9-16-2025

Exploring the Effective AI Adoption and Integration Strategies Technology Firm Leaders Use to Mitigate Risks and Enhance Organizational Performance

Bona Eze
Walden University

Walden University

College of Management and Human Potential

This is to certify that the doctoral study by

Bona Eze

has been found to be complete and satisfactory in all respects,
and that any and all revisions required by
the review committee have been made.

Review Committee
Dr. LeVita Bassett, Committee Chairperson, Doctor of Business Administration Faculty

Chief Academic Officer and Provost
Sue Subocz, Ph.D.

Walden University
2025

Abstract

Exploring the Effective AI Adoption and Integration Strategies Technology Firm Leaders Use to Mitigate Risks and Enhance Organizational Performance

by

Bona Eze

MBA, Southern Connecticut State University, 2025

PhD, Walden University, 2024

DNP, MSN, Walden University, 2022

MPH, Aspen University, 2021

BSc, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 2007

Research Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Business Administration

Walden University

November 2025

Abstract

Leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance organizational performance has emerged as a fundamental focus in modern enterprises. Technology firm leaders are concerned with AI adoption and integration strategies, as poorly aligned AI approaches lead to resource wastage and the inability to achieve competitive advantages. Grounded in the technology acceptance model, the purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry was to explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The participants were 10 technology firm leaders who had implemented AI adoption and integration in their firms. Data were collected by conducting semistructured interviews on Microsoft Teams. Use of Braun and Clarke's six-phase thematic analysis framework yielded three emergent themes: (a) organizational readiness for AI integration; (b) risk management and ethical considerations; and (c) leadership, engagement, and capability building. The main recommendation is that technology firm leaders conduct AI readiness assessments, such as data, infrastructure, and skill gap audits, before adoption and integration to ensure long-term organizational vision alignment. The positive social change implications of this research include the potential for technology firm leaders to develop sustainable and ethical AI solutions that enhance operational performance, support employee trust, and promote innovation in the broader business and community ecosystems.

Exploring the Effective AI Adoption and Integration Strategies Technology Firm Leaders Use to Mitigate Risks and Enhance Organizational Performance

by

Bona Eze

MBA, Southern Connecticut State University, 2025

PhD, Walden University, 2024

DNP, MSN, Walden University, 2022

MPH, Aspen University, 2021

BSc, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 2007

Research Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Business Administration

Walden University

November 2025

Dedication

I dedicate this project to the memory of my beloved father, Elder Francis Aninafuzo Eze, whose unwavering support, strength, and guidance continue to inspire me. Though he is no longer with us, I know he would have beamed with pride at this accomplishment. His legacy lives on in every step of this journey.

Acknowledgments

I am deeply thankful to God Almighty for his divine guidance, strength, and unwavering presence throughout this challenging yet rewarding journey of pursuing my Doctor of Business Administration degree.

I extend heartfelt gratitude to my beloved family: my beautiful and beloved wife, Apollonia; my two sons, Donzel and Jayden; my daughter, Dr. Perpetual Adachukwu; my mother, Catherine; and my dear brother, Dr. Bright Eze. Your constant support, motivation, love, and patience have been my pillar of strength during this rigorous research process. Your sacrifices and encouragement have sustained me through every step of this journey. I express my sincere appreciation to my exceptional dissertation mentor, Dr. LeVita Bassett, whose expert guidance, constant, timely feedback, and encouragement helped me persist even when I felt weak, instrumentally shaping this dissertation and ensuring its successful completion.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Foundation of the Project

Background of the Problem

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology has seen significant growth in recent years. AI technology has the potential to provide transformational benefits across various industries and sectors (Collins et al., 2021). Previous research suggests that AI offers opportunities to change the future of work, reinvent business models, enhance work performance, and even enhance human capabilities (Collins et al., 2021; Dwivedi et al., 2021; Schwartz et al., 2019). The perceived benefits of AI have heightened interest in AI technology, with researchers and business leaders seeking to utilize it to transform organizations, processes, and economies.

Some technology firm leaders struggle to implement effective AI strategies, limiting their ability to enhance organizational performance. AI has become a transformative force shaping the digital landscape, with Grand View Research (2023) projecting an anticipated annual growth rate of 36.6% between 2024 and 2030, positioning AI as a key driver of global economic growth. In 2024, business organization leaders in different industries were adopting AI tools rapidly, with 22% deploying these tools extensively, 33% deploying them in a limited capacity, and 45% still exploring their options (CompTIA, 2024). Technology firms are at the forefront of AI adoption; leaders seek to leverage AI to mitigate risks and enhance corporate sustainability performance (J. Li & Jin, 2024). However, some technology leaders remain cautious, worried that the absence of well-defined AI strategies could lead to diminished productivity and higher operational costs.

Business Problem Focus and Project Purpose

The specific business problem was that some technology firm leaders lack effective strategies for AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Therefore, the purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project was to identify and explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies used by technology leaders to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The target population for the project comprised technology firm leaders with experience in implementing effective AI adoption and integration strategies to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The sampling method was purposeful sampling.

I recruited 10 technology firm leaders in the private sector to participate in the project. The participant eligibility criteria included being a technology firm leader in the private sector and having successfully adopted and integrated the use of AI tools to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. I identified potential participants by reviewing LinkedIn profiles of individuals leading AI-related projects in their organizations to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. I approached the leaders by sending them a LinkedIn message, courteously requesting them to participate in my project.

The qualitative research methodology was suitable for this project because it provided an opportunity to understand the nature of the phenomenon based on the experiences and perspectives of participants. This methodology enables researchers to gain a deeper understanding of the phenomenon under investigation (Busetto et al., 2020). Additionally, the pragmatic inquiry research design was suitable for this project because, as Kaushik and Walsh (2019) observed, it established an inclusive framework of inquiry that supports interdisciplinary and cooperative research on business issues, facilitating the transferability of findings to inform business decisions and strategy. I used data from semistructured interviews focusing on business-related, public documents, and low-risk topics that do not contain sensitive business information, for example, trade secrets, copyrighted information, and patent information.

I used thematic analysis to interpret the data. The process included identifying, analyzing, and interpreting themes within the collected data to understand the underlying meanings and experiences. The data analysis process continued until thematic saturation was achieved, at which point, according to Lidong and Zhang (2022), no new themes, patterns, or insights emerge from the data analysis process. Triangulation, which entailed verification of the findings across multiple data sources, including the interviews and field notes, enhanced the credibility of the results (see Ahmed, 2024). As the researcher, I ensured rigor by conducting member checking, allowing participants to review and validate the interpretations of their responses, thereby strengthening the authenticity of the insights obtained.

The conceptual framework used in this project was the technology acceptance model (TAM), established by Fred D. Davis in 1989. Davis's model offers a foundational framework for examining behaviors of technology users in the context of technology utilization, facilitating understanding, and predicting technology adoption behaviors within an organization. The primary goal of TAM is to shed light on the psychological processes that underpin the acceptance of technology, thereby offering a theoretical explanation for and supporting the prediction of successful technology implementation. The model helps leaders identify proactive measures to enhance system adoption before deployment. To fulfil that goal, Davis (1989, 1993) designed a model that mediates the association between technology characteristics and actual system use.

Project Research Question

What effective AI strategies do technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance?

Assumptions and Limitations

Assumptions

Assumptions refer to facts that researchers hold to be plausible or true regardless of the absence of rigorous verification (Wright, 2023). The first assumption in my research project was that technology leaders participating in this project would openly share their approaches for integrating AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The second assumption was that the participating leaders would also fully understand the facets of AI and provide honest responses to all questions about how they integrate AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The final assumption was that the responses to the interview questions would reveal similarities regarding AI adoption and integration for mitigating risks and enhancing organizational performance.

Limitations

Limitations refer to weaknesses or constraints in a research project's design, methodology, or execution that can affect the findings and their interpretation (Ross &

Zaidi, 2019). The first limitation in this research project was that the sample population was restricted to technology firm leaders with experience integrating AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The leaders' level of experience with AI varied, ranging from a few months to several years. The second limitation was that the participants could provide exaggerated or misleading statements that could affect the quality of the findings of this project. Finally, some participants might have been reluctant to openly share strategies related to the adoption and integration of AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

Business Project Ethics

As the researcher, I was the primary instrument for this pragmatic inquiry qualitative project. As the primary instrument, I actively engaged and interacted with the participants to gather detailed data during the interviews. To serve in this role, I adequately prepared myself as an interviewer, developed an interview guide, and tested my interview questions. Adequate preparation to conduct an interview entails careful planning that considers the focus and scope of the research question (McGrath et al., 2019). The preparation process helped me to familiarize myself with the data recording equipment that was used, determine the venue for the interviews, and obtain the relevant institutional review board approvals necessary to conduct the interviews.

As the main interviewer, I respectfully interacted with the participants, providing them with ample time to express their views and opinions about AI adoption and integration strategies technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. I allowed the interviewees to express themselves respectfully and stay true to their convictions. Respect in interviews manifests in various ways, including showing value for the participants' time, providing context for each question, and ensuring the interviewees feel comfortable throughout the process (McGrath et al., 2019). I sought to establish a good rapport with the participants and make them comfortable and willing to share their perspectives about the adoption and integration of AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. By staying respectful and maintaining a good rapport, I was able to facilitate a smooth interviewing process.

I remained culturally sensitive to the interviewees' situations to ensure they felt as comfortable as possible. I also remained conscious of my power over the interviewees to ensure that they were comfortable expressing their perspectives without feeling intimidated. Building rapport with respondents is important in qualitative research involving interviews. According to McGrath et al. (2019), rapport can be established both in advance of the interview and during the interview itself. If there is little time to build trust during the interview, the research should establish rapport beforehand. McGrath et al. recommended drafting a summary of the research project in accessible language and sharing it with the interviewees before the interview to inform them of what to expect, what will be discussed during the interview, and why the topic is important to address. I used McGrath et al.'s strategy to brief my interviewees about the research project to establish a good rapport. Building a good rapport was fundamental as it enabled interviewees to offer a rich and detailed account of their experiences at the heart of the project.

