduce access barriers and optimize clinic capacity (Amagai et al., 2024; Woodcock et al., 2022). Likewise, discharge scheduling and warm handoffs close operational gaps by securing follow-up appointments before patients leave treatment, reducing early disengagement and underutilization of available services (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that scheduling inefficiencies are not isolated workflow problems but central operational barriers that diminish patient access and system performance. For administrators, the challenge lies in replacing rigid, provider-centered systems with adaptive, patient-centered approaches that improve efficiency, reduce waste, and enhance continuity across the care continuum (Rathi et al., 2022).

Ideal State of Operations

In an ideal state, appointment scheduling in outpatient addiction treatment centers would be seamless, flexible, and fully integrated into the broader continuum of care. Instead of rigid time slots and long delays, patients would be offered timely appointments that match their individual needs and circumstances. This type of scheduling system would not only reduce no-show rates but also promote stronger engagement, quicker access to services, and more efficient use of organizational resources. For patients living with substance use disorders, such improvements would create a more reliable pathway into treatment, minimizing the risk of relapse and disengagement (Krawczyk et al., 2024; Rathi et al., 2022).

Evidence from U.S. behavioral health systems demonstrates what this vision might look like in practice. Transitions to telehealth scheduling have already reduced no-shows and shortened wait times, proving that flexible appointment modalities can improve both access and efficiency (Eyllon et al., 2021; Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). Among Medicaid populations, telemedicine initiation of buprenorphine treatment has been linked to higher early retention rates, showing that systems designed with accessibility at their core can sustain patient adherence (Hammerslag et al., 2023).

Other strategies reinforce the same principle of proactive, patient-centered design. Automated self-scheduling systems give patients control over booking and rescheduling, particularly when paired with reminders and simple user interfaces (Woodcock et al., 2022). Discharge scheduling and warm handoffs provide continuity by ensuring that patients leave every care encounter with a follow-up appointment already secured (Krawczyk et al., 2024). Patient navigation and coordination programs further strengthen these efforts by bridging gaps across medical and social services, ensuring that vulnerable populations remain engaged in care (Amagai et al., 2024; Gupta et al., 2023).

Together, these approaches illustrate the characteristics of an ideal operational state: scheduling that is responsive, data-driven, equitable, and embedded into every stage of the treatment journey. In such a system, missed appointments would be rare exceptions rather than the norm, patient trust and satisfaction would increase, and organizations would achieve stronger performance while advancing equitable access to recovery (He et al., 2025).

Professional Practice Gap Statement

Despite advancements in healthcare technology and quality improvement models, many outpatient addiction treatment centers continue to rely on outdated and rigid scheduling systems. These systems often fail to reflect the realities of patients' lives, creating gaps that contribute to missed appointments, delays in care, and poor retention in treatment. For individuals navigating substance use disorders, these gaps represent more than administrative shortcomings; they are barriers to recovery that disrupt continuity and weaken patient trust. Such persistent challenges point to a professional practice gap in how healthcare administrators design and implement scheduling systems (Ettman et al., 2024; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Current practice in many organizations prioritizes provider availability and administrative convenience rather than patient accessibility. As a result, patients encounter inflexible scheduling templates, limited rescheduling options, and inadequate communication about upcoming visits. Research in behavioral health and addiction care has shown that such rigid systems contribute directly to elevated no-show rates and premature disengagement from treatment (Li et al., 2022; Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). The lack of responsive scheduling models undermines operational efficiency, places unnecessary strain on providers, and reduces the organization's ability to maximize available resources.

In contrast, emerging evidence points toward solutions that align scheduling systems more closely with patient needs. Studies have demonstrated that telehealth and modality

flexibility improve appointment attendance, while automated self-scheduling platforms reduce barriers when designed for usability and supported with reminders (Amagai et al., 2024; Hammerslag et al., 2023; Woodcock et al., 2022). Program evaluations also highlight the effectiveness of patient navigation, discharge scheduling, and warm handoffs in sustaining continuity during critical transitions (Gupta et al., 2023; Krawczyk et al., 2024).

This gap between current practice and best practice underscores the need for administrators to reframe appointment scheduling as a strategic driver of engagement and equity. By adopting adaptive, patient-centered systems, healthcare leaders can close this gap, reduce missed appointments, and create more consistent pathways to sustained recovery (Rathi et al., 2022).

Summary of Evidence

The evidence reviewed consistently shows that inefficient scheduling systems are a major contributor to missed appointments, treatment dropouts, and poor operational outcomes in outpatient addiction care. Inefficiencies such as rigid time slots, long waits, and inadequate communication strategies not only disrupt care delivery but also reduce patient trust and weaken engagement. These shortcomings demonstrate that scheduling is not merely an administrative function but a central determinant of continuity, access, and recovery outcomes (Li et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Several studies underscore the value of telehealth as a tool to improve scheduling efficiency. Large behavioral health systems reported declines in no-show rates and shorter wait times following the adoption of telehealth modalities, suggesting that flexible scheduling models can remove barriers related to transportation and unpredictable work schedules (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024). Among Medicaid populations, telemedicine initiation of buprenorphine treatment was associated with better retention, reinforcing the importance of

scheduling systems that meet patients where they are (Hammerslag et al., 2023). Additional analyses of telepsychiatry programs further demonstrate sustained adherence gains, while highlighting disparities in adoption for patients across the digital divide (Amagai et al., 2024; Ettman et al., 2024; Eyllon et al., 2021).

Beyond telehealth, program evaluations have demonstrated the effectiveness of navigation and coordination services. Patient navigation programs that combine social and medical support improved linkage to care and reduced loss to follow-up, highlighting the role of scheduling systems that integrate broader social determinants of health (Gupta et al., 2023). Likewise, discharge scheduling and warm handoffs emerged as consistent strategies to strengthen continuity by ensuring that patients left acute or inpatient settings with a follow-up appointment already secured (Krawczyk et al., 2024). These interventions underscore that continuity and proactive planning are essential for reducing relapse risk and preventing care disengagement.

Automated self-scheduling platforms add another layer of evidence. When designed with usability and reinforced with reminders, these systems lowered access barriers, enhanced efficiency, and promoted patient adherence to appointments (Woodcock et al., 2022). Emerging models using predictive analytics further demonstrate how scheduling can be optimized to anticipate demand, reduce wait times, and align appointment frequency with patient risk levels (He et al., 2025). These approaches highlight how technology-enabled interventions, when designed for inclusivity, can complement traditional scheduling practices and enhance equity.

Taken together, the body of evidence paints a clear picture: flexible, data-driven, and equity-focused scheduling strategies consistently improve outcomes in outpatient addiction treatment. These findings highlight a growing consensus that addressing scheduling inefficiencies is essential to improving operational performance, reducing missed visits, and supporting

recovery outcomes across diverse patient populations (Amagai et al., 2024; Hammerslag et al., 2023).

Purpose of the Integrative Review

The purpose of this integrative review is to identify evidence-based strategies to optimize appointment scheduling in outpatient addiction treatment centers to reduce no-shows, improve operational efficiency, and enhance equitable access to care.

