6-25-2025

Law Enforcement Officers' Perceptions About Antipolice Sentiment and Social Media as a Force Multiplier

Edward Peter Pope
Walden University

Walden University

College of Psychology and Community Services

This is to certify that the doctoral dissertation by

Edward P. Pope

has been found to be complete and satisfactory in all respects,
and that any and all revisions required by
the review committee have been made.

Review Committee
Dr. Grace Telesco, Committee Chairperson,
Criminal Justice Faculty

Dr. Gregory Koehle, Committee Member,
Criminal Justice Faculty

Chief Academic Officer and Provost
Sue Subocz, Ph.D.

Walden University
2025

Abstract

Law Enforcement Officers' Perceptions About Antipolice Sentiment and Social Media as a Force Multiplier

by

Edward P. Pope

MP, Walden University, 2023

MS, Saint Leo University, 2015

BS, Florida Gulf Coast University, 1999

AS, Edison College, 1997

Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Criminal Justice

Walden University

August 2025

Abstract

As a newly emergent form of mass communication, social media platforms can act as a force multiplier in fomenting negative and critical attitudes or viewpoints toward law enforcement officers and agencies. The purpose of this qualitative study was to examine the perceptions and lived experiences of law enforcement officers about antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier. Amid growing concerns regarding public opinion and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices, more research was needed on how officers navigate and interpret these challenges. Data from in-depth interviews with 10 law enforcement officers were thematically analyzed to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. Four themes were identified: social media as a double-edged sword in law enforcement; challenges of misinformation and public perception; officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media; and accountability, transparency, and the evolving role of policy. This exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms provides insight into the potential benefits and drawbacks of social media in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. The findings may promote positive social change by informing policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public about strategies to enhance communication, build trust, and foster collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

Law Enforcement Officers' Perceptions About Antipolice Sentiment and Social Media as a Force Multiplier

by

Edward P. Pope

MP, Walden University, 2023

MS, Saint Leo University, 2015

BS, Florida Gulf Coast University, 1999

AS, Edison College, 1997

Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Criminal Justice

Walden University

August 2025

Dedication

To my beloved wife, Sally Pope. Words cannot fully capture the depth of my gratitude for the unwavering support, love, and encouragement you have given me throughout this journey. From the earliest days of this endeavor to its final stages, your steadfast presence and belief in my abilities have been my greatest source of strength. Your patience, understanding, and sacrifices have not gone unnoticed. You have shouldered challenges with grace, creating an environment where I could focus and thrive. Your ability to inspire me during moments of doubt and your constant reassurance have been invaluable. Sally, you are more than a partner in life—You are my anchor and my inspiration. This accomplishment is as much yours as mine, for I could not have reached this milestone without your dedicated love and support. Thank you for walking this path with me, for believing in me even when I doubted myself, and for reminding me of the importance of perseverance. It is my honor to dedicate this work to you as a testament to the profound impact you have had on my life and my success.

To my father, though our time together was brief, your influence has never waned. Your memory has been a source of strength, resilience, and guidance throughout this academic journey. In moments of challenge and reflection, I have felt your presence, encouraging me to persist. This doctorate is more than an academic milestone—it is a tribute to your enduring love, wisdom, and the legacy you left behind. I carry your spirit with me always. This is for you.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my dissertation chair, Dr. Grace Telesco, for your invaluable guidance, encouragement, and expertise throughout this journey. Your commitment to my success has been a source of inspiration and motivation, and your steadfast support has made a profound difference in my academic career. From the early stages of conceptualizing this research to the final phases of refinement, your insights and constructive feedback have challenged me to think critically and grow as a scholar. You consistently provided thoughtful guidance while respecting my voice as a researcher, striking the perfect balance between mentorship and empowerment. Your patience, dedication, and willingness to invest time and effort in my work have not gone unnoticed. Whether through detailed reviews, timely responses, or words of encouragement, you have been a pillar of support throughout this process. You have not only helped me navigate the complexities of this dissertation but have also shaped me into a better researcher and professional. This achievement would have been impossible without your belief in my abilities and your commitment to excellence. I am truly honored to have had the opportunity to learn from you, and I will carry the lessons you have imparted with me throughout my career.

Table of Contents

List of Tables

Chapter 1: Introduction to the Study

The use of social media such as Facebook and TikTok can be considered a form of social response toward controversial topics, such as police-related incidents. Social responses are citizens' grievances against police-related oppression that can result in activism and protests (Campbell et al., 2017; Freelon et al., 2016; Peck, 2015). With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of various forms of social media as a force multiplier on antipolice sentiments, this research aimed to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigate and interpret these challenges.

Structural strain theory served as the guiding theoretical framework for this study. Originally developed by Merton (1938), this theory posits that societal pressures and institutional limitations can lead individuals or groups to engage in deviant or adaptive behaviors when legitimate means of achieving socially accepted goals are obstructed. In the context of this research, structural strain theory was instrumental in supporting the study's core objectives and in shaping the construction of the hypothetical model used to examine the identified phenomena.

In-depth interviews and thematic analysis were undertaken to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. This approach allowed for the quick collection and analysis of all information collected. I used a phenomenological, qualitative approach to examine law enforcement perceptions and lived experiences to clarify officers' subjective experience rather than apply preconceived theories.

The findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on strategies to enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels. Although social media can be valuable to the law enforcement profession, it can also be destructive. Intravia et al. (2018) examined multiple types of media consumption, such as social media and the internet, to understand attitudes toward police legitimacy among young adults. The results showed that young adults reading their news online were likelier to have negative attitudes toward law enforcement legitimacy (Intravia et al., 2018).

Social media platforms have become a form of mass communication that can disseminate news in real-time and include live video feeds (Harder et al., 2017). They can also be used as a force multiplier to push negative and critical attitudes or viewpoints toward law enforcement officers and agencies. Antipolice sentiments through social media as a force multiplier could negatively impact a law enforcement officer and the entire law enforcement profession. The underlying motivations behind antipolice sentiment may include concerns about systemic racism, excessive use of force, lack of accountability, and the unequal treatment of marginalized communities by law enforcement. In this qualitative study, I delved into the nuanced perceptions of law enforcement officers concerning the prevalent issue of antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier.

In Chapter 1, I provide an overview of law enforcement officers' potential problems with social media and social media sites. The study focused on law

enforcement officers' perceptions and lived experiences of antipolice sentiments, using social media sites as a force multiplier. This chapter includes the background of the study problem, including a summary of the available literature related to the study. Chapter 1 also provides the nature and purpose of the study, along with the potential implications and significance for social change.