As the primary instrument in my research project, I remained reflexive, conscious, and aware of how my role could influence my conversation with the interviewees. According to McGrath et al. (2019), an interviewer should not contaminate or bias the data; instead, they should serve as a cocreator of the data alongside the interviewee, where the interviewer's previous knowledge can help in understanding the interviewee's experiences or context. Guo et al. (2024) suggested that the interaction between the interviewer and the interviewee influences the data collected, rendering it a collaborative rather than a purely extractive process. The interviewer's role extends beyond simply asking questions to actively shaping the narrative and interpreting the interviewee's responses. Interviewing is an active research process in which the interviewer and the interviewees collaborate to produce an interview (Young et al., 2018).

Participation in this project was voluntary, and I obtained informed consent from the participants. I disclosed all relevant information to prospective participants. According to Millum and Browich (2021), research project participants must be aware of the project's purpose, risks, benefits, and potential conflicts of interest. When seeking consent from participants, the individuals granting consent should understand that they are providing consent, know the method by which to grant or deny consent, and understand the subject matter to which they are granting consent (Millum & Bromwich, 2021). I followed these recommendations to ensure that prospective participants understood and made a conscious decision whether they wanted to engage in the project. Participants had the option to withdraw from the interview in writing at any stage of the interview. The consent form entailed procedures for voluntary withdrawal.

I ensured the privacy of the participants to foster trust and motivate them to provide direct and candid responses. Alphanumeric characters were assigned to each participant to protect their names. I digitally secured the recorded interviews on a flash drive with password-protected security; only I have custody of the password, ensuring no unauthorized individuals gained access to the data. By protecting the participants' identities and taking other measure, I adhered to the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research's (1979) *Belmont Report* guidelines to maintain respect for persons, promote beneficence, and ensure justice. I ensured respect for participants by treating them as autonomous agents and protecting them from any harm, I ensured beneficence by ethically treating participants and securing their well-being, and I ensured justice by striving to be fair while conducting the project. My project posed no significant harm to the participants, as it underwent ethical review and approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). I sought informed consent before conducting the project, and I pledged to maintain any collected data in secure storage for 5 years following the completion of my project, ensuring the ongoing protection of participant confidentiality. I obtained Walden University Institutional Review Board approval before conducting this capstone project (approval no. 06-25-25-1024769). The following were the interview questions for the participants.

1. What strategies have you implemented to adopt and integrate AI within your organization successfully?
2. What process do you follow to determine which AI technology to integrate?

3. What primary risks did you anticipate or face during AI adoption, and how did you address them?
4. How did you assess the readiness of your organization for AI adoption and integration, and what steps did you take to prepare for AI adoption and integration?
5. In what ways has AI integration strategy contributed to creating a sustainable competitive advantage for your organization?
6. What role did leadership and cross-functional collaboration play in ensuring effective AI adoption and integration?
7. How did you manage employee concerns or resistance related to AI adoption and integration?
8. How did you ensure data privacy, compliance, and ethical standards during AI integration?
9. What is your general evaluation of integrating AI to mitigate risks and enhance operational performance?
10. What else would you like to share regarding this subject?

Evidence-Based Integrative Review

The professional and academic literature review is centered around the project's overarching research question: What AI adoption and integration strategies do technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance? The literature review offers a comprehensive discussion of published research findings about the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Conducting a comprehensive literature review helped me develop an all-inclusive knowledge base for understanding the AI adoption and integration strategies that technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

I used the following keywords as part of a comprehensive search for my capstone project: *artificial intelligence*, *adoption*, *integration*, *technology*, *firm leaders*, *managers*, *risk management*, *risk mitigation*, and *organization performance.* I searched various databases and search engines, including JSTOR, ProQuest Central, Google Scholar, EBSCOhost, IEEE Xplore, human resource management, ResearchGate, and Sage Journals. I included peer-reviewed journals and credible articles in this research project. I reviewed a total of 37 sources. Thirty-four sources (92%) were peer-reviewed journal articles, whereas three (8%) were non-peer-reviewed sources. Thirty-six sources (97%) were published within the last 5 years, with the remaining (3%) published over 5 years ago but containing important information relevant to the present project.

I have organized the literature review into the following topic themes: conceptual foundations of AI adoption in technology firms, leadership strategies for effective AI adoption and integration, risk and mitigation in AI adoption, enhancing organizational performance through AI, and theoretical gaps and future research directions. I examined previous research articles that addressed AI adoption and integration strategies that technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

Application to the Applied Business Problem

The aim of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry research project was to explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies that technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The TAM provides invaluable insights regarding how external system characteristics affect the actual usage of a technology through perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. By using the TAM, I obtained a better understanding of how technology leaders design AI strategies for successful adoption and integration to address user perceptions and concerns, minimize resistance, mitigate risks, and realize enhanced organizational performance.

Technology Acceptance Model Framework

I used the TAM to explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies that technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The TAM was developed by Fred D. Davis in 1989 to provide an understanding of the processes that underpin the acceptance of technology, predict the behavior of technology users, and provide a theoretical explanation for the successful implementation of technology. According to Davis (1989), TAM is a framework for evaluating different behaviors of technology users while maintaining a parsimonious approach. Business researchers and leaders use the framework to predict how likely users or organizations are to embrace new technology systems. The model serves as a response to concerns about people’s resistance to technology and the frequent underperformance or outright failure of new systems (Dziak, 2024). According to TAM, a technological system's features impact users' motivation to adopt it (Dziak, 2024). User motivation is mainly influenced by the perceived usefulness of the technology as well as the perceived ease of use.

The perceived usefulness concept in the TAM framework refers to how easy potential users believe a technology will function or operate (Davis, 1989). In contrast, perceived usefulness involves whether users think the technology will assist in fulfilling or accomplishing desired activities. According to Davis (1989), these two factors together shape the attitude of the users toward using a technology, consequently predicting whether the users will accept and use the technology. The TAM has been widely used by organizations and researchers, showing its utility in perceiving the complex association between human behavior and technology (Dziak, 2024). TAM is particularly significant in a generation where the technological landscape is rapidly changing, impacting both personal and professional environments.

TAM explains the relationship between a technology's perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, attitudes toward using technology, behavioral intention to use the technology, and actual system use (Davis, 1989). External variables influence both perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use of technological systems. These two perceptions shape the user's attitude toward using the system. Perceived ease of use also directly affects perceived usefulness, recognizing that a system that is easier to use is more likely to be perceived as useful. Attitude toward using a technological system and perceived usefulness influence the behavioral intention to use, ultimately determining the actual system use. The model highlights the importance of user perceptions in the adoption of technology.

Several researchers have used TAM. Ibrahim et al. (2024) sought to validate an extended TAM version and classify AI adopters based on usage patterns, demographics, and AI-related attitudes. The findings from their sample (*N* = 1,007) showed that perceived usefulness was the strongest predictor of attitudes towards AI utilization. In addition, openness was positively associated with the ease of use of AI. Ibrahim et al. established that TAM was important in helping management or organizational leaders to understand the perceived usefulness of emerging technologies, such as AI, and to know the mindset of employees towards AI that shapes their attitude towards adopting the technology.

Goh et al. (2023) explored the paradigm of leveraging AI, specifically ChatGPT, as a bench tool for theory prototyping in business research using the TAM. Goh et al. conducted two experiments (both underpinned by TAM) to assess the capability of ChatGPT to comprehend theoretical concepts and distinguish between constructs and meaningful responses. Goh et al. found that ChatGPT generated output that highly correlated with the theoretical constructs of TAM, demonstrating that ChatGPT could process complex contexts. The TAM helped to show that AI agents, such as ChatGPT, can capture and represent underlying relationships among constructs, paving the way for exploring and testing theories with AI as human participants in a simulated environment. TAM can help researchers understand how applying AI in the workplace could impact organizational performance. I used TAM to better understand the AI adoption and integration strategies AI technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

The TAM helps AI technology leaders understand the perceived usefulness of a technology within different organizational settings. Lin and Yu (2023) sought to understand the external factors influencing higher learning students' acceptance and use of digital academic reading tools on computers using the TAM. Lin and Yu found that perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and the instructor's positive responses significantly predicted students' positive attitudes toward digital academic reading tools on computers. Lin and Yu's findings demonstrated that external factors affect students' acceptance and utilization of digital academic reading tools on computers using the TAM. I used the TAM to understand the perceived usefulness of AI tools in mitigating risks and enhancing organizational performance from the AI technology leaders' perspective.

Scholars have criticized the TAM for lacking cultural or psychological factors. Previous scholars highlight the TAM limitations in their previous work. For example, Kelly et al. (2023) used TAM to investigate factors contributing to the acceptance of AI. Kelly et al. found that TAM was frequently used to assess user acceptance of emerging AI technologies. The model was important in helping researchers understand the users' perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and use of perceptions to predict the success of implementing new technologies in organizations, such as AI. However, Kelly et al. noted that some cultural scenarios and psychological factors cannot be replicated by technology, despite the perceived ease of use or usefulness, resulting in bias. Kelly et al.'s findings highlight the limitations of applying TAM to predict technology acceptance based on external factors. In this research project, I mitigated the limitation of TAM to overlook cultural and psychological factors by focusing on leadership-level strategic insights, not end-user cultural psychology.

The TAM was appropriate for my capstone project because it helped me to understand external factors that influence the perceived usefulness and the perceived ease of use of new technologies, which help shape users' attitudes towards the technology and the likelihood of a user to use the technology. Although the model has been criticized for its limited consideration of cultural and psychological factors (see Kelly et al. 2023), its constructs, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, remained essential for understanding user acceptance of technology. As explained by Kelly et al. (2023), TAM has been widely applied in studies of emerging technologies such as AI, offering a clear structure to assess how users form intentions towards adoption.

In qualitative research, TAM serves as a valuable guiding framework by helping to organize inquiry around key user perceptions and implementation outcomes in the context of exploring effective AI adoption and integration strategies that technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The simplicity of the model and predictive capacity provides a strong foundation for exploring technology acceptance for adoption and integration. In this qualitative project, I extended the model's insights by identifying themes that may be overlooked, ensuring a deeper exploration to enrich the qualitative data for analysis.