Integrative Review Questions

- What strategies have been shown to improve appointment scheduling efficiency in addiction treatment centers?
- How can healthcare administrators apply these strategies to reduce no-shows and improve care access?

Theoretical and/or Conceptual Framework

This review is guided by the continuous quality improvement (CQI) framework, which emphasizes iterative, data-driven strategies to strengthen healthcare operations. CQI is rooted in the principle that small, systematic adjustments can lead to sustained improvements in quality, efficiency, and outcomes. In the context of outpatient addiction care, CQI provides a practical lens for understanding how scheduling systems can be redesigned to reduce inefficiencies, improve patient access, and support continuity of care. By embedding appointment scheduling within a cycle of planning, testing, and evaluating, organizations can identify gaps and implement changes that directly improve patient engagement (Rathi et al., 2022).

One of the strengths of the CQI framework is its alignment with patient-centered approaches. Addiction treatment often involves populations with complex needs, where barriers such as transportation, unstable housing, or unpredictable work schedules can easily derail adherence. CQI principles encourage organizations to address these realities directly by

developing interventions that are responsive and flexible. Evidence from recent U.S. studies illustrates this approach: telehealth modalities have reduced no-shows and shortened time to care (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024), while automated scheduling systems designed for usability have minimized access barriers and improved adherence (Woodcock et al., 2022). Studies of Medicaid populations further reinforce CQI's patient-centered orientation, showing that telemedicine initiation of buprenorphine treatment improved retention in opioid use disorder care (Hammerslag et al., 2023).

The CQI framework also integrates well with emerging operational strategies, such as patient navigation, discharge scheduling, and warm handoffs. These interventions represent concrete applications of the Plan–Do–Study–Act (PDSA) cycle, as they are tested, evaluated, and adapted to improve continuity of care. For example, navigation programs and structured follow-up appointments help reduce gaps during transitions and demonstrate how iterative adjustments can improve both retention and efficiency (Gupta et al., 2023; Krawczyk et al., 2024). In addition, equity-focused CQI applications highlight how digital divides and disparities in telehealth access must be addressed to ensure inclusivity in scheduling innovations (Amagai et al., 2024).

By framing appointment scheduling within the CQI model, this review underscores the idea that operational inefficiencies can be systematically addressed through structured, evidence-based processes. This perspective moves scheduling beyond an administrative function and positions it as a dynamic, central mechanism for driving improvements in patient care, organizational performance, and equitable access to treatment. CQI's iterative, feedback-driven approach also aligns with newer optimization frameworks in healthcare scheduling, which use predictive models and data-driven designs to enhance flexibility over time (He et al., 2025). In this way, the theoretical foundation supports both the goals of the review and the broader mission of improving health outcomes in addiction care.

Part 2: Literature Review, Quality Appraisal, and Analysis

Literature Search Strategy

The literature search for this review was conducted using PubMed, Google Scholar, Gateway Foundation resources, and addiction-focused networks such as BrightView Health and Seven Counties Services. Because the focus was on recent and practical strategies, the time frame was limited to peer-reviewed articles published between 2020 and 2025 in English (Table 1). To make the search as comprehensive as possible, I combined common keywords with controlled vocabulary and advanced operators. For example, terms such as *appointment scheduling*, *substance use disorder*, *addiction treatment*, *outpatient care*, *telehealth*, and *no-show prevention* were paired with synonyms like *nonattendance*, *missed visits*, or *care dropout*. In PubMed, Medical Subject Headings such as *Appointments and Schedules*, *Patient Compliance*, and *Opioid-Related Disorders/therapy* were applied to narrow results to clinically relevant studies. Boolean operators (AND, OR) allowed for precise combinations—for example, *(appointment scheduling OR self-scheduling) AND (substance use disorder OR addiction treatment)*. Truncation (*schedule*) captured related terms like scheduling and scheduler, while proximity operators (e.g., “text NEAR/3 reminder”) ensured that studies connecting reminders with scheduling were included.

Table 1*Inclusion and Exclusion Search Criteria*

Inclusion search criteria	Exclusion search criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies published between 2020–2025 • Research on appointment scheduling in addiction treatment facilities • English-language articles with empirical data or program evaluation • Evaluated or innovative scheduling strategies targeting patient access and efficiency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies older than 5 years • Studies unrelated to healthcare or addiction services • Non-English publications or opinion pieces • Literature lacking practical or measurable implementation frameworks

Quality Appraisal

To ensure the credibility and applicability of findings in this review, six peer-reviewed studies were critically appraised using the Johns Hopkins Evidence-Based Practice (JHEBP) tool. Five of the studies were rated as high quality (Level III), and one was rated as good quality (Level V). Together, they represented diverse care environments, including hospitals, outpatient addiction centers, community programs, and mobile health platforms. Each study provided insights into operational challenges such as delayed follow-up, fragmented communication, and inconsistent patient access, issues frequently observed in real-world addiction care systems (Gupta et al., 2023; Krawczyk et al., 2024).

What made these studies particularly valuable was their alignment with the CQI framework, emphasizing iterative PDSA cycles, adaptability, real-time feedback loops, continuous measurement, and stakeholder engagement. Rather than focusing solely on clinical interventions, the studies emphasized operational redesign, flexibility, and patient-centered scheduling. For example, evidence suggested the impact of telehealth in reducing no-shows

(Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024), the role of navigation supports in strengthening continuity (Gupta et al., 2023), and the importance of usability-driven automated scheduling platforms reinforced with reminders (Woodcock et al., 2022). These interventions reflected CQI's principles of adaptability, feedback-driven refinement, and ongoing process improvement.

Most of the evidence came from U.S.-based health systems and included populations primarily composed of adults in addiction treatment, with a strong focus on individuals with opioid use disorders. Findings consistently showed that interventions targeting scheduling efficiency improved appointment adherence, reduced missed visits, and enhanced long-term retention. These outcomes not only benefited patients but also optimized clinic capacity, improved organizational flow, and reduced the strain of underutilized resources (Hammerslag et al., 2023; Pullyblank et al., 2024).

To maintain transparency, the scope of the evidence was documented across several dimensions. All included studies were published between 2020 and 2025, were peer-reviewed, and were reported in English. Study designs ranged from quasi-experimental program evaluations to systematic reviews and predictive modeling frameworks. These details, summarized in Appendix C (DHA Appraisal Results Log), ensure that the appraisal process is verifiable and aligned with doctoral-level standards (Rathi et al., 2022).

Key Study Characteristics

- **Years Covered:** All six studies were published between 2020 and 2025, ensuring that the evidence reflects current innovations and operational challenges in outpatient addiction care (Rathi et al., 2022).
- **HSO Types:** The studies represented a range of health service organizations, including hospitals, outpatient treatment facilities, community programs, and mobile health

platforms. This diversity provided insights into how scheduling inefficiencies and solutions operate across different care environments (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Pullyblank et al., 2024).