Background

The litany of news media has continued to expand in innovative ways with websites, blogs, video-sharing platforms, and social media apps and sites such as Facebook and Twitter. This type of communication makes receiving and delivering information faster while providing opportunities for collaboration and support. Verto Analytics (n.d.) found that 239,000,000 users had accessed profiles on social media apps at least once a month, with over half logging in on their mobile phones. Therefore, citizens have leveraged various forms of media sites such as Fox News, Facebook, and Twitter as an opportunity to connect with more people regarding everything from their crisis to political stances.

According to Edison Research (n.d., 2018), social media have become an increasingly popular tool, with 77% of respondents within the United States owning at least one social media profile. Citizens can also use social media as a force multiplier to help create a negative view of law enforcement officers. Social media users can take antipolice sentiments and extend them beyond the jurisdiction of an incident while highlighting conflicts between law enforcement officers and community members. The focus can cover topics from the use of force by an officer to racial disparities in policing.

The current climate related to racial prejudices has become a serious topic of interest throughout the United States (Barbot, 2020; Knight et al., 2021). Antipolice sentiments are using social media as a force multiplier to promote false narratives, such as the number of Black men killed by law enforcement officers. Where traditional media outlets alienated audiences, an incident like the death of George Floyd once may have taken days or weeks to reach nonlocal audiences, but modern time captured it on cellphone videos and uploaded within minutes online, where spectators could view the footage, share it, and voice their opinion in real-time. According to Riley and Peterson (2020), less attention has been given to how social movements that concern racial issues impact racial attitudes despite the wealth of research that has evaluated the efficacy of social movements using different social outcomes. *The Washington Post* found that 33% of people believed that thought that police killed more than 1,000 unarmed Black men in 2019, when the actual number was 29 (*Police Shootings Database*, n.d.). The death of George Floyd also increased the antipolice sentiment throughout social media, with a public policy response to defund the police.

Although most people do not have direct contact with police officers, the media are essential in shaping people's perceptions of police (Graziano & Gauthier, 2018). This issue raises concerns surrounding the public's perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices. This research aimed to provide and reveal an in-depth understanding of how law enforcement officers navigated and interpreted these challenges. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study uncovered the

complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms.

As public images are socially constructed through mass media, they are being reinforced by historical accounts with little personal experience to create a basis for doubt amongst the public (Coman et al., 2018). According to Perry et al. (2019), indirect experiences can shape perceptions of law enforcement by vicariously gathering information to evaluate law enforcement legitimacy. Even if an individual's view is only partially based on what they hear or read on social media sites, the negative societal consequences from the media's irresponsible reporting are dire. Rather than acting as a source of accurate information, online media frequently promote misinformation to drive traffic and social engagement (Silverman, 2015).

With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices, this research aimed to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigate and interpret these challenges. This study also revealed perceptions and officers' lived experiences about the subject matter. The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms contributed valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. I interviewed 10 participants from various law enforcement agencies in Manatee County, Florida. I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceive social media as a force

multiplier. The study included an examination of the potential benefits and drawbacks of social media in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.

Problem Statement

Social media sympathizers create a "force multiplier" on antipolice sentiments by providing ideological support to others while allowing them to operate anonymously within their chosen community. They offer opinionated topics and remind viewers of everything from the past to the present. McGlaughlin (2015) conveyed that there are not more police shootings, just more news coverage, regarding the number of social media platforms available to the public. Within law enforcement, viewers can watch uploaded officer engagements from traffic stops to apprehensions. On May 25, 2020, the killing of George Floyd was recorded and immediately posted on social media. The social movement that followed these posts was the largest in U.S. history. Incidents such as this give rise to activists, independent journalists, and even meme groups, providing an opportunity to further the momentum on their stance on the topic.

According to Silverman (2015), journalists have emerged with news quicker by squandering much of the value of rumors. Therefore, social media sites propagate questionable and often false claims rather than verifying content, so what is posted is not filtered as much as true journalism. Neither social media users nor the platforms face journalistic accountability standards (Reisach, 2021). The information passed on is difficult to determine whether the posted information is even factual. Therefore, one may

assume that when people post about law enforcement or law enforcement officers, they may have little to no knowledge of the issues being discussed.

According to Bunting and Stamatel (2019), social media platforms such as Facebook and TikTok have become outlets for individuals to express their views on issues more quickly, effectively, and directly than in other traditional ways. Anyone with access to social media sites can share their narratives, attempting to explain how they view a wide range of social events (Hockin & Brunson, 2018). This wave of opinions can reframe narratives by posting images or videos instead of fully understanding the problem. Social media appears to have become the new bodycam for the public, and even a connecting glue enabling organizers to protestors to support their cause, such as antipolice sentiments. Harrison (2015) stated that as a form of mass media, the influence of social media platforms can reach individuals nationwide and worldwide in milliseconds.

Goldsmith (2015) claimed that mass media aimed to influence people's thoughts and behaviors, which could play a key role in constructing criminality and the criminal justice system. This reason shows why social media can be used as a force multiplier. Antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier can create a repeated distrust for officers, causing an "us versus them" mentality. The ramifications of this broken relationship could also create prolonged, negative effects on the quality of policing within the public by pulling back from initiative-taking policing and criminals (Campbell et al., 2017). For the current study, I concentrated on the perceptions of law enforcement officers about antipolice sentiment and social media as a

force multiplier. Ultimately, the findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on creating helpful strategies. These strategies can enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this qualitative study was to examine the perceptions and lived experiences of law enforcement officers about antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier. This interactional process between antipolice sentiments and social media was the mass media agenda, the antipolice agenda, and the public agenda. As a result, members of the antipolice agenda can use social media to amplify and enhance their overall beliefs and promote their party affairs. Although social media platforms can be used for the betterment of society, they can also be used as a springboard to promote false narratives and agendas that can damage any person or place. According to Dirikx and Van den Bulck (2014), social media users report speculations on events impacting a broader base of people, believing everything is truthful. Public perceptions of law enforcement officers have long been studied in the United States but have rarely examined the perceptions of law enforcement officers on issues that impact their stances on issues.

With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices, this research aimed to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigate and interpret these challenges. Furthermore, this

research investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. To address the gap in the research, the research explored the perceptions of 10 to 15 participants, continuing to collect participants until saturation was met of law enforcement officers from Manatee County, Florida, currently working in law enforcement.

Research Questions

The research questions (RQs) for this qualitative study were as follows:

RQ1: What are the perceptions of law enforcement officers about social media platforms and content?

RQ2: What are law enforcement officers' perceptions regarding antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier?

The RQs focused on antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier on the law enforcement officer. The feedback from the open-ended interview questions provided insight into how law enforcement officers navigate and interpret these challenges and whether their perceptions and experiences can influence their behavior while on patrol. The feedback from the open-ended interview questions also provided insight on ways in how law enforcement professionals perceive social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.