A Review of the Professional and Academic Literature

Innovation

Innovation is a cornerstone for sustainable growth and competitive advantage in the contemporary dynamic business landscape. Innovative culture is crucial for business organizations as they navigate an era of rapid technological advancements, unpredictable market shifts, and globalization (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Innovation entails creating and implementing novel ideas, processes, services, or products (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Integrating innovation with leadership means organizational leaders leverage innovation to become leaders in their niche, driving organizational growth and long-term survival. Leadership sets the tone, establishes the priorities, and ultimately guides the degree to which innovation is supported and embedded within the organization (Patel, 2020). However, it is important to acknowledge that the relationship between innovation and leadership is nuanced, impacted by different contextual factors, and subject to ongoing evolution.

Innovation leadership is important for supporting an organizational environment in which AI can thrive. Innovation lays the basis for organizations to cultivate a culture of creativity, experimentation, and continuous learning (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Innovation helps organizational leaders depart from the status quo, engage in deliberate efforts to challenge existing norms, engage in creative processes, and come up with novel solutions to problems in the contemporary world (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Innovation leads to the implementation of new or unconventional concepts that enhance growth for organizations and boost value for customers (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Contrary to a common misconception, the concept of innovation is not just limited to groundbreaking inventions, but it also encompasses diverse activities that improve the effectiveness, efficiency, and overall organizational productivity.

Innovation in business organizations represents a collective and continuous initiative of an organization to establish and implement innovative ideas. Innovation in organizations is not defined by a single business unit, department, or a unique group of individuals, but is ingrained in the overall culture of the organization. Innovative culture in an organization can serve as a shared mindset and set ~~of~~ values that help organizational leaders prioritize creativity, experimentation, learning, and adaptability (Alblooshi et al., 2020). Therefore, innovation in organizations can be categorized into different groups, including but not limited to structural innovation, cultural innovation, strategic innovation, and technological innovation (Alblooshi et al., 2020). The adoption and integration of AI in business organizations cuts across these categories of innovation in business organizations, seeking to enhance the overall organizational performance and mitigate risks.

Innovation in business organizations is a strategic imperative for the development and sustainability of business operations. According to Mogaji and Dimingu (2024), innovation in business organizations is indispensable because it helps organizations gain a competitive edge over competitors, enabling them to stay ahead of the curve. Organizations that fail to engage in innovative activities risk becoming obsolete, given the rapidly changing and dynamic contemporary business environment (Alblooshi et al., 2020). In addition, organizational leaders who take innovation seriously are quick to adapt to the changes in the business environment, thriving in the face of unexpected adversities (Alblooshi et al., 2020). Innovation improves the overall organizational performance by facilitating process improvements, introduction of new technologies and tools that enhance productivity and output, and automation of processes and operations to increase the output capacity (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). The adoption and integration of innovative technologies, such as AI, can help modern business organizations leverage these benefits to create value.

AI Leadership

Technology firm leaders are scaling AI to create tangible value. According to Haefner et al. (2023), large technology firms spearheading AI development are incorporating it into their core value propositions and using the technology to create value. In addition, modern organizations need to integrate AI to gain a long-term competitive advantage by leveraging the power of the technology to mitigate risks and enhance operational performance (Haefner et al., 2023). However, recent findings reveal that many firms are struggling with integrating AI successfully, with most AI technology initiatives failing to take off (Browder et al., 2022; Haefner et al., 2023). The failure of many AI initiatives to take off portrays challenges in integrating and scaling the technology in modern organizations to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

Effective leadership is essential for adopting and integrating AI into technology organizations to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Organizational leadership should understand the benefits, limitations, and challenges of integrating AI to leverage the tools more effectively (Tarisayi, 2024). In addition, organizational leadership should be ready to establish and enforce an organizational culture conducive to AI-driven transformation (Tarisayi, 2024). According to Schmitt (2024), leadership commitment to supporting AI initiatives within an organization is the best key practice for AI adoption and integration, ensuring that AI strategies align with the overarching organizational goals. Organizational leadership should also spearhead the development and integration of robust AI governance and data protection frameworks to help manage associated risks effectively.

AI adoption and integration in contemporary organizations require ethical leadership. According to Kandasamy (2024), ethical leadership in AI entails ensuring the responsible and ethical use of the technology for positive outcomes. Ethical leadership in AI adoption and integration entails integrity, respect, accountability, transparency, fairness, and trustworthiness (Kandasamy, 2024). Ethical leadership in AI adoption and integration is important because it helps build a strong organizational culture concerning the use of the technology, improves trust in the team, and boosts employee, stakeholder, and customer loyalty. Ethical leadership also helps organizations remain resilient and solve any challenges while maintaining their focus on the business goals and objectives (Ughulu, 2024). Organizational leaders who consider ethical standards in the integration and adoption of AI technology help avoid violations of the law and other legal complications that result in lawsuits, reputational damage, and loss of finances.

Adoption and integration of AI innovation to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance requires entrepreneurial leadership. Entrepreneurial leadership entails a proactive and risk-taking approach to innovation (Khan et al., 2020). According to Khan et al. (2020), entrepreneurs identify opportunities, consider calculated risks, and drive innovative solutions within their businesses. Entrepreneurial leadership drives strategic value development within the organization by motivating employees to take risks, exploit opportunities, and advance the mission and vision of the organization (Khan et al., 2020; Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). Entrepreneurial leadership enables leaders to monitor changes within the organizational environment and initiate strategic efforts to prepare the organization to embrace innovation and implement innovative ideas, such as integrating and adopting AI tools to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. In addition, entrepreneurial leaders create an organizational environment in which employees are supported to freely think, take risks, and proactively seek innovation opportunities.

Transformational leadership is another important leadership aspect in the adoption and integration of AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. According to W. Li et al. (2018), transformational leaders inspire and motivate their employees toward fulfilling a common vision and mission. Transformational leaders use their skills to change employees from being self-centered to becoming interested in achieving the team’s interests, fulfilling a shared goal. Transformational leaders serve as an example for their teams and give their followers a chance to demonstrate their skills, further their growth, and advance their careers. In addition, transformational leaders serve as a mirror for their employees, encouraging them to be proactive, visionary, and purpose-driven (W. Li et al., 2018). Transformational leaders support innovation by establishing a compelling vision that aligns with the team's aspirations and values, encouraging the team to think innovatively and challenge status quo, providing support to the team to achieve a shared vision, and leading the team by example, portraying unwavering commitment to the shared goals and values (Mogaji & Dimingu, 2024). The qualities of transformational leaders fit technology firm leaders who seek to leverage AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance as they support technological innovation in adopting and integrating AI.

Leaders can also exercise transactional leadership in the context of AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. According to Saeed et al. (2022), transactional leadership involves exchanging rewards and punishments to realize performance and compliance targets. Transactional leadership emphasizes goals, concentrating on specific objectives, evaluating progress, and preventing errors (Saeed et al., 2022). The leadership style relies on using positional power to ensure order and compliance in an organization. Transactional leaders can boost innovation in an organization because it allows leaders to set clear goals, objectives, and rewards for fulfilling the set milestones (W. Li et al., 2018). In AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance, transactional leaders can use their power to ensure employees follow a structure while using innovative initiatives. Following a structure helps to ensure that the innovation initiatives remain within the organization's scope, even though the employees have the freedom to explore and test the limits of AI in mitigating risks and enhancing organizational performance.

Participative leadership is another important leadership style in AI adoption and integration for enhancing organizational performance. Participative leadership, also known as inclusive or democratic leadership, is an approach that entails leaders seeking opinions and input from other team members in the process of making decisions (Chang et al., 2019). Unlike traditional bureaucratic leadership, participative leadership enables employees to provide their input and aspirations in decisions, enabling a collaborative approach to the decision-making process. Participative leaders support open communication, supporting a culture where employees can freely share their ideas and team members are empowered to contribute to important organizational decisions (Chang et al., 2019; Saeed et al., 2022). Tapping into the collective intelligence of the team through participative leadership allows leaders to have diverse perceptions about innovative ideas, which leads to better decision-making by selecting the idea with the most support. However, the downside of participative decision-making is that the process can be time-consuming and may lead to organizational politics that divert focus from the main project scope to other power dynamics within the organization.

Risk Mitigation and Operational Efficiency

AI has become an important tool in modern organizational processes. Organizational leaders are adopting and using AI to enhance the decision-making process through predictive analytics. AI tools use existing historical data to determine patterns and trends, which they use to predict the future (Shoushtari et al., 2024). Organizational leaders leveraging AI use the technology to foresee potential issues and mitigate any associated risks before they occur (Shoushtari et al., 2024). In addition, organizational leaders rely on AI tools to automate resource allocation and documentation, mitigating associated risks and enhancing organizational efficiency.

AI tools in the modern workplace environment help break down complex tasks into simple processes that can be followed easily, saving time, costs, and other resources. According to Hashfi and Raharjo (2023), AI-based recommendation systems help organizational leaders simplify complex tasks, allocate responsibilities, track progress, and follow up on the progress of different projects. These AI tools help enhance the productivity of the organization while ensuring optimal resource utilization. In addition, organizational leaders can utilize AI sentiment analysis to perceive employee morale, communication effectiveness, and motivation, helping leaders to address any issues that might come up and impact the operational efficiency of their organization (Hashfi & Raharjo, 2023; Shoushtari et al., 2024). These AI capabilities help mitigate risks and enhance organizational efficiency.

There are specific AI technologies that power strategic decision-making to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The AI technologies allow organizational leaders to make data-driven decisions based on real-time data analysis, automation-driven optimizations, and risk predictions (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). One of these technologies is machine learning and predictive analytics. Machine learning technology analyzes big data, identifies patterns, and predicts potential outcomes based on the data (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Machine learning algorithms can improve the decision-making process because they are fast and based on big data, which enhances the accuracy of the output.

AI technology has introduced cognitive computing capabilities, which allow organizational leaders to use the technology to simulate human reasoning and generate recommendations based on complex cases or scenarios. Cognitive computing has enhanced AI's ability to plan, reduce risks, and improve resource utilization strategically (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Organizational leaders leverage the technology to make more accurate decisions faster, enhancing organizational productivity while mitigating the associated costs. In addition, AI technologies use natural language processing, which is the ability of the technology to understand normal human data and derive insights (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Natural language processing helps organizational leaders conduct sentiment analysis, which is important for evaluating employee satisfaction and morale, and helps employees address any upcoming issues proactively.