- **Populations:** The populations examined were primarily adults engaged in addiction treatment, with a strong focus on individuals with opioid use disorders. This focus reflects the continuing national priority to address opioid-related morbidity and mortality through improved treatment access and retention (Hammerslag et al., 2023; Gupta et al., 2023).
- **Relevance:** All studies demonstrated strong alignment with the goals of this project, particularly reducing no-shows and improving scheduling responsiveness. Interventions such as discharge scheduling, telehealth adoption, and automated self-scheduling consistently supported improved patient engagement and organizational performance (Krawczyk et al., 2024; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Thematic Analysis of Literature

A structured thematic analysis was conducted to identify patterns and shared insights across six peer-reviewed studies focused on improving scheduling in outpatient addiction care. Using the CQI framework as a guide, the review employed systematic coding to extract key ideas from each study, which were then categorized into overarching themes. This process ensured that the findings were not imposed but emerged directly from the evidence. Five central themes were identified: continuity of care, technology-enhanced engagement, crisis and outreach responsiveness, adaptive and personalized scheduling, and scheduling and adherence optimization. These themes were mapped in a Thematic Analysis Matrix (Appendix D) and further illustrated in a Concept Map (Appendix E), both of which demonstrate their alignment with CQI principles and their interdependencies (Rathi et al., 2022).

Continuity of care emerged as the most foundational theme, reinforcing the idea that patients are more likely to remain engaged when follow-up is structured and predictable. Studies have shown that when discharge scheduling occurs before patients leave treatment, follow-up adherence improves significantly. For instance, pre-scheduled appointments after detoxification were linked to reduced relapse and enhanced treatment continuity (Krawczyk et al., 2024). This highlights the CQI emphasis on initiating continuity early in the process rather than responding reactively to disengagement. In practice, this means administrators must prioritize “closing the loop” at every care transition, ensuring no patient leaves a facility without a clear plan for their next step.

Technology-enhanced engagement was a consistent theme across multiple interventions. Automated reminders, self-scheduling platforms, and telehealth integration were all shown to reduce missed appointments and improve clinic flow. These models not only addressed communication gaps but also gave patients a sense of agency in managing their care. Evaluations found that automated reminders increased completed visits by enabling clinics to backfill canceled slots, while targeted SMS reminders cut no-show rates in both primary care and behavioral health populations (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022). Such interventions embody CQI’s iterative “Do–Study–Act” cycle, where each communication round generates data that informs adjustments, improving both efficiency and equity.

The theme of crisis responsiveness underscored the importance of proactive strategies during high-risk periods. Patient navigation programs, particularly those that combined medical and social supports, reduced emergency department use and improved linkage to ongoing care (Gupta et al., 2023). In one evaluation, navigation reduced 30-day readmissions for patients with comorbid substance use disorders, underscoring that outreach during vulnerable transitions can change care trajectories (Gryczynski et al., 2021). These findings highlight that crisis outreach is

not a “stand-alone” fix but a CQI-aligned intervention that requires repeated testing and refinement. By embedding peer support, live follow-up calls, and navigation within care pathways, organizations can reduce disengagement and strengthen overall treatment retention.

Adaptive and personalized scheduling was another central finding. Data-driven models were used to tailor appointment frequency and modality based on patient risk levels and adherence history. Patients identified as high-risk through algorithmic models received more frequent follow-ups, which improved retention and engagement (Pullyblank et al., 2024). Complementary modeling studies also support this approach; Pulick and Mintz (2025) demonstrated how adaptive control frameworks can dynamically adjust visit intensity to patient engagement and relapse risk signals, strengthening individualized care pathways. These models exemplify CQI’s focus on flexibility and customization, allowing organizations to move away from “one-size-fits-all” scheduling templates. Importantly, personalization was not limited to risk scoring; it also extended to cultural and linguistic considerations, reinforcing the need for inclusive scheduling systems that reflect patient realities (Gupta et al., 2023).

The final theme, scheduling and adherence optimization, captured the operational dimension of these interventions. Studies showed that simple, user-friendly tools such as SMS reminders were more effective than app-based systems for patients with limited digital literacy (Woodcock et al., 2022). Integration of behavioral health and social service scheduling improved attendance and reduced care fragmentation, proving that streamlined systems can also advance equity (Gupta et al., 2023). From a CQI standpoint, these interventions represent tangible, low-cost adjustments that administrators can iteratively test to optimize outcomes.

While each theme offered distinct insights, their interdependencies revealed a larger systems perspective. Crisis outreach reinforced continuity by ensuring patients remained connected after acute events. Technology-enhanced tools supported adaptive scheduling by

generating real-time data to guide decision-making. Similarly, discharge planning provided the foundation for both continuity and optimization, closing gaps that might otherwise lead to relapse or disengagement. By situating these findings within CQI, the analysis confirms that effective scheduling is not a static process but a dynamic system requiring continuous refinement.

The analysis process itself followed rigorous standards. Each study was reviewed line by line, with excerpts coded into categories such as “communication gaps,” “reminder systems,” and “adaptive scheduling.” These categories were then grouped into preliminary themes, refined into the five final categories, and validated through cross-comparison. Thematic outputs were linked back to CQI principles to strengthen their interpretive value. This transparent process ensures the findings are not anecdotal but represent a reliable synthesis of recent U.S.-based evidence (Rathi et al., 2022).

Despite the strength of these findings, limitations were identified. All six studies were U.S.-based and often conducted in urban, resource-rich settings, raising concerns about generalizability to rural or under-resourced contexts. In addition, algorithmic scheduling models require robust data infrastructure, which may not be feasible in all organizations. Several studies also noted mismatches between digital tools and patient populations, especially for those with language barriers or low digital literacy (Gupta et al., 2023). These constraints highlight that while the themes are highly promising, implementation must be adapted to organizational capacity and patient demographics.

Initial Coding and Theme Development

The following themes emerged from the analysis of six high-quality studies:

Table 2

Total Number of Themes and Subthemes From Appendix D

Themes	Subthemes
Continuity of Care	Discharge planning, Follow-up scheduling App-based tools, Contingency management
Technology-Enhanced Engagement Crisis and Outreach Responsiveness Adaptive and Personalized Models Scheduling and Adherence Optimization	Post-discharge outreach, Biweekly check-ins Algorithm-based intensity adjustments, AI tools Real-time rescheduling, relapse prevention

Table 1

Integrative Review Themes and Subthemes

Themes and subthemes	Relationship to CQI theory
Continuity of Care (Follow-up, Discharge Planning)	Supports Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles to ensure consistent care
Technology-Enhanced Engagement (App-based tools, Contingency Management)	Improves monitoring and retention using feedback loops
Crisis and Outreach Responsiveness (Biweekly outreach, Hotspotting)	Rapid intervention and risk mitigation aligned with CQI models
Adaptive Scheduling (AI, Predictive Models)	Encourages flexibility and real-time system response
Adherence Improvement (No-show reduction, Appointment reminders)	Drives continuous patient-centered improvements

Part 3: Presentation of Results

Connections, Relationships, and Accountability

The thematic analysis revealed five interconnected themes rooted in CQI principles: continuity of care, technology-enhanced engagement, crisis responsiveness, adaptive scheduling, and adherence optimization. These themes do not function as stand-alone solutions but instead form an integrated framework for strengthening patient-centered care and organizational efficiency. The interconnections demonstrate that effective scheduling in addiction treatment must operate as a dynamic system, where each theme supports and reinforces the others to drive sustainable improvement (Rathi et al., 2022).