Theoretical Framework for the Study

Lipsky's (2010) street-level bureaucracy theory (SLBT) served as the theoretical framework for this study. Law enforcement officers function as an intermediary between the policies of their agencies and the calls for service by citizens. Officers' discretion in reacting and responding to these calls and to the citizens making them is influenced by antipolice sentiments using social media as a force multiplier. Whether ignorant or unenlightening, people can say whatever is on their mind on social media without filters such as community disapproval or castigation, which were once barriers. Whether rooted in ignorance or simply lacking depth, individuals can express virtually any opinion on social media platforms without traditional social filters such as community disapproval or public castigation, which once served as behavioral constraints (Papacharissi, 2015). The rise of dominant social media platforms has significantly altered the norms governing public discourse. Historically, individuals were constrained by societal mechanisms such as face-to-face accountability, community disapproval, and cultural expectations (Suler, 2004). These served as informal but powerful filters that deterred overtly harmful, ignorant, or socially unacceptable commentary. However, in the digital public sphere, those filters are often absent or ineffective, enabling users to voice opinions—whether misinformed, hostile, or inappropriate—without the traditional consequence of public castigation or exclusion.

Structural barriers, such as platform community standards and content moderation policies, are ostensibly in place to regulate harmful speech (Gillespie, 2018). Nevertheless, enforcement is inconsistent and frequently undermined by algorithms

designed to prioritize engagement over ethical discourse (Tufekci, 2015). While some content is removed or demoted through practices such as shadow banning, many users continue to exploit anonymity or pseudonymity to avoid accountability. Furthermore, many platforms lack mechanisms for identity verification or culturally nuanced moderation, creating gaps in both enforcement and interpretation.

These deficiencies contribute to what Suler (2004) identifies as the online disinhibition effect, wherein users express themselves more freely and often more aggressively than they would in face-to-face settings. Papacharissi (2015) extends this argument, noting that affective and unfiltered public discourse is now not only common but often incentivized by platform design. The result is an environment in which social and psychological barriers to deviant or disruptive speech are significantly weakened. Though the First Amendment is a protected right that law enforcement officers have a sworn obligation to protect, there is no absolute right to attack them verbally on social media. While individuals retain the right to express antipolice sentiment under the First Amendment, such speech loses constitutional protection when it crosses the line into "true threats" or incitement to violence (Watts v. United States, 1969; Calvert, 2020). Officers may respond to added scrutiny by pulling back from proactive policing, and criminals may be more encouraged to offend (Nix & Pickett, 2020).

According to Lipsky (2010), with a commitment to public service, implementing services can be challenging. Employing this theory can assist in understanding how law enforcement officers navigate and interpret these challenges and whether their perceptions and experiences can influence their behavior. I used Lipsky's theory to

consider a law enforcement officer's behavior in discretionary calls, such as where some use of force method would need to be utilized. I used the theory to assist in understanding if there were behavior changes and whether these changes interfered with their agencies' policies while on patrol. The examples of changes could be from behaviors to hesitations in a profession that requires split-second decisions in an already dangerous occupation.

According to Valencia (2015), many law enforcement officers are too cautious because of what has happened in the media. Law enforcement officers struggle between enforcing department policy and addressing an incident without being judged by antipolice sentiments that unfairly label them. Based on Lipsky's (2010) theory, the law enforcement officer's discretion on calls for service can be impacted by social media and agency policy, which are significant. As such, Lipsky's theory was relevant to the current study in presenting law enforcement officers' perceptions and potential behavioral changes on antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier. Analysis of these perspectives can further aid in recommendations regarding strategies to enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

Nature of the Study

In this study, I used a qualitative phenomenological research design. A qualitative method was appropriate for this study to describe the law enforcement officers' perceptions and show their subjective experiences. I used the qualitative method to better understand the participants' experiences and perceptions. According to Tracy (2019), qualitative interviews can gather participant perspectives, allowing further evidence to

address the RQs. In this phenomenological study, the lived experiences of the participants who had engaged in social media sites were explored.

A phenomenological design was selected for this study. The best way to better understand a phenomenon, lived experiences, or a topic not widely understood is through a phenomenological design (Tracy, 2019). By capturing the lived experiences of officers, this study showed the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media. Ultimately, the findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on strategies going forward. These strategies may enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

Through open-ended interview questions and thematic analysis, this study attempted to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. According to Cooper and Schindler (2013), instruments such as open-ended questionnaires are a means for collection information on a target population. Collected information made it possible to describe motivation (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The open-ended questionnaires allowed for quick collection and analysis of the answers provided. Walden University's Institutional Review Board (IRB) must first approve any method of collecting information to support this study.

Definitions

Some of the words and phrases in this dissertation are unique to the law enforcement profession and are defined for clarification purposes. Some are subjective terms that do not have agreed-upon definitions. The terms and definitions are as follows:

Antipolice sentiment: A negative thought, attitude, or view based on emotion against law enforcement (Fong, 2002).

*Attitudes toward poli*ce: Personal attitudes toward law enforcement officers resulting from negative police encounters.

Call for service: The dispatching of a law enforcement officer to a location in response to an incident called in by a citizen.

Facebook: Facebook is an online social networking platform where people can create profiles, share information such as photos and quotes about themselves (Rouse, 2015).

Grandstanding: Speech or actions intended to gain support through emotional or affective appeals (Merriam-Webster, n.d.).

Law enforcement officer: According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics (2021), a law enforcement officer is defined as "an individual who has full police powers of arrest, has attended and completed a prescribed academy curriculum, is certified by a government entity, and has taken an oath to abide by and enforce the laws of the United States and their employing jurisdiction" (p. 2).

Officer discretion: A law enforcement officer's decision in regard to responding or acting in response to a call for service. An example is a citation over an arrest.

Public rants: Speech that is undertaken in a noisy, excited, or declamatory manner.

Social media: Social media is defined as "media wherein people interact in virtual communities and in networks" (Carr & Hayes, 2015, p. 50).

Stimuli: Something that causes another event to happen, develop, or become more active (Merriam-Webster, n.d.).

Assumptions

I assumed that all participants would be honest and forthright in their responses while telling their own experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study sought to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. Based on the qualitative nature of this study, the assumption was that the law enforcement officers answered the questions in this study truthfully (Rudestam & Newton, 2015). I assumed that all participants would be law enforcement officers who understood the questions and that I would not second-guess their responses.

Scope and Delimitations

The scope of this study was to determine how officers navigated and interpreted the challenges surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices. The delimitations were law enforcement people employed at the time of this study and their perceptions on the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social

media. I focused on participants from solicited law enforcement agencies within Manatee County, Florida. Finally, the choice of research design, supported methodology, and related RQs are also considered delimitations.