The integration and adoption of AI have numerous benefits for technology firm leaders concerning risk mitigation and enhancing organizational performance. AI-powered risk identification and classification help technology firm leaders manage risks within their organizational environment (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). On the other hand, AI predictive analytics help technology firm leaders forecast risks and prudently allocate resources, ensuring effective resource optimization and risk mitigation (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). The technology also assists technology firm leaders to assess and monitor risk in real-time and implement adaptive mitigation strategies depending on the current conditions (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). AI also powers cost estimation and financial planning, intelligent scheduling and task prioritization, stakeholder collaboration and communication, supply chain optimization, human resource management, fraud detection, and cybersecurity threat detection and response (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). These benefits, among others, help reduce the impact of risk on the organization and enhance organizational performance.

Challenges and Ethical Issues

The increasing adoption and use of AI in technology firms introduces new challenges and ethical dilemmas. The common challenges include AI algorithm bias, ethical dilemmas in AI use, data privacy concerns, data security concerns, challenges in AI interpretability, and regulatory compliance in adopting and integrating AI. Each of these challenges and ethical issues is discussed below.

Data Privacy and Cybersecurity Concerns

One of the major emerging issues with AI integration and adoption is data privacy. AI processes vast amounts of sensitive organizational data, which may include customers' personally identifiable information, financial information, and other company trade secrets (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). In addition, AI may process information with stakeholder communication details, all of which require strict data protection measures (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Leakage of sensitive data from AI can lead to serious data privacy issues. According to Tanim and Ahmad (2025), AI systems can leak sensitive information because of poorly configured cloud security integrations, risking important organizational data. In addition, AI systems require large amounts of data, making them a prime target for cyber threat actors (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Third-party AI solutions may introduce additional security risks for an organization. Many technology firm leaders may obtain AI tools from third-party vendors, who may not consider security integration into their systems (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). Purchasing third-party solutions may increase the cybersecurity risk surface for an organization.

Algorithmic Bias

AI algorithms are trained using data. Algorithms trained on external data can result in biased decision-making because they do not reflect the real situation inside a company (Ameh et al., 2024). Training AI algorithms on data that overrepresents certain aspects and underrepresents other aspects can lead to skewness, which will reflect in the model's ability to decide (Ameh et al., 2024). Skewed AI output can mislead technology firm leaders, causing them to make inaccurate decisions that could harm risk mitigation and operational efficiency of their organization.

Regulatory Compliance

AI is a relatively new technology that faces regulatory compliance concerns. While new frameworks for governing AI are being developed as technology evolves, some standards already exist that organizations must comply with. According to Tanim and Ahmad (2025), governance of AI systems should comply with global standards, such as ISO/IEC 38505-1 (Governance of IT for AI Systems). Regulatory compliance sets guidelines that organizational leaders should follow when adopting and integrating AI technologies, and leaders who fail to comply may face consequences, such as lawsuits, fines, and legal consequences (Tanim & Ahmad, 2025). In addition, organizational leaders should ensure that AI tools comply with other information technology compliance requirements before being integrated into the systems. Holistic compliance is important because it helps prevent associated risks.

AI Decision Transparency and Interpretability

Technology firm leaders also face a challenge in interpreting AI output. According to Al-Surmi et al. (2020), many AI models generate outputs with predictions and recommendations based on input prompts, but some outputs do not come with any further instructions about how the decisions are made. The lack of transparency makes it difficult for technology firm leaders to trust the AI-generated output and understand their implications for risk mitigation and enhancing operational efficiency (Al-Surmi et al., 2020). In addition, the use of AI to make complex decisions raises accountability concerns (Al-Surmi et al., 2020). Organizational leaders face difficulty in determining the accountability of some of the artificially generated recommendations and decisions. AI outputs that include errors may lead to improper resource planning or scheduling, making it difficult to pinpoint whether the error occurred from poor training data, a biased algorithm, system misconfigurations, or a wrong prompt by the user.

Transition

The AI adoption and integration process is complex and requires a culture of innovation, strategic leadership, effective change management, and robust risk and challenge mitigation strategies. The TAM assisted in understanding why employees may use or fail to use technology, focusing on its perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use of AI technology. When AI is adopted and integrated effectively, it can help automate processes, improve decision-making, personalize experiences, and drive operational efficiencies. However, the field is still evolving, and gaps exist in addressing existing theoretical gaps, particularly concerning technology industry-specific challenges affecting the strategic adoption and integration of AI to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance in technology firms. The purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project was to identify and explore the effective AI adoption strategies used by technology firm leaders to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The next section covers the nature of the research project, providing a clear explanation of the research methodology, justifying the research method, and describing and justifying the design alongside explaining the strategies used to support project reliability.

Section 2: Primary and Secondary Industry Data Analysis

Nature of the Project

The purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project was to explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The following section thoroughly outlines the research methodology, justifying the research method and describing and justifying the design. The section also explains the strategies used to support project reliability.

Method and Design

I employed a qualitative methodology and a pragmatic inquiry design. Qualitative methodology is a research approach that explores human behaviors, experiences, and perceptions using nonnumerical data (Busetto et al., 2020). The qualitative methodology aims to understand the "why" and "how" of social phenomena, rather than quantifying social phenomena (Busetto et al., 2020). The qualitative methodology is flexible, enabling researchers to explore complex issues in depth and with rich detail. The qualitative methodology helped explore and understand the AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use in depth and with rich detail.

The pragmatic inquiry design was suitable for this qualitative research project. The pragmatic design was a flexible, multifaceted approach to understanding and addressing complex challenges based on the philosophical principles of pragmatism (Ramanadhan et al., 2021). According to Ramanadhan et al. (2021), pragmatism emphasizes finding practical solutions to real-world problems while acknowledging the complexity of organizational contexts and the diverse perspectives of stakeholders. The pragmatic inquiry design helped explore AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance from multiple angles to inform decision-making processes.

The guiding philosophy in this qualitative pragmatic inquiry research project was pragmatism. Pragmatism supported the integration of diverse viewpoints and the use of practical approaches to generate insights about the integration and adoption of AI technologies by technology firm leaders in a rapidly evolving business environment. The pragmatic design aligned with the dynamic and evolving nature of AI adoption in the technology industry landscape, where the focus was not only on understanding the phenomenon but also applying the insights to solve real-world problems.

Reliability

In the qualitative pragmatic inquiry research project, I used an interview protocol (Appendix A). The interview protocol guided the semistructured interviews to ensure consistency in data collection. The semistructured interview protocol used a combination of predetermined questions and open-ended follow-up probes to gather in-depth information from the interview participants. The approach allowed flexibility and exploration of emerging themes around AI adoption and integration in technology to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance while ensuring alignment with the research purpose.

I enhanced the credibility of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry research through member checking. Member checking is a technique where researchers share their findings or interpretations with the research participants to gain feedback and ensure the accuracy of the interview transcripts and preliminary interpretations (McKim, 2023). Member checking helped validate the researcher's understanding and ensure that the research findings reflected the participants' perspectives and experiences. I conducted member checking within 2 weeks of each interview to maintain participant engagement and memory accuracy. I conducted triangulation in this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project to reduce bias and enhance the credibility of the findings. Triangulation employs multiple data sources, perspectives, or methods to investigate a research question (Ahmed, 2024). I used triangulation to enhance the credibility of my findings by evaluating multiple data sources, including interview transcripts, AI adoption policy documents, risk management policies, organizational strategy documents, and industry reports, to corroborate findings and offer a comprehensive understanding of AI adoption and integration strategies.

I determined that I had fully explored research data by achieving data saturation. Data saturation refers to the point whereby collecting more data no longer reveals new information or themes related to the research questions (Hennink & Kaiser, 2022). Data saturation occurred when responses become repetitive, and no further insights emerged from the participants.

Population, Sampling, and Participants

The target population of this qualitative, pragmatic inquiry research project consisted of senior technology leaders in established technology firms who had direct experience with the adoption and integration of AI. The population included technology leaders who had either successfully adopted and integrated AI or were currently in the process of adopting and integrating it to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The targeted technology leaders operated in the technology sector.

The sampling strategy for this research project was purposeful sampling. Purposeful sampling is a nonprobability sampling method where participants are selected based on their specific characteristics, knowledge, or experiences relevant to the research question (Campbell et al., 2020). Purposeful sampling enabled the researcher to intentionally select participants to gather in-depth data and explore specific aspects of the research questions. I targeted business leaders in specific positions within technology firms who played a crucial role in making decisions regarding technology and AI adoption and integration strategies to enhance organizational performance and mitigate risks.

The target sample size for this qualitative pragmatic inquiry research was 10 participants. A sample of 10 participants was acceptable because it allowed me to collect sufficient data to answer the research questions. The inclusion criteria for participants were that they must hold specific titles in technology firms, including chief technology officer, chief AI officer, chief innovation officer, AI strategy lead, head of digital transformation, and AI project manager. According to Hennink and Kaiser (2025), data saturation is a crucial concept in qualitative pragmatic inquiry employing purposive sampling, as it helps assess the adequacy of the collected data. I observed data saturation to ensure comprehensive data collection from the sample size.

I leveraged participant recruitment by using the professional social media platform, LinkedIn, to identify potential participants. I developed a list of small to medium-sized technology firms and, using LinkedIn, I identified individuals serving in desired capacities. I connected with the individuals as potential participants and sent them an invitation to participate in the project.

Data Collection Activities

As the researcher, I served as a primary instrument in the data collection process. I employed semistructured interviews as a primary data collection method to explore the AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The semistructured interviews entailed open-ended questions, which fostered impartial participant responses, yielding data where participants expressed their experiences exhaustively while maintaining sufficient structure.

The data collection process involved video call interviews on Microsoft Teams. The participants were requested to turn off their cameras. Microsoft Teams is a free, secure videoconferencing tool that provides participants with the flexibility to participate in the interview from anywhere, regardless of their geographic location. Microsoft Teams has a feature for automatically generating interview transcripts, which helps capture participant responses. Each participant responded to 10 open-ended interview questions after providing formal consent through signed documentation. I asked additional follow-up questions as necessary. I actively took detailed notes during the interview. The collected interview transcripts and field notes were stored in a password-protected digital flash drive, and will be physically destroyed in 5 years from the final date of conducting the interviews.