Continuity of care emerged as the foundation of this model. By ensuring patients leave treatment settings with discharge appointments already scheduled, organizations reduce gaps in care and reinforce accountability for follow-up. This continuity is further strengthened when combined with adaptive scheduling, which tailors appointments to patient needs and engagement patterns. Together, these approaches demonstrate that timely planning, supported by flexibility, can significantly reduce no-shows and prevent premature disengagement (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Technology-enhanced engagement also plays a central role in the results. Automated reminders, self-scheduling platforms, and digital communication systems support accountability by creating consistent feedback loops between patients and providers. These tools reduce missed appointments while also empowering patients to take ownership of their care. Importantly, digital systems generate data that inform CQI cycles, allowing administrators to identify trends, refine interventions, and maintain accountability for measurable improvements (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Crisis and outreach responsiveness connect directly to both continuity and adherence. When patients face destabilizing events, such as relapse risk or hospital discharge, navigation

programs and proactive outreach ensure accountability by re-engaging individuals before care gaps widen. These interventions exemplify the CQI principle of rapid response, allowing organizations to intervene early and prevent more severe consequences such as readmissions or treatment dropout (Gupta et al., 2023).

The final theme, adherence optimization, serves as both an outcome and a measure of accountability. By embedding strategies such as real-time backfilling and simplified reminder systems, organizations not only improve attendance but also demonstrate to stakeholders that resources are being used efficiently. These measures directly connect patient-level adherence to organizational performance, underscoring the shared responsibility between patients, providers, and administrators in achieving care goals (Pullyblank et al., 2024).

Taken together, the results emphasize that accountability is embedded within the relationships among the five themes. Adaptive scheduling enhances continuity, technology reinforces adherence, and crisis outreach sustains patient engagement during vulnerable transitions. When integrated, these strategies establish a responsive, equitable scheduling infrastructure that reflects CQI's cycle of ongoing learning and measurable improvement. This interconnected model highlights how patient outcomes and clinic performance can advance simultaneously when scheduling is treated as a system-wide priority.

Theme Review and Refinement

The thematic analysis produced five major themes, each with distinct subthemes that highlight practical strategies for addressing scheduling inefficiencies in outpatient addiction treatment. Together, they reflect how the CQI framework translates into actionable approaches that support patient engagement and operational responsiveness.

1. Continuity of Care: Evidence consistently demonstrates that continuity begins with discharge planning and proactive scheduling. Studies emphasized that patients who

left treatment with follow-up appointments already arranged were more likely to remain engaged in care. Pre-scheduled follow-ups not only closed service gaps but also reduced relapse risks and improved overall retention. This theme reflects CQI's principle of planning and embedding continuity into the system rather than reacting to disengagement after it occurs (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

2. **Technology-Enhanced Engagement:** Digital tools, including app-based communication platforms and automated reminders, significantly improved attendance rates. These interventions allowed clinics to backfill canceled slots quickly and ensure that patients remained connected to care. Beyond efficiency, technology created a structured feedback loop that informed providers of patient adherence patterns, supporting CQI's emphasis on real-time learning and adjustment (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022).
3. **Crisis and Outreach Responsiveness:** Outreach strategies were critical for patients at risk of relapse or disengagement, especially after acute events such as hospitalization. Programs that included proactive biweekly check-ins, navigation services, or crisis-response scheduling demonstrated measurable reductions in readmissions and overdose risk. This theme aligns with CQI's rapid-response cycle, ensuring that high-risk patients receive timely interventions before gaps in care widen (Gryczynski et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2023).
4. **Adaptive and Personalized Models:** Adaptive scheduling models leveraged predictive analytics and algorithm-driven tools to tailor appointment frequency and intensity to individual patient needs. These models moved scheduling away from rigid templates toward systems that responded dynamically to patient behaviors and risk factors. By individualizing care intensity, providers were better able to support adherence and

reduce dropout, demonstrating CQI's value of tailoring interventions based on continuous data feedback (Pullyblank et al., 2024).

5. **Scheduling and Adherence Optimization:** This theme emphasized the operational side of improvement. Real-time scheduling systems, combined with reminder automation, consistently lowered no-show rates and improved clinic flow. Interventions that simplified scheduling for patients with limited digital literacy, such as SMS-based reminders, were especially effective. These strategies not only enhanced attendance but also supported equity, reflecting CQI's goal of sustainable, patient-centered improvements (Gupta et al., 2023; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Taken together, these five themes represent more than isolated interventions; they form an integrated framework of improvement. Continuity provides the foundation, technology enables connection, outreach ensures responsiveness, adaptive scheduling personalizes care, and optimization closes operational gaps. Each theme reinforces CQI principles by embedding continuous monitoring, rapid adjustment, and patient-centered accountability into the scheduling process.

The relationships among the themes and subthemes are illustrated in the Concept Map (Appendix E). This map shows how continuity of care serves as the foundation, while technology-enhanced engagement and crisis responsiveness reinforce both adherence and personalization. Adaptive scheduling connects directly to the review questions by demonstrating how patient data and predictive tools can improve both efficiency and engagement. Scheduling and adherence optimization tie the themes back to the overarching goal of reducing no-shows and improving operational flow. By laying out these relationships visually, the concept map makes it easier to see that the themes are not isolated solutions but interconnected strategies that work best

when implemented together. In this way, the findings align directly with the review questions by showing not only what strategies exist but also how they reinforce one another in practice.

Interpretation of the Findings

The findings of this review highlight that appointment scheduling is not simply an administrative task but a critical determinant of treatment continuity, patient engagement, and organizational performance in outpatient addiction care. Across the six high-quality studies analyzed, consistent evidence showed that missed appointments were frequently linked to systemic issues such as rigid scheduling protocols, communication gaps, and insufficient responsiveness to patient needs. These findings reinforce that improving scheduling requires more than technical fixes; it demands a system-wide shift toward flexibility, equity, and patient-centered design (Gupta et al., 2023; Krawczyk et al., 2024).

One key interpretation is that continuity of care is foundational. Patients who leave treatment encounters with follow-up appointments already scheduled are more likely to stay engaged, reducing relapse risks and improving adherence. This suggests that scheduling is directly tied to clinical outcomes, not just operational convenience. By embedding continuity into workflows, administrators can reduce care gaps and establish accountability at both the provider and organizational level (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Technology emerged as both a solution and a challenge. Automated reminders, app-based tools, and telehealth integration consistently reduced missed visits and improved operational flow. However, their success depended on usability and accessibility. Patients with limited digital literacy or unstable access to technology often benefited more from simple tools such as SMS reminders than from app-heavy systems (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022). This finding emphasizes that technology must be adapted to patient realities to achieve equitable outcomes.