Limitations

As with any research, especially with qualitative studies that have inherent limitations, there were potential limitations in this present study (Tracy, 2019). The limitations should be centered around the empirical lack of generalizability due to methodology and small samples. One of the primary limitations in qualitative studies is the potential for researcher bias and subjectivity. Qualitative research often involves the interpretation and analysis of data, which can be influenced by a researcher's perspectives, beliefs, and experiences. Unlike quantitative researchers, who aim for generalizability to larger populations, qualitative researchers typically focus on understanding specific contexts and experiences. Findings from qualitative research are often context-bound and may not be easily generalized to broader populations or situations. Qualitative researchers use smaller sample sizes compared to quantitative studies. Although this process can be advantageous for in-depth exploration, it limits the ability to make statistical generalizations about the larger population.

Due to the highly context-dependent nature of qualitative research, replicating qualitative studies can be challenging. Replication in qualitative research often involves revisiting the same context with different researchers or participants, which may yield different results. Analyzing qualitative data involved interpreting meanings and patterns, which could have introduced the possibility of interpretation errors or misjudgments.

Therefore, researchers must remain diligent in ensuring the rigor of their analysis methods. Qualitative studies emphasize rich descriptions and interpretations of phenomena, often at the expense of quantitative measurement. This focus can make quantifying findings or establishing precise causal relationships between variables challenging.

Participants in this study could have given varying definitions of the same or similar perceptions or experiences. All participants came from one county in Florida; the findings may not be generalized to other areas of Florida, other law enforcement agencies, or other states. I accounted for this limitation by ensuring all participant responses were anonymous and analyzed objectively. Finally, an issue could have arisen with the number of participants without knowing the response rate. I remained diligent in ensuring the rigor of their analysis methods.

Significance

In this qualitative phenomenological study, I sought an in-depth understanding of how officers navigated and interpreted the challenges surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices. By scrutinizing participants' perceptions and their lived experiences, I sought to show the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media. Ultimately, the findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on novel strategies. These strategies may enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital

communication channels. This study's results can be important to society because of it shows critiqued feelings on the current impact of social media on law enforcement practices. The potential for social change from this study is to ignite further research, which can build on this qualitative study and help guide law enforcement officers and citizens to work closer together.

Summary

The overview and background of the study were provided in this introductory chapter regarding nuanced perceptions of law enforcement officers concerning the prevalent issue of antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier. The purpose and nature of the study were highlighted, along with the theoretical framework, RQs, nature of the study, and definitions. I also explained the assumptions, scope delimitations, limitations, the significance of the study, and its potential implications for social change. This research is needed to understand how law enforcement professionals perceive social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Introduction

Usually, phenomenological qualitative research studies of this nature rely on participants' narratives in describing their experiences via a structured or semi-structured interview. I used open-ended interview questions and thematic analysis in this qualitative study to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms will contribute valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, this research will investigate how law enforcement professionals perceive social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. In this chapter, I restate the problem and purpose of the study. I also provide the literature sources used in this study, reviewing literature pertinent to the phenomenological theoretical/conceptual frameworks.

In today's digital age, society and special interest groups can pay closer attention and even express their stance on stories circulating the country. Antipolice movements can call into question the role of the law enforcement officer and how society should hold law enforcement officers accountable using various social media platforms. Social media such as Facebook and TikTok can be considered a social response toward controversial topics, such as police-related incidents. Social responses are citizens' grievances against police-related oppression that can result in activism and protests (Campbell et al., 2017;

Freelon et al., 2016; Peck, 2015). With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of various forms of social media as a force multiplier on antipolice sentiments, this research aimed to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigated and interpreted these challenges as they were watched under a magnifying glass.

Past law enforcement officers have come under scrutiny through social media for a series of ugly encounters, such as with protesters demanding justice in the wake of the White officer-involved killing of African American George Floyd (Hutchinson, 2020). Hutchinson (2020) suggested that citizens have forgotten that law enforcement officers are human. As protests have occurred around the country, police officers are being spat on, punched, and emotionally harassed (Hutchinson, 2020). Antipolice sentiments have gone as far as wanting to punish law enforcement by defunding the police movement. This process would involve reallocating their funds to different departments. These antipolice movements are holding law enforcement officers accountable, creating a growing distrust and loss of faith among society.

The role of a law enforcement officer can and has always been a complex topic. According to Nalla (2009), law enforcement officers should protect the innate rights of citizens they possess from being citizens. Ensuring that fundamental rights are enforced, law enforcement officers must simultaneously perform their duties associated with their job effectively and correctly while maintaining law and order within their communities by the order of their government. There could be consequences and implications if law enforcement officers hold negative attitudes and perceptions regarding their societal

roles. Perceptions can play a critical role in an officer's social behavior. In this chapter, I introduce the conceptual framework of Lipsky's (2010) SLBT, used as a lens for the dissertation. I also review the literature specific to the RQs. The literature search strategy is also described.

Literature Search Strategy

I used various strategies to find literature for this study, such as in-depth search of the Walden University Library research databases. The databases included EBSCOhost, SAGE Premier and ProQuest Criminal Justice. The search engines Google Scholar and Google Search were also used. According to Roberts (2010), searching current journals and books is the key to high-quality data collection for the dissertation process. I used the databases and search engines to help conduct quality research on terms for this study. The focus of this research was to locate articles on antipolice sentiments, social media, social media platforms, media dependency, media use of Facebook and TikTok, effects on law enforcement, fake news, social response, general strain theory, and Lipsky's (2010) SLBT. Search terms included *attitudes toward law enforcement*, *Baltimore*, *Black Lives Matter*, *community change*, *demographics and attitudes*, *Facebook*, *Ferguson*, *media*, *media dependency*, *media use of Facebook*, *general and social strain theory*, *general and social strain and media*, *police-related deaths*, *social media*, *social media platforms*, and *social response*.

Theoretical Foundation

Lipsky's (2010) SLBT supported this study's focus and formed the theoretical framework. As an intermediary between the policies of their agencies and the calls for

service by citizens, the discretion of how law enforcement officers react and respond to these calls and these citizens is now impacted by antipolice sentiments using social media as a force multiplier. Officers may respond to added scrutiny by pulling back from proactive policing, and criminals may be more encouraged to offend (Nix & Pickett, 2020). I used this theory as a lens to view the existing literature to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigate and interpret these challenges surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices. I also discussed stress in this study. According to Brezina (2017), a law enforcement officer's stress can manifest in several areas that impact work performance. These areas include anger, burnout, and even depression.

Lipsky's Street-Level Bureaucracy Theory

The SLBT states that job conflict and stress will be reduced when the delivery of public services is rendered face-to-face with the public. With Lipsky's (2010) theory, law enforcement officers are street-level bureaucrats due to their discretion when handling calls for service. The strategy for this study allows more in-depth consideration of the circumstances in which theory is applied. This theory also assists in understanding behavior changes in law enforcement officers impacted by social media, as it interferes with their agencies' policies on handling and responding to citizen calls. The influence of social media sites may have resulted in a profession that is continuously on guard, second-guessing their discretion. Discretional hesitation can have severe consequences in a profession that only allows for split-second decisions. In the profession of law enforcement, officer decision making is often collaborative. If their experience were

shared among other law enforcement officers, it would suggest an elaboration of this theory.