As the researcher, I maintained the confidentiality of the participants. All participant-identifying information, including participant names, organization names, and professional titles, were removed from the interview documentation process. The participants were identified with alphanumeric characters, coded as “P1” to represent the first participant, “P2” to represent the second participant, and “P3” to represent the third participant, and so forth. The research methodology incorporated all elements of reliability to ensure the researcher captured multifaceted perspectives on the research questions. After conducting the interviews, the interview transcripts were returned to the participants for member checking to verify their responses for data accuracy. The rigorous validation helped ensure data authenticity and maintain the participant perspective integrity throughout the research process. The participants were asked the following open-ended interview questions:

1. What strategies have you implemented to adopt and integrate AI within your organization successfully?
2. What process do you follow to determine which AI technology to integrate?
3. What primary risks did you anticipate or face during AI adoption, and how did you address them?
4. How did you assess the readiness of your organization for AI adoption and integration, and what steps did you take to prepare for AI adoption and integration?
5. In what ways has AI integration strategy contributed to creating a sustainable competitive advantage for your organization?

6. What role did leadership and cross-functional collaboration play in ensuring effective AI adoption and integration?
7. How did you manage employee concerns or resistance related to AI adoption and integration?
8. How did you ensure data privacy, compliance, and ethical standards during AI integration?
9. What is your general evaluation of integrating AI to mitigate risks and enhance operational performance?
10. What else would you like to share regarding this subject?

Data Organization and Analysis Techniques

The interview audio, transcripts, notes, and observational data were digitally stored on a password-secured flash drive. Flash drives offer advantages for storing sensitive data due to their portability and accessibility. Password encryption provides an additional security layer to prevent unauthorized access (Wilken, 2021). It is easy to organize and manage data on the flash drive, and flash drives are cost-effective and efficient (Wilken, 2021). The participants were identified by alphanumeric characters, coded as "P1" to represent the first participant, "P2" to represent the second participant, and "P3" to represent the third participant, and so forth. The interview data files were organized in specific folders to facilitate ease of access. The primary folder contained the individual participant interview transcripts. The secondary folder contained organizational documents and supporting materials, while the analytical folder contained coded data segments, theme development documents, and analytical memos. The flash drive containing all the research data was stored in a lockable safe to prevent unauthorized access.

The data analysis technique followed Braun and Clarke’s six-phase thematic analysis framework. The Braun and Clarke (2013, p. 121) phases include “familiarization with data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and writing the report.” In the familiarization phase, I immersed myself in the raw data by reading and rereading the data transcript to actively engage with the material, noting initial ideas and potential patterns. As the researcher, I used reflective memos to support early insights. The second phase entailed generating the initial codes. I generated initial codes by working through the data to determine meaningful features using NVivo software.

The third phase involved searching for themes. I searched for themes by categorizing the codes into broader patterns using mind maps and interpretive thinking. The fourth phase involved correlating themes by reviewing the candidate themes for coherence within and distinction between themes and by mapping the established themes to existing concepts, theories, and variables in the conceptual framework. In addition, I cross-checked the themes with data to ensure accurate representation and review what the literature says about each key area. The recursive cross-checking process helped determine whether my participant data supported, filled gaps, or challenged existing literature. The fifth phase in the data analysis process entailed defining and naming themes. I refined the essence of each theme and explained how it related to the research question, supporting the themes with quotations and detailed descriptions. In addition, I theorized from the themes by showing how my themes exemplified, refined, or problematized the existing theory. Finally, I presented the findings through a coherent narrative, including a detailed account of data extracts, themes, and analytic commentary.

The data coding strategy involved three cycles. The first cycle of coding involved descriptive coding of the interview data to identify the key concepts and experiences. The second cycle of coding involved identifying patterns and connecting them to reveal relationships and higher-level themes. The final coding strategy involved a comparative analysis across participants to identify common patterns and unique variations.

I ensured analytical rigor by conducting regular discussions with peers to challenge interpretations and ensure analytical depth. I also engaged in reflexive practice throughout the data collection and analysis process to maintain transparency and acknowledge potential bias influences. I engaged in reflexive practice by keeping a detailed reflexive journal in which I recorded my thoughts, assumptions, and emerging interpretations after every interview. I critically examined how my professional background in research and data analytics and my current views on AI could shape the framing of my interpretation of the participants' responses. During the coding process, I revisited my notes to challenge my initial impressions and ensure the themes emerged from the data rather than from my expectations. I periodically reviewed my interview prompts to make sure they remained neutral and avoided leading participants toward specific perspectives. These practices allowed me to remain conscious of my positionality as a researcher and to reduce the influence of personal bias on the results. I integrated validation techniques, including member checking, feedback, and triangulation with secondary data sources, to strengthen the analytical conclusions and practical relevance of the generated insights.

Transition

The qualitative methodology and pragmatic design provided a comprehensive framework for exploring the effective AI adoption strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The research approach prioritized a practical understanding of the research phenomena while maintaining rigorous academic standards. The framework incorporated structured interview protocol, saturation-based data collection, member checking, and triangulation to ensure credible, dependable, and confirmable findings. The participant selection strategy targeted technology leaders across diverse organizational contexts, ensuring representation of varied AI adoption and integration experiences and strategic approaches. I collected data using in-depth, semistructured interviews and analyzed it using a thematic analysis framework that follows Braun and Clarke's (2013) six-phase approach. The methodological foundation provided a solid basis for generating actionable insights to inform evidence-based AI integration and adoption strategies, while contributing to a broader understanding of technology leadership in organizational risk mitigation and performance enhancement. The design struck a balance between practical relevance and methodological rigor, aligning with the pragmatic inquiry framework's emphasis on real-world problem-solving and evidence-based practice. Section 3 provides a detailed explanation of the results, discussion of the results, recommendations, and implications for positive social change.

Section 3: Application to Professional Practice and Implications for Change

Introduction

The purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project was to explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies used by technology leaders to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The overarching research question for this research project was: What effective AI strategies do technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance? I conducted semistructured Microsoft Teams interviews with 10 leaders from technology firms who had adopted and integrated AI technologies in their organizations. I obtained informed consent from the interviewees before collecting the data. I familiarized myself with the collected data, conducted initial coding, and grouped similar codes into themes and subthemes, and cross-checked the data with other sources. I consolidated the codes and themes to obtain insights from the data. Three main themes emerged: (a) organizational readiness for AI integration; (b) risk management and ethical considerations; and (c) leadership, engagement and capability building.

Presentation of the Findings

The overarching research question for this study was: What effective AI strategies do technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance? I collected data using semistructured interviews and assigned each of the 10 participants an alphanumeric code, coded as P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, and P10, respectively, to represent the participants to maintain their confidentiality. I conducted a verbatim transcription and cleaned the transcribed audio using TurboScribe tool, focusing on the main speech while removing filler words. I conducted transcript review by sharing the transcribed file with the participants to authenticate my understanding of their responses, allowing them to adjust the script or add to their initial responses if needed. I cleaned the data and anonymized it by removing any personal identifiers and organized all transcripts in a consistent format for analysis. I employed the TAM to help understand and explain how different technology leaders adopt and integrate AI in their organizations.

Theme 1: Readiness for AI Integration

A major theme that was identified was organizational readiness for AI integration. All 10 interviewees noted that organizational readiness for AI was a major strategic concern for AI adoption and integration. All the interviewees explained the fundamental need to prepare their organizations in readiness for AI adoption and integration. According to Ali and Khan (2025), organizational readiness for AI integration is an important requirement for organizations as it predicts the success rate of the overall strategy. Leaders who fail to prepare their organizations adequately for AI adoption and integration often end up failing strategically (Hradecky et al., 2022). All the participants conducted internal assessments to understand their organizational infrastructure, employee skills, and cultural fit in readiness for AI adoption and integration.

P1 underscored the importance of organizational readiness for AI adoption and integration by highlighting how they conducted initial assessments to identify gaps and needs. P1 indicated that “We conducted an assessment of data infrastructure and skill level of our employees.” The assessment was important to understand the infrastructure and skill requirements available to support the AI adoption and integration process.

Similarly, P2 assessed their organization in preparation for AI by looking at three fundamental areas, including skills, data, and infrastructure: "We started by looking at three key areas: skills, data, and infrastructure." AI leaders sought to understand how they can leverage or enhance their existing skills, infrastructure, and available data to adopt and integrate AI in their organizations. Similar sentiments were echoed by P6, who "did a review of our digital infrastructure, and conducted staff scaling level checks" before integrating AI, and P7, who "hired AI specialists and organized our data governance policies" before adoption and integration. The availability of infrastructure, skills and talent, and the data to support AI integration were fundamental requirements for effective and successful AI strategy.

There was a consensus among participants that an effective AI adoption and integration strategy requires alignment with the overall organizational vision. Different leaders pointed out that when preparing for AI adoption and integration, the strategy should not just fulfil the immediate short-term goals but also the long-term goals of the organization. Aligning the long-term vision of the organization with the AI strategy ensures that the technologies serve the organization in the long term amidst the rapidly changing technological environment. In preparation, P5 pointed out that they "conducted a digital literacy survey and designed a training curriculum for the employees." The training was not only meant to equip the employees with skills for AI but also to prepare the employees to culturally accept the technology. Similarly, P4 culturally prepared their organization by "testing security capability and setting governance guidelines and policies for AI use." The strategic planning, visioning, and cultural sensitivity were fundamental while preparing for AI adoption and integration.

Identifying gaps and needs and aligning the AI strategy with the organizational vision are fundamental for the successful adoption and integration of the strategy, as determined from the data. The TAM explained key variables that can explain the outcomes of adopting and integrating a technology are perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Scherer et al., 2019). Technology leaders applied the concept of perceived usefulness in the preparation stage by determining the perceived usefulness of the AI technologies through conducting a gap analysis and need assessment. In addition, the leaders evaluated the perceived ease of use by assessing employee skills, hiring talent to guide their teams, and aligning the AI strategy with the overall culture and vision of their organizations. Technical readiness in organizations helps ensure the organization can integrate and leverage AI tools (Ali & Khan, 2025). Organizational and cultural readiness supplements technical readiness by ensuring that employees embrace the technological change across the organization to foster an environment where AI can support the broader business goals (Felemban et al., 2024). Therefore, organizational readiness, technologically and culturally, is an essential strategy when adopting and integrating AI technologies.