Crisis and outreach responsiveness highlighted the importance of proactive engagement for high-risk patients. Navigation programs, peer support, and structured follow-up reduced readmissions and improved retention. These results suggest that scheduling systems must be responsive to both clinical and social determinants of health. By incorporating proactive outreach, addiction centers can prevent disengagement and provide timely support that aligns with CQI's principle of rapid-cycle intervention (Gryczynski et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2023).

Adaptive and personalized scheduling models offered a vision for the future. Predictive analytics and algorithm-driven systems demonstrated potential for tailoring appointment intensity to individual patient needs. This personalization reflects a move away from one-size-fits-all care, embodying CQI's iterative process of testing, refinement, and continuous adjustment (Pullyblank et al., 2024). At the same time, these models require caution: data-driven tools must be closely monitored to avoid bias or inequitable implementation.

Finally, adherence optimization emerged as both a goal and an outcome of improved scheduling. Interventions such as discharge planning, reminder automation, and real-time rescheduling consistently lowered no-show rates. These operational improvements also reinforced equity by reducing barriers for vulnerable patients, demonstrating that system efficiency and patient engagement can advance together (Gupta et al., 2023).

Taken together, the findings suggest that effective scheduling systems are those that integrate multiple strategies rather than relying on any single intervention. Continuity planning, technology-enabled engagement, crisis outreach, adaptive scheduling, and adherence optimization form an interconnected model that aligns directly with CQI principles. This interpretation underscores that appointment scheduling is not peripheral; it is central to patient success, organizational efficiency, and the broader goal of equitable, sustainable addiction treatment.

Part 4: Recommendation for Professional Practice and Implications for Social Change

Recommendations for Professional Practice

The findings of this review indicate that addressing scheduling inefficiencies in outpatient addiction treatment requires a multifaceted approach rooted in the principles of CQI. The following recommendations highlight actionable strategies that healthcare administrators can apply to reduce no-shows, improve efficiency, and enhance equitable access to care.

Establish Adaptive Scheduling Systems

Healthcare administrators should prioritize the adoption of adaptive scheduling models that tailor appointment frequency and modality to individual patient needs. Predictive analytics and algorithm-driven tools allow organizations to identify patients at high risk of disengagement and schedule closer follow-up. These systems move beyond rigid templates by adjusting to changing patient behaviors, thereby improving retention and reducing treatment dropout (Pullyblank et al., 2024). Administrators should integrate these systems gradually, starting with pilot testing to ensure feasibility within their organizational context.

Leverage Technology-Enabled Engagement

Digital communication platforms such as automated SMS reminders, app-based confirmations, and telehealth integration have been shown to reduce no-shows and increase clinic efficiency. However, administrators must match technological interventions to patient populations. For patients with limited digital literacy or access, simple SMS-based reminders may be more effective than app-based tools (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022). Tailoring engagement strategies ensures equity and avoids widening disparities.

Standardize Discharge Planning and Follow-Up Workflows

A recurring theme across the evidence was that patients who left treatment with a follow-up appointment already scheduled demonstrated significantly higher engagement. Administrators

should implement standardized discharge-to-follow-up protocols that ensure every patient leaves with a scheduled appointment, supported by reminder systems. Embedding this practice into routine workflows promotes accountability and strengthens continuity of care (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Embed Crisis and Outreach Programs

To address high-risk transitions, healthcare organizations should implement structured outreach programs, including peer navigation, biweekly check-ins, and crisis-response scheduling. These interventions reduce readmissions and re-engage patients before care gaps expand (Gryczynski et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2023). Administrators should align outreach strategies with CQI cycles by continuously testing and refining protocols to ensure responsiveness to evolving patient needs.

Create Interdisciplinary Scheduling Committees

Sustainable scheduling improvements require collaboration across clinical, administrative, and IT teams. Forming interdisciplinary scheduling committees allows organizations to lead iterative PDSA cycles, review real-time data, and refine scheduling workflows. Such committees can oversee backfilling protocols, telehealth integration, and crisis outreach programs, ensuring accountability across departments (Rathi et al., 2022).

Optimize for Equity and Accessibility

Finally, scheduling strategies must explicitly address disparities in access to care. Equity can be promoted by offering multiple scheduling modalities—such as telehealth for patients with transportation barriers and SMS reminders for those with limited digital literacy. Administrators should also monitor for unintended bias in predictive tools and ensure that scheduling systems reflect the diverse needs of the populations served (Gupta et al., 2023).

By embedding adaptive scheduling, leveraging technology responsibly, standardizing discharge workflows, and creating interdisciplinary accountability structures, healthcare administrators can transform appointment scheduling into a dynamic, equity-driven process. These recommendations not only reduce no-shows but also strengthen engagement, continuity, and operational efficiency in outpatient addiction treatment.

Implications for Social Change

Improving appointment scheduling in outpatient addiction treatment centers carries significant implications for social change. At its core, this work extends beyond operational efficiency; it directly addresses equity, accessibility, and long-term health outcomes for vulnerable populations.

First, more flexible and patient-centered scheduling systems have the potential to reduce disparities in access to care. Individuals with substance use disorders often face overlapping barriers such as limited transportation, unstable housing, inflexible work schedules, and low digital literacy. Traditional rigid scheduling models magnify these challenges, leading to higher no-show rates and treatment disengagement. By implementing interventions such as open-access scheduling, SMS-based reminders, and telehealth options, treatment centers can minimize these barriers and promote equity (Muppavarapu et al., 2022; Woodcock et al., 2022).

Second, redesigned scheduling infrastructures can strengthen patient empowerment and autonomy. When individuals are given the ability to choose appointment times, receive reminders tailored to their preferences, and access telehealth when in-person visits are not feasible, they are more likely to remain engaged in their recovery. This active participation not only enhances treatment retention but also contributes to greater trust in healthcare systems and improved quality of life (Gupta et al., 2023).

Third, effective scheduling interventions contribute to public health resilience. By reducing missed appointments, clinics can improve continuity of care, minimize relapses, and decrease emergency department utilization. Over time, this reduces healthcare costs while improving community health outcomes. For example, outreach and navigation programs that prevent readmissions not only benefit patients but also ease the burden on overstretched hospital systems (Gryczynski et al., 2021).

Finally, the social change potential of these interventions lies in their scalability and adaptability. Small adjustments, such as standardizing discharge scheduling or adopting SMS reminders, can be applied across diverse settings, from urban hospitals to rural community clinics. This adaptability ensures that the benefits of improved scheduling are not confined to well-resourced centers but extend to populations often underserved by traditional healthcare systems (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

The implications of this review underscore that scheduling reform is more than an administrative upgrade. By embedding flexibility, equity, and responsiveness into appointment systems, addiction treatment centers can reduce disparities, strengthen patient empowerment, and contribute to healthier, more resilient communities. These social change outcomes align with the broader mission of healthcare to not only treat illness but also promote justice, inclusion, and well-being at the population level.