With Lipsky's (2010) theory, a law enforcement officer's discretion in their calls for service could be impacted by social media sites and significant agency policy. The present research investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. The ramifications of this situation may have worse results than the community realizes. According to Campbell et al. (2017), criminals may be more encouraged to offend as law enforcement officers pull back from proactive policing.

General Strain Theory

Strain theory focuses on negative relationships with others, relationships in which individuals are not treated as they want to be treated (Agnew, 1992, 2001; Agnew & DeLisi, 2012). According to Bain et al. (2014), public perception of law enforcement may result from the community feeling isolated and unsupported by the police, which is exacerbated by a lack of communication between the community and the police. The decisions law enforcement officers make in the lives of others can and will have lasting consequences. These stressful decisions can result in occupational hazards for the officer and the lives of others. One added stress is the unfavorable perceptions of law enforcement by society. This unfavorable perception, as seen by law enforcement officers on how they perform their job, impacts how officers do their job and how others treat law enforcement officers within society. Various factors can influence the public's view of

law enforcement officers. How law enforcement officers interact with citizens greatly dictates how those citizens will view law enforcement officers (Janneta & Beiler, 2015).

When analyzing the scholarly research related to the present study, a few areas have evolved from the seminal work to the current state. Law enforcement officers face a great deal of strain and an extensive assortment of stressors consistent with the principles of the general strain theory. Relating the principles of general strain theory to the impact of strain on the law enforcement profession will provide a valuable understanding of this phenomenon, which can help officers and administrators understand the consequences of said strain. This theory focuses on negative relationships with others, relationships in which individuals are not treated as they want to be treated. Agnew (1992, 2001) asserted that strain could be caused by removing positively valued stimuli, as with law enforcement officers.

Continuing from Agnew, Weitzer (2002) studied the impact of highly publicized incidents of strain that spanned decades. Some of these studies included excessive force, intimidation, accepting bribes, death of citizens during arrests, abuse of power, and other misconduct. The public's view and opinion of the law enforcement officer motivated Weitzer's analysis of law enforcement officers. Chaney and Robertson (2013) examined community perceptions of law enforcement and determined that there were unfavorable attitudes toward law enforcement officers. Chaney and Robertson focused on the implications of these perceptions in the United States. Chaney and Robertson's grounded theory analysis of their data revealed that individuals perceive members of law enforcement in the following ways: (a) contempt for law enforcement, (b) suspicion of

law enforcement, (c) law enforcement as agents of brutality, and (d) respect for law enforcement. Supporting qualitative data are presented to illustrate each theme.

Constructivism is an approach to learning that holds that people actively create their knowledge and that reality is determined by the learner's experiences (Elliott et al., 2000). Morrow (2005) explored criteria for trustworthiness, particularly constructivism, which in this case is relevant. Past research has focused on various issues related to police psychology, such as job stress, trauma, and mental health, without examining the in-depth perspectives of law enforcement officers (Bishopp & Boots, 2014; Marzano et al., 2016; van der Velden et al., 2010; Yuan et al., 2011). Prolonged exposure to stress may seriously impact job performance and pose an even greater health risk, which can affect law enforcement officers, their department, and their families (Anderson & Jiang, 2018). Negative perceptions can significantly influence how law enforcement officers do their job and how they are portrayed to and by society. These environmental factors are usually related to organizational variables and the nature of police work. The daily pressures of police work already have a debilitating effect on law enforcement officers as they wear down their confidence, hardiness, and resilience along with their physical and psychological health (Waters & Ussery, 2007).

I crafted the RQs for this study to focus on antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier on the law enforcement officer. I used the questions to investigate how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. This research advances the

scientific knowledge base by identifying these perceptions and their impact on law enforcement officers' behavioral norms and values today. Due to the nature of their work, law enforcement officers are constantly subjected to stressful situations such as violence, loss of human life, natural disasters, and/or social injustice (Harpold & Feemster, 2002). Today, more law enforcement officers are not only forced to face the stressors faced by society. They also face the strain of dealing with the stressors afforded by their profession and social media. These stressors may result in a profession that is slowly losing law enforcement officers from civil actions, arrests, being fired, PTSD, and suicide.

Previous researchers who have used attribution theory have used the term a *causal judgment*, meaning someone sees someone in uniform and forms an immediate opinion that colors the interaction. Considering the traumatic experiences law enforcement officers encounter, transference or countertransference may occur. *Transference* is thoughts and feelings based on previous relationships and emotions with other individuals. *Countertransference* is the therapist's thoughts and feelings toward clients based on their own past experiences (Berzoff et al., 2008). Transference and countertransference are psychoanalytic concepts. The model is that a person or group projects their past experiences upon someone and reacts as if the past conflict is happening again. This projection of emotions to other people can be complex, as everyone can view their experiences through their lens, which can be influenced by past experiences that were positive and negative.

I also examined the hypothesized influence social media sites may have on how law enforcement officers do their job and how law enforcement believe they are

portrayed today. According to Greene (2007), to be effective in a democratic society, law enforcement officers must be perceived as being accessible and fair. In past research, the perceptions of members of society were studied. For example, the loss of valued stimuli may be exhibited by disrespect, the end of a positive community relationship, or the separation of law enforcement officers and society. When a positively valued stimulus is removed from the individual, they may feel much strain. Law enforcement officers should be held to a higher ethical standard than the public and be expected to display a character that separates them from everyone else. Researchers also face various ethical requirements that must meet professional, institutional, and federal standards to conduct research utilizing human participants. Therefore, Banks (2013) stated that ethics is crucial in decisions involving discretion.

Conceptual Framework

Phenomenology captures a conceptual framework through a philosophical concept. According to Sutherland and Cameron (2015), this approach summarizes individual life experiences. I used this approach to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms was used to contribute valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. The constant portrayal of law enforcement

officers by antipolice sentiments on social media and the inability to provide a positive public image can reinforce public fear, only keeping people from trusting law enforcement. A more realistic image of law enforcement will only emerge when the biases in social media are shown.

Literature Review Related to Key Variables and/or Concepts

Social Media Influence

The current climate related to racial prejudices has become a serious topic of interest throughout the United States (Barbot, 2020; Knight et al., 2021). Antipolice sentiments are using social media as a force multiplier to promote false narratives, such as the number of Black men killed by law enforcement officers. The power of social media has had a tremendous influence on shaping public opinion. Because social media platforms like Facebook and TikTok have become a norm in U.S. society, young adults use them daily. According to a 2018 study conducted by Anderson and Jiang, a majority of individuals between the ages of 18 and 24 reported using Instagram (76%), Snapchat (75%), or TikTok (55%) as part of their regular social media engagement.