Theme 2: Managing Risks and Ethical Considerations

Another important effective AI adoption and integration strategy technology firm leaders used was risk management and ethical considerations. The participants pointed out major risks and ethical considerations facing the AI adoption and integration process could impact overall organizational performance. The risks and ethical concerns included data privacy, governance and compliance issues, model bias, model integrity concerns, and ethical concerns. According to Ye et al. (2024), the rapid development and deployment of generative AI tools pose challenges to the current data governance frameworks. The increasing technical complexity of the AI tools and expanded scale of big data processing and use not only make it more difficult to regulate AI but also present complex challenges for the local and international legal systems (Ye et al., 2024). Gomstyn and Jonker (2025) highlighted that as technology advances, so do the risks associated with using the technology, and AI is continuously posing new risks associated with the collection and processing of personal information and sensitive data to make decisions. As a result, data privacy and compliance remain major concerns for AI adoption and integration.

The participants acknowledged the importance of data privacy and compliance in AI adoption and integration. Various participants explained the efforts they took to align with existing legal and ethical standards as well as to develop internal standards, guidelines, and policies to guide the use of AI tools. P1 explained, “We adhered to relevant data protection laws, standards, and policies, like the GDPR, and implemented strict control across the organization.” P5 noted that they developed “internal data protection policies and emphasized the responsible use of artificial intelligence technologies by all employees.” Participants P6 and P10 acknowledged complying with the relevant local guidelines and reviewed their tools regularly to ensure compliance with the local laws. Compliance with local and international regulations, laws, standards, and internal policies helped the leaders reduce challenges associated with privacy, governance, and compliance in AI adoption and integration.

The participants also acknowledged the importance of effective model training to avoid bias and maintain model integrity as a key strategy in AI adoption and integration. Whereas machine learning is designed to deliver value across all aspects of the organization, algorithmic bias can introduce undesired consequences (Pasipamire & Muroyiwa, 2024). Algorithmic bias can lead to unfair outcomes and profoundly incorrect decisions (Pasipamire & Muroyiwa, 2024). Organizational leaders highlighted the need to properly train AI agents to eliminate algorithmic bias and hallucinations that can negatively impact the quality of output. For instance, P4 said, “At first, we faced hallucinations and sensitive data risks.” The results show that the lack of adequate model training could lead to undesired outputs, increasing the risk of the AI model misleading the users instead of enhancing operational efficiency.

The participants mitigated the risk of bias and maintained model integrity through training. P2 noted, “We cleaned and standardized the data, and addressed false positives from models. We tested AI models with diverse data sets to check for bias.” P6 indicated that they used human editors to review their models and detect and address any anomalies. Similarly, P5 noted: “Our AI outputs were tested by humans and prompts were revised regularly to remove bias.” P7 constantly reviewed the AI models for fairness and transparency. The measures the participants took helped minimize bias and enhance model integrity.

Using the TAM as the conceptual framework provided insight into why technology firm leaders mitigated risks and ensured ethical considerations before rolling out AI tools. According to TAM, business leaders can investigate and understand the perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use of a technology before deploying it to evaluate its value and estimate their staff's overall attitude towards technology (Dziak, 2024). The extent of mitigating risks and ensuring ethical considerations helped ascertain the AI agents' perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use before deployment. AI agents with minimal risks and few ethical concerns would positively influence the intention to use the technology, leading to early adoption and integration.

Theme 3: Leadership, Engagement, and Capability Building

Employee leadership, engagement, and capability building emerged as another fundamental effective strategy in AI technology leaders used to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. According to Hu et al. (2025), leadership shapes employee engagement, attitude toward technology, knowledge development, and capability building. Existing evidence suggested that employees distrust AI, often feeling that AI will replace them (Zirar et al., 2023). Exploration of the synergy between leadership, employee engagement, and capability building generates insights into effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

The participants acknowledged the importance of effective leadership as a fundamental strategy for AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The participants particularly pointed out executive sponsorship and strategic alignment to guide and direct the overall AI strategy. Executive buy-in is crucial in AI strategy because it allows executive leaders to delegate resources for adopting and integrating the tools. P2 noted that in their organization “management helped prioritize AI and approved resources for training and tools.” Similarly, P5 indicated that “Leadership endorsed AI tools and allocated resources for support.” Responsible and adaptable leadership is essential in the AI era as it envisions a future in which technology can support human intuition and values while creating value for the organization (Madanchian et al., 2024). In addition to strategic alignment and provision of relevant resources, leadership championed AI adoption and integration through creating policies and an organizational culture that champions research, innovation, and utilization of AI technologies. For example, P7 highlighted that “strong executive sponsorship and artificial intelligence task force significantly supported artificial intelligence adoption and implementation to ensure success.”

The participants also acknowledged the fundamental role of executive leadership in supporting engagement through cross-functional collaboration and team-based implementation of AI initiatives. Zarate-Torres et al. (2025) acknowledged leadership as a dynamic facilitator between human and AI. Leadership mediates human intelligence and AI interaction to breed efficiency and mitigate risks (Zarate-Torres et al., 2025). The participants acknowledged the relationship between leadership and its mediation role between human intelligence and AI. P6 noted that they brought together all teams within the organization to collaborate and enhance creativity in the media industry: “We collaborated with creatives in IT [information technology], videography, and media to implement artificial intelligence tools." P2, who worked in the cybersecurity industry, noted, "We brought together cybersecurity analysts, data engineers, penetration testers, and networking specialists to work together on development and deployment of different artificial intelligence solutions." Leader P7 noted, "We bridged the gap between business and technical teams during artificial intelligence adoption and integration." All participating leaders shared the sentiment that effective leadership was essential to ensure cross-functional collaboration and engagement to mitigate risks and enhance operational effectiveness.

Furthermore, the technology firm leaders acknowledged the role of leadership in supporting capability building to drive successful AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Technology leaders indicated that they prioritized providing resources for capacity building and employee upskilling to equip the employees with the relevant knowledge and skills to leverage the integrated AI tools. However, the leaders had different approaches to capability building and upskilling. For instance, P1 highlighted that they considered continuous learning on the job and provided training workshops to upskill the employees. In addition, P1 paid for certified courses to empower their employees in creating and leveraging AI tools in their organization. P2 also implemented continuous learning and training in their organization: "We organized internal learning sessions and shared AI learning resources to empower employees and equip them with skills for creating AI solutions and leveraging existing solutions to create value." The results showed that technology leaders empowered their employees to use AI tools to enhance organizational performance.

Technology firm leaders recognized the importance of utilizing their leadership skills to manage employee resistance to AI tools and build trust. Many employees in the technology sector tend to be skeptical about AI, fearing that the tools might replace them at work (Golgeci et al., 2025). To understand employee mistrust toward AI technology, Golgeci et al. (2025) conceptualized that employee resistance toward AI is a three-dimensional concept embodied in employees' inefficacies, fears, and antipathies toward the technology.

The technology firm leaders in the current study acknowledged that they had to use their leadership capability to confront resistance to AI and invent strategies to assist employees work with the technology, alleviating resistance in the workplace. P6 highlighted that they informed their employees that AI integration in their organization was meant to support them perform better at their work and not to replace them: "We emphasized AI's support role and reassured staff about job security. AI was meant to help employees perform better and not replace them. We empowered our employees to monitor and guide AI systems to enhance their productivity." P2 echoed similar sentiments, explaining how they turned skepticism toward AI into ownership. P2 explained,

> We turned skepticism into ownership through education and transparency. We encouraged our teams to take ownership of the artificial intelligence projects, demonstrating the concept that they are in charge of the artificial intelligence tools, and the tools will not replace them.

P7 explained how they used awareness campaigns and training to minimize resistance toward AI adoption and integration: “We held town halls, question and answer sessions, and involved staff in pilot programs to align the objectives of artificial intelligence technologies with employee careers, team goals, and the overall organizational mission and vision.” The results showed the important role of leadership in mitigating employee resistance towards AI. Leadership reduced AI resistance by conducting awareness, responding to employee concerns, and reminding employees that AI exists to enhance their productivity and not replace them.

According to the TAM, external factors, such as support, training, and design can influence employees’ perceptions of technology usefulness and ease of use, Scherer et al. (2019) noted. Perceived usefulness and ease of use directly influence a user’s attitude toward the technology. Users who develop a positive attitude toward a technology, driven by high perceived usefulness and ease of use, have a stronger intention to use the technology. Ultimately, the intention to use the AI technology influences the actual usage behavior. Leadership support, in terms of resources, training, and design, is fundamental in AI adoption and integration as it sets the level for the perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use of the technologies, which affects attitude and acceptance behavior.

Applications for Professional Practice

The purpose of this qualitative pragmatic inquiry project was to identify and explore the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The findings may provide technology leaders with examples of successful strategies they can apply in AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The rapidly changing technological landscape, driven by AI adoption and integration, calls for proactive technological transformations in organizations to prevent them from falling behind (Rashid & Kausik, 2024). Business leaders may consider the following recommendations to successfully integrate AI technologies in their organizations to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance.

The research project findings revealed that readiness for AI integration is vital in effective AI adoption and integration strategy to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Technology leaders should prepare their organizations for AI adoption and integration in terms of digital infrastructure capacity, data, workforce capabilities and skill needs, and cultural openness to AI and innovation. Leaders should implement structured diagnostics using AI readiness frameworks to assess their employee capabilities, digital infrastructure, and organizational culture to prepare for AI adoption and integration. Adequate preparation helps to alleviate many issues that could lead to employee resistance, such as fear of job loss, AI mistrust, and inefficiencies due to lack of knowledge and skills (Golgeci et al., 2025). In addition, adequate preparation helps ensure that the AI strategy aligns with the overall organization's strategy, supporting long-term use and enhanced organizational performance.