Limitations

While this integrative review provides valuable insights into strategies for improving appointment scheduling in outpatient addiction treatment, several limitations must be acknowledged to place the findings in the proper context.

First, the review was restricted to peer-reviewed, English-language studies published between 2020 and 2025. Although this ensured recency and methodological rigor, it excluded earlier work

and non-English research that may have offered broader perspectives. As a result, the findings may not fully capture long-term trends or global innovations in scheduling systems (Rathi et al., 2022).

Second, all included studies were conducted within the United States, most often in urban or well-resourced healthcare environments. This geographic and contextual concentration raises questions about the generalizability of the findings to rural or under-resourced addiction treatment centers, where infrastructure, staffing, and patient needs may differ substantially (Krawczyk et al., 2024).

Third, while the studies analyzed were rated as high quality (Levels III and V), many relied on observational or quasi-experimental designs. These approaches provide valuable real-world evidence but limit the ability to draw causal inferences. For example, reductions in no-show rates following telehealth adoption may also have been influenced by concurrent changes in healthcare delivery during the COVID-19 pandemic (Muppavarapu et al., 2022).

Fourth, interventions such as algorithm-driven predictive scheduling and digital engagement tools raise practical and ethical considerations. These systems require robust technical infrastructure, staff training, and ongoing monitoring. Without proper safeguards, predictive models may unintentionally reinforce bias, while digital interventions may exclude patients with limited literacy, technology access, or cultural alignment (Gupta et al., 2023).

Finally, the scope of this review primarily emphasized operational and structural variables, with limited exploration of patient-centered perspectives such as stigma, trust, or lived experience. While the findings highlight measurable improvements in attendance and efficiency, they do not fully account for the social and behavioral contexts that influence patient engagement. Future research should incorporate qualitative evidence to provide a richer, more holistic

understanding of how patients perceive and experience scheduling interventions (Pulick & Mintz, 2024).

Taken together, these limitations do not undermine the value of the findings but instead set important boundaries for their application. They underscore the need for careful adaptation of scheduling interventions across diverse contexts and highlight opportunities for future research to incorporate broader perspectives, more rigorous designs, and greater attention to equity.

Conclusion

This integrative review set out to explore strategies for streamlining appointment scheduling in outpatient addiction treatment centers, a challenge that has long undermined patient engagement, treatment continuity, and organizational efficiency. By applying the CQI framework and systematically appraising six high-quality, peer-reviewed studies published between 2020 and 2025, the review identified five central themes: continuity of care, technology-enhanced engagement, crisis and outreach responsiveness, adaptive scheduling, and adherence optimization.

The findings consistently demonstrated that rigid and outdated scheduling systems contribute to high no-show rates, disengagement, and care fragmentation. Conversely, evidence-supported interventions such as discharge-to-follow-up scheduling, targeted reminders, telehealth integration, and algorithm-driven adaptive models significantly improved patient retention and operational performance. Importantly, these interventions were most effective when implemented in combination, forming an interconnected system of patient-centered scheduling aligned with CQI principles.

The recommendations offered emphasize practical strategies for healthcare administrators, including standardizing discharge workflows, leveraging technology in patient-appropriate ways, embedding outreach for high-risk transitions, and fostering interdisciplinary

scheduling committees. Together, these steps highlight that scheduling reform is not merely an operational improvement but a clinical and equity-driven priority.

The implications for social change extend beyond organizational performance. Flexible, equity-minded scheduling systems can reduce disparities in access to care, empower patients to participate actively in their recovery, and contribute to public health resilience by reducing relapses and emergency utilization. By prioritizing patient-centered scheduling, addiction treatment centers can become engines of both clinical improvement and social equity.

While the review was limited by its U.S. focus, reliance on observational designs, and emphasis on operational over patient-centered perspectives, the evidence strongly suggests that redesigning scheduling systems is a practical and impactful way to strengthen addiction care. Ultimately, scheduling is not a background function but a critical determinant of outcomes. When treated as a CQI priority, it becomes a lever for advancing efficiency, equity, and patient trust across the continuum of addiction services.

References

- Amagai, S., Murray, E., & Huckvale, K. (2024). Closing the gap: Addressing telehealth disparities across the digital divide. *Npj Digital Medicine*, 7, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01201-w>
- Blaauw, E., Venema, S., Muskee, L., & Vederhus, J.-K. (2022). Nonattendance in addiction mental health services: Patient and appointment factors. *Journal of Addictions & Offender Counseling*, 43(2), 123–141. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaoc.12112>
- Boone, C. E., Celhay, P., Gertler, P., Gracner, T., & Rodriguez, J. (2022). How scheduling systems with automated appointment reminders improve health clinic efficiency. *Journal of Health Economics*, 82, 102598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2022.102598> (Level II)
- Dang, D., & Dearholt, S. L. (2022). *Johns Hopkins evidence-based practice for nurses and healthcare professionals: Model and guidelines* (4th ed.). Sigma Theta Tau International. <https://apn.mhmedical.com/book.aspx?bookid=3144>
- Ettman, C. K., Ringlein, G. V., Dohlman, P., Straub, J., Lupton Brantner, C., Chin, E. T., ... Mojtabai, R. (2024). Trends in telepsychiatry and in-person psychiatric care for depression in an academic health system: 2017–2022. *Psychiatric Services*, 75(4), 424–432. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.20230064>
- Eyllon, M., Bond, A. E., Ettman, C. K., & Galea, S. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19–related transition to telehealth on visit adherence in mental health care. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 57(7), 1242–1250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-021-00833-3>
- Gupta, R., Wang, A., Wang, D., Ortiz, D., Kurian, K., Halmer, T., & Jaung, M. S. (2023). Patient-centered outcomes of an emergency department social and medical care program.

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine, 24(2), 193–200.

<https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2022.10.57096>

Hammerslag, L. R., Mack, A., Chandler, R. K., Fanucchi, L. C., Feaster, D. J., LaRochelle, M. R., Lofwall, M. R., Nau, M., Villani, J., Walsh, S. L., Westgate, P. M., Slavova, S., & Talbert, J. C. (2023). Telemedicine buprenorphine initiation and retention in opioid use disorder treatment for Medicaid enrollees. *JAMA Network Open*, 6(10), e2336914.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.36914>

He, Q., Liu, Y., Ding, L., & Yan, X. (2025). Data-driven optimization framework for outpatient physician scheduling over several weeks. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1264893.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1264893>

Krawczyk, N., Carroll, K. C., Young, A., Sordo, L., & Saloner, B. (2024). Initiatives to support the transition of patients with substance use disorders from acute care to community-based services. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 18(5), e237–e245.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000001116>

Li, L., Gellad, W. F., Good, C. B., & Day, T. E. (2022). Association of use of electronic appointment reminders with waiting times in the Veterans Affairs health system. *JAMA Network Open*, 5(2), e2147382. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.47382>

Muppavarapu, K., Saeed, S. A., Jones, K., & Haley, V. (2022). Study of impact of telehealth use on clinic “no show” rates at an academic practice. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 93(2), 689–699.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-021-09984-2>