Although social media can be valuable, with law enforcement officers, it can also be destructive. Intravia et al. (2018) examined multiple types of media consumption, such as social media and the internet, to understand attitudes toward police legitimacy among young adults. The study's results determined that young adults reading their news online were likelier to have negative attitudes toward law enforcement legitimacy (Intravia et al., 2018). Social media platforms have also become a form of mass communication that can disseminate real-time news and include live video feeds (Harder et al., 2017). Social

media can also be used as a force multiplier to push negative and critical attitudes or viewpoints toward law enforcement officers and agencies. For example, antipolice sentiments through social media as a force multiplier can harm a law enforcement officer and the entire law enforcement profession. The underlying motivations behind antipolice sentiment may include concerns about systemic racism, excessive use of force, lack of accountability, and the unequal treatment of marginalized communities by law enforcement. Accordingly, it is reasonable to understand how social media may play a role in the misrepresentation of law enforcement officers.

Misinformation among people is spread worldwide without fact-checking the sources. For example, false stories on Twitter spread significantly faster and more broadly than true ones, and the wider distribution of false stories also makes them more profitable for social media platforms (Schleffer, 2021). This finding shows that people can spread misinformation more easily because there are low barriers on social media; therefore, people believe in incorrect information. Low entry barriers make the gatekeeping of the spread of political information much less effective, allowing new entrants to be previously sidelined by the political establishment (Enikolopov et al., 2020). With the rising role of social media outlets, misinformation has gained prevalence with no third-party filtering or fact-checking. According to Schleffer (2021), when millions share misinformation, it becomes real because people believe it must be true if it goes viral.

With antipolice sentiments, social media outlets bring attention to issues by amplifying their voices. The killings of George Floyd in 2020 sparked an uptick in the

use of the hashtag #BlackLivesMatter, and over 8,000 mass demonstrations across the United States occurred in protest of police brutality and racial injustice in support of the Black Lives Matter movement (Baskin-Sommers et al., 2021). Social media can also allow such activists to promote learning materials online to educate on antipolice sentiments.

Impact of Social Media on Law Enforcement Officers

The perceptions of law enforcement officers on social media, their experiences, and whether these perceptions change their profession and how services are provided to their community are rarely examined. More research is conducted on the public's perception of law enforcement than on the perception of law enforcement officers of the public. Galovski et al. (2016) examined the public and law enforcement officers' proximity to the Ferguson riots and their mental health. The results showed negative mental health effects for both groups, such as posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and anger. Such negative mental health effects could lead to negative perceptions of the public, which have implications for the profession and the services provided to the community.

Either way, law enforcement officers must be able to trust the public in sometimes unpredictable environments. Media representations of law enforcement officers may impact social media sites and viewers with negative perceptions of law enforcement officers (Bain et al., 2014). According to Bain et al. (2014), there needs to be more effective communication, including how information is distributed, to improve

perceptions. Therefore, the perceptions of law enforcement officers on their job are vital as they can impact how officers perform their duties.

The persistence of public information through social media outlets has only fueled the presentation of misinformation and rhetoric. Often, protesters will seize the opportunity to protest law enforcement without factual insight into what occurred. Therefore, I sought to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms contributed valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.

Research Gap

In examining the reviewed literature, I found a significant gap regarding increasing societal knowledge and officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms in the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Scholarly inquiry into the sociopolitical influence and communicative power of social media platforms has significantly expanded over the past decade, with foundational empirical studies emerging in the early 2010s as platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram became integral to public discourse, activism, and identity formation (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010; Boyd & Ellison, 2007; Bruns, 2012).

According to Ferguson et al. (2014), such platforms that contest law enforcement responses have been examined. In addition, examinations of current antipolice sentiment, tension, and mistrust of police are also a common exploration (Kirkinis et al., 2021). When social media sites falsely frame events related to law enforcement officers, these officers become targets of threats and violence without anyone ever knowing the facts.

When used as a force multiplier, social media can directly highlight acts of aggression by law enforcement officers and actively foster skepticism and distrust of the police. Regarding Michael Brown, whom Officer Darren Wilson shot, protesters rallied behind the fallen youth and the "Hands up, don't shoot" slogan, which was said to be his last words (Rothman, 2014). When a highly controversial stories break involving law enforcement officers, it can be easy for those reporting to choose sides, reporting opinion rather than fact.

Antipolice sentiments amplified through various social media platforms create repeated distrust for law enforcement officers and a negative effect on the quality of policing within the public, creating an "Us vs Them" mentality. The ramifications of this broken relationship may have worse results than the community may realize. Officers "may respond to added scrutiny by pulling back from proactive policing, and criminals may be more encouraged to offend" (Campbell et al., 2017, p. 20). Law enforcement officers can be directly affected by this negative mentality on social media with long-term effects, which can impact the community in far greater ways, especially in situations where various racial and social groups are involved. As "public images are being socially constructed through mass media" (Coman et al., 2018, p. 20), they are reinforced by

historical accounts with little personal experience to create a basis for doubt amongst the public.

When law enforcement officers are under public scrutiny, they may take less proactive measures and views on their role and duties. This situation can be seen after the killing of George Floyd as well; officers described that they were frustrated with their profession and that specific officers were a “disgrace,” “sickening,” “disgusting,” and “bullies” who turn the job into a “race issue” (Davich, 2020, p. 20). Therefore, the attitudes and perceptions of law enforcement officers toward their job are significant and can have major implications for society. Perceptions hold more importance than opinions toward officers and can also play a critical role in their social behavior. Law enforcement officers can be sensitive and defensive about public criticism when they perceive their communities to hold these attitudes toward them, essentially making reactive officers over proactive officers because of police scrutiny.

According to Qureshi et al. (2019), aggressive tendencies in an officer’s behavior can develop, which means that the officer’s perception of their job affects their behaviors and those of community members. Although social media sites such as Facebook and Twitter may build communities and even leverage careers, they can become problematic in unleashing heightened antipolice sentiments, which can alter one’s collective sense of reality and have significant consequences. Content that can entice the attention of others is highly imperative in the effects of the presentation, which can create a false sense of reality. Mass media inherently include cognitive resource elements, whereas other media components vary on this dimension (Reuter & Kaufhold, 2018).