The findings in this research project also revealed that managing risks and ethical considerations is essential in readiness for AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Although AI offers numerous benefits in the workplace, there are many inherent risks associated with it. Some of the major risks include data security and privacy, bias and discrimination, lack of transparency, inaccurate or unreliable outputs, and job displacement (McLean et al., 2023). In addition to risk management, ethical considerations in AI adoption and integration are important to ensure responsible and trustworthy AI systems. The participants pointed out that effective management of these risks, alongside implementing the relevant ethical considerations, is essential for establishing a successful AI strategy to help enhance organizational performance. Business technology leaders should conduct risk analysis and evaluate ethical considerations before the adoption and integration of AI solutions for long-term enhanced organizational performance.

The project results also demonstrate that leadership, engagement, and capability building are essential aspects in readiness for AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The interviewed technology leaders pointed out that successful integration of AI requires a proactive approach and leadership that prioritizes employee engagement and capability building, human-centric factors, alongside the technological aspects to ensure success. Strong leadership in an organization helps set the vision and foster a culture of innovation and adaptability that is essential for AI adoption and integration. The leaders demonstrated their ability to champion change, communicate the value of AI, and inspire employees to embrace new ways of thinking and working with technology. Furthermore, the technology leaders expressed the need for leadership to involve employees in the AI adoption and integration process at all levels of their organizations. Employee engagement helped them to embrace the AI tools and positively contribute to their development and implementation.

The leaders also underscored the importance of bridging skills gap in the workforce. Employee professional development and awareness emerged as an important factor promoting AI adoption and integration. Murire (2024) highlighted that effective leaders support effective employee engagement and capability building in workplaces, and effective leadership is associated with reduced risks and enhanced organizational performance. Effective leadership, transparent communication, and investment in skills development stand out as important strategies for supporting effective AI adoption and integration.

Implications for Social Change

The findings of this study have implications for individuals, communities, organizations, institutions, cultures, and societies. For individuals, technology leaders can use the findings to prepare their organizations for AI adoption and integration by outlining the strategic goals and long-term vision of AI and align it with the overall organizational vision to achieve sustainable outcomes. In addition, technology leaders can use the findings of this project to manage risks and enforce ethical considerations through effective governance, compliance, and privacy initiatives across their organizations. Technology leaders can also leverage the findings to understand and leverage the importance of effective leadership in ensuring effective employee engagement and capacity building regarding AI and integration. Leadership support is essential to drive the success of AI integration and adoption, in terms of technology, infrastructure, skills, and culture (Golgeci et al., 2025). Good leadership helps ensure excellent collaboration between AI and employees, enhancing employee trust and satisfaction, better organizational performance, and reduced AI-related risks.

Business organizations, government, and nongovernment institutions can draw on the insights from this project to drive responsible and ethical innovation strategies that facilitate AI adoption and integration to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. Business organizational leaders and institutions can leverage the findings to train their technology leaders to understand the importance of preparing their organizations for AI adoption and integration, managing risks, ensuring ethical use of the technology, and applying good leadership skills to support employees and build their capacity to use the AI. Modelling ethical AI use can help organizations contribute to broader social trust in emerging technologies and set the standards for other emerging technologies. In addition, institutions responsible for creating policies and strategic partnerships can leverage the findings to advocate for sound standards and policies that promote good use of AI to create value while mitigating risks to maximize public benefits.

The research project findings also have a positive social impact on cultures and societies. The findings revealed that cultural values, such as open communication and transparency, fairness, and collaboration, play a fundamental role in successful AI adoption and integration. Promoting these values across different sectors fosters a culture of ethical innovation that prioritizes the collective well-being of AI developers and consumers over just profits. Similarly, the findings have a positive social impact on societies as they contribute to a socially responsible vision for digital transformation. The findings reiterate pragmatic, inclusive, and ethical pathways to AI adoption and integration. The findings support AI as a force for positive social change by advancing leadership qualities that respect both people and progress, bridging digital disparities, reducing bias, and enhancing quality of life through AI.

Recommendations for Further Research

The pragmatic inquiry research project focused on leaders within technology firms that have adopted and integrated AI for mitigating risk and enhancing organizational performance. The selected participants offered rich insight into this research topic, but the generalizability of the findings to other sectors may be limited due to the geographical scope of the project. Future studies may consider exploring AI adoption and integration strategies across nontechnology industries, such as health care, manufacturing, education, and public administration. A broader scope to nontechnology sectors can help reveal universal practices that cut across industries.

The focus on technology firm leaders may also overlook the role other players, such as middle managers and frontline employees, play in AI adoption and integration. Subsequent research projects should include the perspectives of other key players in technology adoption and integration, such as middle managers, technical staff, and end users, to understand potential disconnects between strategy and execution of AI initiatives. The subsequent research can uncover any hidden barriers or enablers between technology leaders and frontline employees, end users and middle management to help inform strategic adoption and integration of AI.

Conclusion

In this qualitative pragmatic inquiry, I explored the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. The overarching research question was: What effective AI strategies do technology leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance? I used purposeful sampling to identify technology leaders and utilized semistructured interviews to collect data using Microsoft Teams. The interviews were transcribed verbatim and anonymized to preserve confidentiality of the participants. I conducted a thematic analysis, guided by the principles of the TAM and Braun and Clarke's six-step method of data analysis. I identified three main themes: (a) organizational readiness for AI integration; (b) risk management and ethical considerations; and (c) leadership, engagement, and capability building.

The research project findings suggest that AI adoption and integration is most successful when approached as a holistic, human-centered approach. Technology leaders pointed out that strategic readiness, ethical governance, and AI-related risk mitigation are critical enablers for a successful strategy. In addition, the technology leaders pointed out effective leadership, inclusive engagement, and capability building as critical enablers of sustainable and impactful AI strategy. The findings of this research project underscore a vital insight: AI adoption and integration is not just a technological investment; it is an organizational transformation. The success of AI adoption and integration strategy hinges on thoughtful preparation, ethical foresight, excellent leadership, and collaborative execution. Integrating these principles can help business leaders to implement AI initiatives that are not only innovative but also inclusive, trustworthy, and performance-enhancing.

References

Ahmed, S. K. (2024). The pillars of trustworthiness in qualitative research. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, *2*, Article 100051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2024.100051

Ahmed, S. K., Mohammed, R. A., Nashwan, A. J., Ibrahim, R. H., Abdalla, A. Q., Ameen, B. M. M., & Khdhir, R. M. (2025). Using thematic analysis in qualitative research. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, *6*, Article 100198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2025.100198

Alblooshi, M., Shamsuzzaman, M., & Hardy, S. (2020). The relationship between leadership styles and organizational innovation: A systematic literature review and narrative synthesis. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *24*(2), 338–370. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-11-2019-0339

Alhazmi, A. A., & Kaufmann, A. (2022). Phenomenological qualitative methods applied to the analysis of cross-cultural experience in novel educational social contexts. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, Article 785134. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.785134

Ali, W., & Khan, A. Z. (2025). Factors influencing readiness for artificial intelligence: A systematic literature review. *Data Science and Management*, *8*(2), 224–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsm.2024.09.005

Al-Surmi, A., Bashiri, M., & Koliousis, L. (2021). AI based decision making: Combining strategies to improve operational performance. *International Journal of*

Production Research, *60*(14), 4464–4486. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1966540

Ameh, B., Arowosegbe, O. B., & Agbelusi, J. (2024). Bridging the gap: Innovations in supply chain technology through ERP integration and intelligent automation. *International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research*, *13*(10), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.7753/IJCATR1310.1001

Browder, R. E., Koch, H., Long, A., & Hernandez, J. M. (2022). Learning to innovate with big data analytics in interorganizational relationships. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, *8*(1), 139–166. https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2019.0048

Busetto, L., Wick, W., Gumbinger, C. (2020). How to use and assess qualitative research methods. *Neurological Research and Practice*, *2*, Article 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42466-020-00059-z

Campbell, S., Greenwood, M., Prior, S., Shearer, T., Walkem, K., Young, S., Bywaters, D., & Walker, K. (2020) Purposive sampling: Complex or simple? Research case examples. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*(8), 652–661. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987120927206

Chang, Y.-Y., Hodgkinson, I., Hughes, P., & Chang, C.-Y. (2019). The mediation between participative leadership and employee exploratory innovation: Examining intermediate knowledge mechanisms. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *40*(3), 334–355. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-07-2018-0245

CompTIA. (2024). *Understanding emerging technology: Artificial intelligence.* https://www.comptia.org/content/research/understanding-emerging-technology-artificial-intelligence

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, *13*(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

Dziak, M. (2024). Technology acceptance model (TAM). *EBSCO.* https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/technology/technology-acceptance-model-tam

Felemban, H., Sohail, M., & Ruikar, K. (2024). Exploring the readiness of organisations to adopt artificial intelligence. *Buildings*, *14*(8), Article 2460. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14082460

Goh, T.-T., Dai, X., & Yang, Y. (2023). Benchmarking ChatGPT for prototyping theories: Experimental studies using the technology acceptance model. *BenchCouncil Transactions on Benchmarks, Standards, and Evaluations*, *3*(4), Article 100153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbench.2024.100153

Golgeci, I., Ritala, P., Arslan, A., McKenna, B., & Ali, I. (2025). Confronting and alleviating AI resistance in the workplace: An integrative review and a process framework. *Human Resource Management Review*, *35*(2), Article 101075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2024.101075

Gomstyn, A., & Jonker, A. (2025). Exploring privacy issues in the age of AI. *IBM*. https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-privacy

Grand View Research. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence market size, share & trends analysis, report by solution, by technology (deep learning, machine learning, NLP, machine vision, generative AI), by function, by end-use, by region, and segment forecasts, 2024 – 2030.* https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/artificial-intelligence-ai-market

Guo, D., Ramos, R. L. M., & Wang, F. (2024). Qualitative online interviews: Voices of applied linguistics researchers. *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, *3*(3), Article 100130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2024.100130

Haefner, N., Parida, V., Gassmann, O., & Wincent, J. (2023). Implementing and scaling artificial intelligence: A review, framework, and research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *197*, Article 122878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122878

Hashfi, M. I., & Raharjo, T. (2023). Exploring the challenges and impacts of artificial intelligence implementation in project management: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *14*(9), 366 – 376. http://dx.doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2023.0140940

Hennink, M., & Kaiser, B. N. (2022). Sample sizes for saturation in qualitative research: A systematic review of empirical tests. *Social Science & Medicine*, *292*, Article 114523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114523

Hradecky, D., Kennell, J., Cai, W., & Davidson, R. (2022). Organizational readiness to adopt artificial intelligence in the exhibition sector in Western Europe.