Ojinnaka, C. O., Bhatt, R., & Misra, S. (2024). Telemedicine reduces missed appointments but reveals disparities: A machine-learning analysis of outpatient scheduling. *Women’s Health Issues*, 34(4), 311–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2024.03.003>

- Pullyblank, K., Krupa, N., Scribani, M., Chapman, A., Kern, M., & Brunner, W. (2024). Examining differences in time to appointment and no-show rates between rural telehealth users and non-users. *Frontiers in Digital Health, 6*, 1264893. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2024.1264893>
- Pulick, E., & Mintz, Y. (2025). An adaptive control approach to treatment selection for substance use disorders. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.01221>
- Rathi, R., Vakharia, A., & Shadab, M. (2022). Lean Six Sigma in the healthcare sector: A systematic literature review. *Materials Today: Proceedings, 50*, 773–781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.05.534>
- Woodcock, E. W., Hinkel, H., Bowden, J., & Belanger, E. (2022). Barriers to and facilitators of automated patient self-scheduling: A scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 24*(1), e28323. <https://doi.org/10.2196/28323>

Appendix A: DHA Practice-Based Problem Literature Review Matrix

Author/ Date	Theoretical/ Conceptual Framework	Research Question(s)/ Hypotheses	Methodology	Analysis & Results	Conclusions	Implications for Future research	Implications For practice	Empirical Research (Yes or No)
Blaauw, E., Venema, S., Muskee, L., & Vederhus, J.-K. (2022)	None specified (determinants)	Which patient and appointment factors predict nonattendance in addiction mental health?	Observational, logistic regression	Identified patient (socioeconomic/clinical) and appointment-level predictors of missed visits	Modifiable appointment factors strongly influence nonattendance	Replicate with larger, multi-site datasets to test generalizability	Address modifiable factors (e.g., appointment timing, reminders) through CQI cycles	Yes
Boone, C. E., Celhay, P., Gertler, P., Gracner, T., & Rodriguez, J. (2022)	Patient-centered access	Do automated SMS reminders improve scheduling efficiency?	Quasi-experimental, multi-clinic	SMS reminders increased completed visits and enabled faster backfilling of cancellations	Automated SMS reminders enhance efficiency and throughput	Explore scaling across diverse populations and workflows	Integrate SMS reminders and cancellation backfill into standard scheduling protocols	Yes
Gupta, R., Wang, A., Wang, D., Ortiz, D., Kurian, K., Halmer, T., & Jaung, M. S. (2023)	CQI orientation	Do ED-based social/medical coordination programs improve follow-up outcomes?	Program evaluation (observational)	Participation improved patient- centered outcomes and follow-up linkage	Coordination addresses social barriers to continuity	Extend evaluation into addiction- specific populations	Use ED-based linkage models to reduce missed follow-ups in vulnerable groups	Yes
Hammerslag, L. R., Mack, A., Chandler, R. K., et al. (2023)	CQI/telehealth equity	Does telemedicine buprenorphine improve retention among Medicaid patients?	Retrospective cohort analysis	Telemedicine initiation associated with higher retention in OUD treatment	Telehealth improves continuity and access for underserved groups	Replicate in non- Medicaid and rural populations	Expand telemedicine initiation as part of OUD scheduling reform	Yes

Pulick, D., & Mintz, A. (2025)	Adaptive control theory	Can predictive models tailor scheduling intensity to engagement risk?	Simulation/modeling	Adaptive scheduling improved engagement in simulation outputs	Algorithmic tailoring of scheduling is promising but untested	Validate predictive models in prospective studies	Use adaptive scheduling for high-risk patients to reduce relapse	No
--------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	----

Appendix B: DHA Review Question(s) Search Log

Inclusion/Exclusion Search Criteria

Inclusion Search Criteria	Exclusion Search Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies on appointment scheduling in addiction treatment facilities. • Research on innovative scheduling systems improving efficiency and patient satisfaction. • Publications within the last 5 years (2020–2025). • English-language articles. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies unrelated to healthcare or addiction treatment. • Articles lacking empirical data or implementation outcomes. • Publications older than 5 years. • Non-English-language articles.

Search Results

Database or location name	Search Terms	Results	Notes
PubMed	"appointment scheduling systems in addiction treatment"	15	Identified studies on scheduling processes and their impact on treatment initiation and engagement.
Google Scholar	"innovative scheduling systems in substance use disorder treatment facilities"	12	Found research on reducing appointment no-shows and improving scheduling efficiency in addiction treatment settings.
Gateway Foundation	"virtual addiction treatment programs"	8	Discussed the implementation of virtual treatment options to enhance accessibility and scheduling flexibility for patients.
Seven Counties Services	"personalized addiction treatment scheduling"	10	Provided insights into personalized treatment plans and flexible scheduling to improve patient engagement.
BrightView Health	"outpatient addiction treatment scheduling innovations"	9	Described the use of technology to streamline appointment scheduling and reduce wait times in outpatient settings.

Appendix C: DHA Appraisal Results Log

Author, date, and title	Evidence level and quality rating	Focus: HSO type, Research Domain, and Specific Problem being addressed	Findings that help answer the review question(s)	Metrics and Measures if used	Source Limitations
Blaauw et al. (2022). Nonattendance in addiction mental health services: patient and appointment factors	Level III – Good	Outpatient addiction/mental health; determinants of nonattendance	Identified predictors of missed visits; highlighted redesign of scheduling and patient engagement as priorities	Attendance/no-show; multivariable regression	Observational; limited to single-country context
Boone et al. (2022). How scheduling systems with automated appointment reminders improve health clinic efficiency	Level II – Good	Multi-clinic operations; reminder systems + backfilling	Automated SMS integrated with scheduling increased completed visits and throughput by enabling rapid backfill of cancellations.	Completed visits; cancellation/backfill rates; throughput	Quasi-experimental; generalizability depends on vendor/workflow.
Dang & Dearholt (2022). Johns Hopkins Evidence-Based Practice: Model & Guidelines	Level V – High (methods)	Evidence appraisal methodology (JHEBP)	Provides levels/quality criteria used to rate studies in this review.	N/A	Methods text; not empirical outcomes.
Li et al. (2022). Association of electronic reminders with waiting times in the VA system	Level III – Good	Veterans Affairs health system; appointment access	Use of electronic reminders associated with shorter wait times and improved scheduling responsiveness	Wait times; appointment adherence	Observational; VA-specific context
Hammerslag et al. (2023). Telemedicine buprenorphine initiation and retention for Medicaid enrollees	Level III – Good	Medicaid population; telehealth initiation for OUD	Telemedicine initiation supported treatment retention; showed scheduling flexibility improves access	Retention rates; Medicaid claims data	Retrospective design; limited to Medicaid population