According to Kirsch (2020), spreading information on social media has dramatically enhanced the rapid dissemination of stories, changing public sentiments on various issues, including those regarding the police. Generation Y (millennials) and Generation Z (iGen) have entered law enforcement since 2000. Millennials were born between 1981 and 1996, and iGen officers were born between 1997 and 2012 (Turner, 2015). Considering both generations rely on technology as digital natives, social media will inevitably impact them during their careers. According to Yadav and Rai (2017), iGen has a stronger connection to social media. One survey indicated that 60% of iGen members enter their social lives online, 50% feel more comfortable talking to people online than in real life, and 70% say it is more convenient to talk to friends online than in real life (Yadav & Rai, 2017). Though social media platforms have advantages for law enforcement officers, they are also faced with significant dangers. According to Denef et al. (2012), deep fakers manipulate body-worn camera (BWC) footage and edit footage to support antipolice sentiments. With the rapid spread of information, law enforcement may be unable to repair the damage when these videos are released and go viral.

Negative publicity has trended in de-policing, which many believe was brought about in the post-Ferguson era. Blake and Lafond (2017) found a reduced discretionary pedestrian and traffic stops of 50% during a study conducted with 500 patrol officers from varying-sized agencies. In another study, the effects of negative publicity on officers' feelings of self-legitimacy were examined. This study found a lack of motivation among officers stemming from negative publicity, as supported by Nix and Wolfe (2020). The trend of negative media regarding law enforcement officers may result

in decreased officer morale and/or feed the "us-versus-them" mentality in policing, as evidenced by Nix and Pickett (2020) and Nix and Wolfe (2020). Marier and Fridell (2020) determined that officers' perceptions of public attitudes did not appreciably change after demonstrations by officers due to the public reaction to Ferguson and Baltimore, which resulted in de-policing (p. 31). In this study, officers had already perceived a high degree of antagonism, which the protests might have only reified.

Summary and Conclusions

According to Hwang and Kim (2015), a trend of increasing use of social media and a prominent role of mass media has perpetuated the perceptions of social inequality growing among communities in the Unites States. Existing research provided only a partial understanding of the phenomenon relating to the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media. Although the studies determined how these variables impacted specified research, no literature wholly incorporated the independent characteristic profile variables into one study. Therefore, this study was designed to address the gap in existing literature that did not examine the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media. I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.

In Chapter 2, the available literature was discussed. The search strategy was provided, and the key search terms were described. The theoretical framework was

highlighted as the foundation of the proposed research. The literature review discussed concepts about officer and citizen concerns, performance changes in law enforcement officers while on duty, and officer and citizen perceptions of social media. Overall, the concepts were discussed in this chapter to provide a greater understanding of how social media might impact the perceptions and behaviors of law enforcement officers.

Chapter 3: Research Method

Introduction

Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, I attempted to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. I accomplished this goal through the participant selection of law enforcement officers from different ranks, departments, and regions to gather diverse perspectives. The open-ended questions were used to allow participants to express their experiences, perceptions, and feelings without constraint. I explored the following from the perspectives of law enforcement officers: how they perceived the rise in antipolice sentiment and specific incidents that might have influenced public opinion, how viral incidents and hashtags influenced officers and the public, strategies officers used to cope with or respond to negative sentiment, how social media affected the relationship between police and communities, differences in perceptions between online and offline interactions, the psychological toll on officers due to online criticism and hostility, and emotional responses and coping mechanisms.

The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms contributed valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. By conducting and analyzing these interviews, I gained an understanding of the intricate

relationship between law enforcement and antipolice sentiment on social media platforms.

Research Questions

The RQs for this qualitative study were the following:

RQ1: What are the perceptions of law enforcement officers about social media platforms and content?

RQ2: What are law enforcement officers' perceptions regarding antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier?

The RQs focused on antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier on the law enforcement officer. The questions covered personal experiences with social media, perceptions of police actions, and impacts of online discourse. The study also showed how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. The feedback from the open-ended interview questions was used to provide insight into how law enforcement officers navigated and interpreted these challenges, as well as whether their perceptions and experiences could influence their behaviors while on patrol. Establishing a trusting environment was crucial to encourage honest and detailed responses.

Central Concepts

As Brodkin (2012) discussed, Lipsky's SLBT provides a robust framework for understanding the complex dynamics within law enforcement by emphasizing the discretionary power of frontline officers and the impact of their decision-making

processes on policy implementation and community interactions. I used Lipsky's SLBT in this study to further understand the complex dynamics law enforcement officers faced in an era of heightened antipolice sentiment and pervasive social media influence. By examining officers' perceptions through this lens, I gained insight into their decision-making processes, coping strategies, and the broader implications for public policy and community relations.

As Tummers et al. (2015) and Brodkin (2016) highlighted, Lipsky's SLBT focuses on public service workers, such as law enforcement officers, who interact directly with citizens daily. This framework shows how these frontline workers navigate the complexities and challenges inherent in their roles, balancing organizational mandates with the public's immediate needs. These frontline employees influence the delivery and effectiveness of public services through their direct interactions and discretionary decision making. Therefore, street-level bureaucrats have considerable discretion in their roles and are pivotal in implementing policies on the ground. Law enforcement officers are classic examples of street-level bureaucrats. Hupe et al. (2016) emphasized that, according to Lipsky, street-level bureaucrats must make quick, on-the-spot decisions, often in challenging circumstances. These decisions are crucial as they directly impact the delivery of public services and the implementation of policies in real-world settings.

Law enforcement officers must navigate public interactions while considering the potential for their actions to be recorded and disseminated on social media, increasing scrutiny and criticism. Officers must develop coping mechanisms to manage job stress and conflicting demands. Understanding these mechanisms can show how law

enforcement officers manage the psychological impact of heightened antipolice sentiment and the pressure from social media exposure. Social media can amplify public scrutiny and call for accountability, influencing how law enforcement officers perceive their roles and responsibilities.

As a force multiplier, social media can turn isolated incidents into widespread issues, magnifying public reaction and sentiment. This amplification effect may influence how officers approach their duties; knowing that any action can become viral may influence their discretion and decision making. Social media also influences public opinion and policy demands, often leading to rapid changes in law enforcement practices and policies. Understanding how officers perceive and manage these new dynamics is crucial, as direct encounters and the broader online environment influence their interactions with the community. The following section explains the research design and rationale for the study. It details the desired sample, inclusion, and exclusion criteria, and any foreseen limitations.

Research Design and Rationale

Approach

In this study, I used a qualitative phenomenological research design. A qualitative method was appropriate for this study as it allowed for describing the law enforcement officers' perceptions and showing their subjective experiences. I used a qualitative study to understand the participants' experiences and perceptions better. According to Tracy (2019), researchers can use qualitative interviews to gather participant perspectives, allowing further evidence to address the RQs.