International Journal of Information Management, *65*, Article No. 102497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102497

Hu, X., Gao, H., Agafari, T., Zhang, M. Q., & Cong, R. (2025). How and when artificial intelligence adoption promotes employee knowledge sharing? The role of paradoxical leadership and technophilia. *Frontiers in Psychology, 16*, Article 1573587. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1573587

Ibrahim, F., Munscher, J., Daseking, M., & Telle, N. (2024). The technology acceptance model and adopter type analysis in the context of artificial intelligence. *Sec. AI for Human Learning and Behavior Change, 7*, Article No.1496518. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.1496518

Kandasamy, U. C. (2024). Ethical leadership in the age of AI: Challenges, opportunities, and framework for ethical leadership. *WGU School of Business*. https://arxiv.org/html/2410.18095v1

Kaushik, V., & Walsh, C. (2019). Pragmatism as a research paradigm and its implications for social work research. *Social Sciences*, *8*, 1-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/socsci8090255

Kelly, S., Kaye, S., Oviedo-Trespalacios, O. (2023). What factors contribute to the acceptance of artificial intelligence? A systematic review. *Telematics and Informatics*, *77*, Article 101925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101925

Khan, M. A., Ismail, F. B., Hussain, A., & Alghazali, B. (2020). The interplay of leadership styles, innovative work behavior, organizational culture, and organizational citizenship behavior. *Sage Open*, *10*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019898264

Li, J., & Jin, X. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence adoption intensity on corporate sustainability performance: The moderated mediation effect of organizational change. *Sustainability, 16*(21), Article 9350. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219350

Li, W., Bhutto, T. A., Nasiri, A. R., Shaikh, H. A., & Samo, F. A. (2018). Organizational innovation: The role of leadership and organizational culture. *International Journal of Public Leadership, 14*(1), 33-47. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPL-06-2017-0026

Lidong, Q., & Zhang, B. (2022). Concepts and evaluation of saturation in qualitative research. *Advances in Psychological Science, 30*(3), 511-521. https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1042.2022.00511

Lin, Y., & Yu, Z. (2023). Extending technology acceptance model to higher-education students' use of digital academic reading tools on computers. *International Journal of Education Technology in Higher Education, 20*(34), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00403-8

Madanchian, M., Taherdoost, H., Vincenti, M., & Mohamed, N. (2024). Transforming leadership practices through artificial intelligence. *Procedia Computer Science, 235*, 2101 – 2111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.04.199

Malik, A., Thevisuthan, P., De Sliva, T. (2022). Artificial intelligence, employee engagement, experience, and HRM. In: Malik, A. (Ed.), *Strategic human resource* *management and employment relations.* Springer International Publishing, pp. 171–184. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90955-0_16

McGrath, C., Palmgren, P. J., & Liljedahl, M. (2019). Twelve tips for conducting qualitative research interviews. *Medical Teacher, 41*(9), 1002 – 1006. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1497149

McKim, C. (2023). Meaningful member-checking: A structured approach to member checking. *American Journal of Qualitative Research, 7*(2), 41 – 52. https://doi.org/10.29333/ajqr/12973

McLean, S., Read, G. J. M., Thompson, J., Baber, C., Stanton, N. A., & Salmon, P. M. (2023). *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence, 35*(5), 649-663. https://doi.org/10.1080/0952813X.2021.1964003

Mogaji, I. M., & Dimingu, H. (2024). A conceptual exploration of the impact of leadership styles on the innovative culture of organizations. *Open Journal of Leadership, 13,* 136 – 153. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2024.132009

Murire, O. B. (2024). Artificial intelligence and its role in shaping organizational work practices and culture. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(12), 316. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14120316

National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1979). *The Belmont Report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/belmont-report/index.html

Pasipamire, N., & Muroyiwa, A. (2024). Navigating algorithm bias in AI: Ensuring fairness and trust in Africa. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, *9*, Article 1486600. https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2024.1486600

Patel, P. (2020). Leadership and innovation at Apple Inc. *University of Waterloo*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12573.87526

Ramanadhan, S., Revette, A. C., Lee, R. M., & Aveling, E. L. (2021). Pragmatic approaches to analyzing qualitative data for implementation science: An introduction. *Implementation Science Communications, 2*(70), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43058-021-00174-1

Rashid, A. B., & Kausik, A. K. (2024). AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: A comprehensive overview of its diverse applications. *Hybrid Advances, 7*, Article 100277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100277

Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. Oxford University Press.

Ross, P. T., & Zaidi, N. L. B. (2019). Limited by our limitations. *Perspectives on Medical Education, 8*(4), 261 – 264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-019-00530-x

Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., Tondeur, J. (2019). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to examine teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. *Computers & Education, 128,* 13-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009

Schmitt, M. (2024). Strategic integration of artificial intelligence in the C-suite: The role of the Chief AI Officer. *SSRN Electronic Journal.* http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4812654

Shoustari, F., Daghighi, A., & Ghafourian, E. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence in project management. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Operational Research*, *6*(2), 49 – 63. https://bgsiran.ir/journal/ojs-3.1.1-4/index.php/IJIEOR/article/view/89

Tanim, S. H., & Ahmad, M. S. (2024). AI-driven strategic decision-making in IT project management: Enhancing risk assessment, cost control, and efficiency. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *25*(02), 247 – 268. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.2.0366

TurboScribe. (n.d.). *Transcription*. https://turboscribe.ai/dashboard?ref=gad&gad_source=1&gad_campaignid=22327407508&gbraid=0AAAAApQ31Ku9RUsokpQ4Vvol4CqdaM4lz&gclid=CjwKCAjwv5zEBhBwEiwAOg2YKNbPAHaFlc13Lm6Yybqz5txbMpIRsPfR-auETphEwpbCE1gbu8PPoxoCE5YQAvD_BwE

Ughulu, J. (2024). Ethical leadership in modern organizations: Navigating complexity and promoting integrity. *International Journal of Economics Business and Management Research, 8I*(5), 52 – 62. http://dx.doi.org/10.51505/IJEBMR.2024.8505

Wilken, R., & Kennedy, J. (2021). Everyday data cultures and USB portable flash drives. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, *25*(2), 192 – 209. https://doi.org/10.1177/13678779211047917

Wright, D. B. (2023). Methodological considerations and assumptions in social science survey research. *Journal of the British Academy, 11*(s5), 35 – 38. https://doi.org/10.5871/jba/011s5.035

Ye, X., Yan, Y., Li, J., & Jiang, B. (2024). Privacy and personal data risk governance for generative artificial intelligence: A Chinese perspective. *Telecommunications Policy, 48*(10), Article 102851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2024.102851

Young, J. C., Rose, D. C., Mumby, H. S., Benitez-Capistros, F., Derrick, C. J., Finch, T., … Morgans, C. A methodological guide to using and reporting on interviews in conservation science research. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 9*(1), 10 – 19. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12828

Zárate-Torres, R., Rey-Sarmiento, C. F., Acosta-Prado, J. C., Gómez-Cruz, N. A., Rodríguez Castro, D. Y., & Camargo, J. (2025). Influence of leadership on human–artificial intelligence collaboration. *Behavioral Sciences, 15*(7), 873. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15070873

Zirar, A., Ali, S. I., & Islam, N. (2023). Worker and workplace artificial intelligence (AI) coexistence: Emerging themes and research agenda. *Technovation, 14,* Article 102747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2023.102747

Appendix A: Interview Protocol

Action	Script
Introduce the interview and set the stage—often over a meal or coffee.	"Hello, thank you for taking the time to participate in this research project. I appreciate the criticality you attach to the expected findings, and I hope to add to the literature that develops strategies to understand the effective AI adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance. I have been working on a degree for a Doctor of Business Administration for the past few years. In this project, I am exploring the effective artificial intelligence adoption and integration strategies technology firm leaders use to mitigate risks and enhance organizational performance." "A few weeks ago, you agreed to sign an informed consent form. Do you have any questions for me or any matter that requires my attention? This interview is confidential, and your identity and that of your organization shall remain anonymous and represented by codes." "I will collect data using semistructured interview questions. The idea is to allow you to explain any strategies, events, and memories that answer the interview questions. During your narration, I may prompt you for further explanation and details." "I will need to record your responses so that I do not miss anything." "Note that you may rescind your decision to participate in the research anytime."
Ask interview questions to get in-depth responses. Listen for nonverbal cues. Paraphrase as needed.	1. What strategies have you implemented to adopt and integrate AI within your organization successfully? 2. What process do you follow to determine which AI technology to integrate? 3. What primary risks did you anticipate or face during AI adoption, and how did you address them? 4. How did you assess the readiness of your organization for AI adoption and integration, and what steps did you take to prepare for AI adoption and integration? 5. In what ways has AI integration strategy contributed to creating a sustainable competitive advantage for your organization?

Action	Script
	6. What role did leadership and cross-functional collaboration play in ensuring effective AI adoption and integration? 7. How did you manage employee concerns or resistance related to AI adoption and integration? 8. How did you ensure data privacy, compliance, and ethical standards during AI integration? 9. What is your general evaluation of integrating AI to mitigate risks and enhance operational performance? 10. What else would you like to share regarding this subject?
Schedule transcript review either by phone or email.	"In a few days, I will need your assistance in authenticating my understanding of your responses to the interview questions as part of the research process. You may adjust the script or add to your initial responses if needed. I will send the transcript by email, and we can discuss it by phone if you agree."
Introduce the member checking review and set the stage.	"Thank you for agreeing to meet me today to finalize what I heard from you during the interview and the meaning I have provided for each response."
Wrap up the interview by thanking participants.	"Your contribution to this doctoral research has been most impressive, and I thank you very much for helping me to achieve the doctoral degree. I hope you will find the research findings beneficial to your organization and professional development."