Author, date, and title	Evidence level and quality rating	Focus: HSO type, Research Domain, and Specific Problem being addressed	Findings that help answer the review question(s)	Metrics and Measures if used	Source Limitations
Gupta et al. (2023). ED social & medical care coordination—program evaluation	Level III – Good	ED-based linkage; addressing social needs to support follow-up	Participation associated with improved patient-centered outcomes and linkage—relevant to reducing missed follow-ups.	Patient-reported outcomes; linkage/utilization metrics	Observational design; not scheduling-specific; generalizability varies.
He et al. (2025). Data-driven optimization framework for outpatient physician scheduling	Level V – Good (modeling)	Outpatient operations; scheduling algorithms	Demonstrated multi-week optimization framework to reduce wait times and increase scheduling efficiency	Simulation outcomes; optimization models	Theoretical framework; requires real-world validation
Krawczyk et al. (2024). Initiatives to support SUD transitions from acute to community care	Level III – Good	Hospitals and community programs; continuity and linkage	Highlights pre-scheduling and warm handoffs as levers to improve continuity post-detox/acute care.	Linkage/attendance; retention (reported across initiatives)	Narrative/initiative synthesis; variable rigor across programs.
Muppavarapu et al. (2022). Impact of telehealth transition on no-shows—multi-site U.S. system	Level II – Good	Multi-site psychiatry/behavioral health clinics; modality shift (telehealth)	No-show rates declined following telehealth adoption—modality functions as a scheduling lever.	Pre/post no-show rates	Nonrandomized; possible concurrent system changes
Ojinnaka et al. (2024). Telemedicine reduces missed appointments but reveals disparities	Level III – Good	Women’s health/outpatient scheduling; machine learning analysis	Telehealth reduced missed visits overall, but disparities persisted	Machine learning model; no-show rates	Observational; disparity-focused; generalizability varies

Author, date, and title	Evidence level and quality rating	Focus: HSO type, Research Domain, and Specific Problem being addressed	Findings that help answer the review question(s)	Metrics and Measures if used	Source Limitations
Pulick & Mintz (2025). Adaptive control approach to treatment selection for SUD	Level III – Good (modeling)	Algorithmic/predictive scheduling intensity	Proposes tailoring visit frequency/intensity to engagement risk signals—conceptual support for adaptive scheduling.	Simulation outputs; engagement proxies	Theoretical/modeling; requires prospective validation.
Pullyblank et al. (2024). Differences in time to appointment and no-show rates between rural telehealth users and non-users	Level III – Good	Rural telehealth users vs. non-users	Telehealth improved access and lowered no-shows in rural populations	Time-to-appointment; no-show rates	Rural-specific; limited to single system
Rathi et al. (2022). Lean Six Sigma in healthcare—systematic literature review	Level III – Good	Healthcare operations; CQI and process improvement	Lean/Six Sigma methods reduced variation and improved scheduling flow	N/A (review synthesis)	Variable quality of included studies; descriptive review
Amagai et al. (2024). Closing the gap: Addressing telehealth disparities across the digital divide	Level IV – Good	Digital health equity; telehealth disparities	Identified barriers to equitable telehealth scheduling and strategies to improve access	Narrative synthesis; descriptive data	Review-based; global perspective may limit U.S. generalizability
Ettman et al. (2024). Trends in telepsychiatry and in-person psychiatric care for depression	Level III – Good	Academic health system psychiatry; visit adherence	Telepsychiatry visits maintained high adherence compared to in-person	Attendance/adherence rates	Health system-specific; limited to depression focus

Author, date, and title	Evidence level and quality rating	Focus: HSO type, Research Domain, and Specific Problem being addressed	Findings that help answer the review question(s)	Metrics and Measures if used	Source Limitations
Eyllon et al. (2021). Transition to telehealth and mental health visit adherence	Level III – Good	Community mental health settings	Be Telehealth transition improved visit adherence during COVID-19	Attendance rates; telehealth vs. in-person	COVID-era specific; may not generalize post-pandemic
Woodcock et al. (2022). Barriers to and facilitators of automated patient self-scheduling	Level V – Good (scoping)	Ambulatory operations; self-scheduling	Identified usability/workflow factors influencing adoption and equity	N/A (scoping map)	Scoping design; does not estimate causal effects

Appendix D: DHA Thematic Analysis Results

Author(s) and date	Findings with Initial Codes	Code List for Theme Development
Blaauw et al. (2022)	Observed patient- and appointment-level predictors of nonattendance (timing, convenience, demographics, comorbidity) informing risk-aware scheduling and communication.	Scheduling barriers; Communication gaps; Patient risk stratification; Convenience/timing
Pulick & Mintz (2025)	Adaptive control framework proposes tailoring visit frequency/intensity to engagement and relapse-risk signals to improve adherence trajectories.	Predictive modeling; Adaptive scheduling; Personalization; Risk-responsive intensity
Boone et al. (2022)	Automated SMS reminders integrated with scheduling/backfill increased completed visits and clinic throughput; surfaced cancellations early for rapid refill.	Automated reminders; Backfill capacity; Operational efficiency; Attendance improvement
Krawczyk et al. (2024)	Pre-scheduled follow-ups and warm handoffs during transitions from acute care/detox to community services improved continuity and reduced gaps.	Continuity of care; Discharge planning; Warm handoff; Follow-up scheduling
Gupta et al. (2023)	Mobile scheduling and culturally adaptive engagement improved patient follow-up and reduced missed visits in vulnerable populations.	Mobile engagement; Culturally adaptive tools; Patient-centered scheduling; Equity in access
Hammerslag et al. (2023)	Mobile health platforms providing appointment reminders and interactive check-ins improved patient engagement and reduced missed visits, especially among underserved groups.	Mobile engagement; Digital inclusion; Adherence support; Health equity
Amagai et al. (2024)	Telehealth disparities required strategies to improve digital access	Digital divide; Equity; Telehealth access
Dang & Dearholt (2022)	JHEBP provided the appraisal structure for evaluating operational redesign	Evidence appraisal; Quality criteria

Author(s) and date	Findings with Initial Codes	Code List for Theme Development
Ettman et al. (2024)	Telepsychiatry showed higher adherence compared to in-person visits	Telepsychiatry; Visit adherence
Eyllon et al. (2021)	Transition to telehealth improved visit adherence and continuity	Telehealth adoption; Continuity of care
Gryczynski et al. (2021)	Navigation and coordinated follow-up reduced missed visits after acute care	Navigation; Linkage to care
He et al. (2025)	Optimization models reduced bottlenecks and improved scheduling flow	Predictive analytics; Optimization
Li et al. (2022)	Electronic reminders reduced wait times and improved responsiveness	Reminders; Responsiveness
Ojinnaka et al. (2024)	Telemedicine reduced missed appointments but revealed disparities	Disparities; No-show reduction
Pullyblank et al. (2024)	Telehealth scheduling reduced time-to-appointment and improved attendance	Rural scheduling; Appointment flow
Rathi et al. (2022)	Lean Six Sigma reduced variation and improved operations	Lean redesign; CQI
Woodcock et al. (2022)	Self-scheduling improved engagement when usability was addressed	Usability; Access; Reminders

Appendix E: Concept Map

Optimizing Appointment Scheduling in Addiction Treatment Facilities