I explored the lived experiences of the participants who had engaged in social media sites. A phenomenological design aligned with this goal. The best way to better understand a phenomenon, lived experiences, or a topic not widely understood is through a phenomenological design (Tracy, 2019). By capturing the lived experiences of officers, I aimed to show the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media. The findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on strategies for future use. These strategies may enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

Through open-ended interview questions and thematic analysis, I uncovered the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. According to Cooper and Schindler (2013), instruments such as open-ended questionnaires are a means for collection information on a target population. Collected information makes it possible to describe motivation (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The open-ended questionnaires will allow for quick collection and analysis of the answers provided. The Walden IRB must first approve any method of collecting information to support this study. The research tradition for this study was a qualitative phenomenological approach. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with participants on the perceptions of law enforcement officers on social media sites such as TikTok and Facebook to show if their behaviors

were influenced, which changed how they provide services. The responsive interviewing model by Rubin and Rubin (2005) was used in this study.

Rationale

By employing qualitative inquiry, I gained a holistic and nuanced understanding of how law enforcement officers perceived and experienced the influence of social media, leading to more effective and empathetic policy and practice recommendations. I aimed to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. The exploration of officers' experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms contributed valuable insights into the broader discourse on policing in the digital age. Furthermore, I investigated how law enforcement professionals perceived social media as a force multiplier, examining its potential benefits and drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies. By capturing the lived experiences of officers, I aimed to show the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media.

I randomized the selection of study participants based on agency size and availability. Data from participants were collected from the questionnaires. The information was then analyzed with a comparative descriptive process to determine participants’ perceptions and experiences of social media sites. Questionnaires are applicable when the goal is to show a relation or contrast of variables (Creswell & Poth, 2018). This methodological approach ensured the collection of uniform, analyzable data

that contributed to a deeper understanding of the impact of social media across diverse law enforcement agencies.

Role of the Researcher

My role in this qualitative research was multifaceted and integral to the study's success. I must skillfully navigate data collection, analysis, and interpretation while maintaining ethical standards and reflexivity, ultimately contributing to a deeper and more nuanced understanding of the research topic presented. At the time of this dissertation, I had 16 years of state and municipal law enforcement service in Florida.

Throughout my career, I observed the impact of technology on the law enforcement profession and its impact on law enforcement officers. I was a state instructor in various high-liability areas and a field training officer, training hundreds of officers within the state of Florida in the field and a classroom setting. With the current level of education in social behavior in law enforcement, I trained law enforcement officers to understand the profession's mental, physical, and behavioral outcomes. With a background in law enforcement, I created a conducive environment for interviewing participants in the study. This expertise also helped to add credence to all data interpretation.

My background did not include any qualitative work, but experience in investigations and interrogation helped to support the quality of interviews with participants in this study. Through this background, I learned to be aware of self-biases, preconceptions, and how these might influence interactions with participants and the

interpretation of data. The ability to reflect on my positionality, the research process, and the relationship with participants helped mitigate bias and enhance the study's credibility.

As a former law enforcement officer, I built relationships with law enforcement officers throughout Manatee County, Florida. In this study, I did not interview former coworkers. Due to the negative climate created by social media on the law enforcement profession, some participants might have been hesitant to answer questions fully. As a former law enforcement officer, I understood anonymity, ensuring and reinforcing the anonymity of all participants to get all questions answered.

Methodology

With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices, I aimed to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigated and interpreted these challenges. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study sought to uncover the complex interplay between law enforcement officers and the heightened antipolice sentiment amplified through various social media platforms. By capturing the lived experiences of officers, this study aimed to shed light on the multifaceted nature of the relationship between law enforcement, antipolice sentiment, and the ever-evolving landscape of social media. The findings from this study can inform policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and the public on strategies to help alleviate the issue. Strategies may be used to enhance communication, trust-building, and collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve in an era heavily influenced by digital communication channels.

All information collected consisted of a multistage cluster sampling method. Participant selection was derived from law enforcement agencies within Manatee County, Florida. These agencies included municipal, county, and state law enforcement agencies. The study employed several methodologies and strategies to examine the perceptions and experiences of law enforcement officers on social media sites and understand if these perceptions changed their behaviors in engaging with the community. I conducted in-depth interviews with law enforcement officers to explore their experiences, perceptions, and attitudes toward social media. These interviews were used to investigate how officers perceived their roles on social media platforms, their interactions with the public, and any concerns or benefits they associated with using social media. This research compared perceptions and behaviors of law enforcement officers from different jurisdictions or with varying levels of social media engagement. This approach highlighted regional differences, departmental policies, or training impacts on officer-community interactions through social media.

Participants answered all questions confidentially. The questions asked were meant to show the law enforcement officer's level of trust in social media and their profession. Through these methodologies, the research showed how law enforcement officers perceived their roles on social media, whether their interactions influenced their behaviors in engaging with the community, and what factors contributed to changes in these perceptions and behaviors over time. This holistic approach comprehensively explored the complex dynamics between law enforcement, social media, and community relations.

Participant Selection Logic

After obtaining the IRB approval, I recruited the participants using the snowball sampling method for recruitment. A multistage cluster sampling method was used to collect a sample of law enforcement officers within several cities within Manatee County, Florida. Purposeful sampling ensured participants were properly vetted based on their experience, and their data were valuable to the study (Patton, 2015). I explored whether law enforcement officers with over 5 years and less than 5 years of experience were influenced by social media. I aimed to interview 10 participants or continue collecting participants until saturation was met. According to Patton (2015), qualitative inquiry is particularly suited for examining how individuals interpret and assign meaning to their experiences. This approach allows researchers to explore the subjective processes that shape perceptions and behaviors within specific contexts. Following approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB), recruitment of participants commenced. Participants were selected based on agency size and availability, ensuring a representative distribution across varying organizational structures. This purposive sampling strategy supported the study's goal of capturing diverse perspectives related to social media use in law enforcement settings.

After IRB approval, recruiting law enforcement officers for this study involved several critical steps to ensure the process was ethical, effective, and compliant with relevant regulations. I first needed permission from the relevant law enforcement agencies or departments. This process was accomplished by first preparing a comprehensive research proposal for this study to provide for these departments. The

proposal briefly overviewed the study, including objectives, significance, and potential benefits to the department. The study design, methodology, participant recruitment, data collection, and analysis were fully described. The proposal also explained how I protected the law enforcement officers who elected to participate.

I then created recruitment material, which included details about this study, participation requirements, confidentiality, and benefits. A consent form was used to ensure the participants were clear on and could comply with the ethical guidelines. The recruitment process included union networking, social media, conference, and training attendance. The process entailed using assistance from training coordinators from selected departments, who also disseminated information.

If the participant met the study criteria, I instructed them to sign a written consent form ensuring they understood their rights and the study's purpose. Once the consents were signed, I conducted interviews at a convenient time and location for all participants. All information collected during the study from participants complied with Walden University and the IRB's protocol for collecting data through interviews and observations. I ensured that each participant's information was kept confidential, and the data were securely stored.

After every interview, I debriefed the participants, thanking them for their participation and offering a summary of the study findings if they were interested. The debrief also ensured that each participant could not be identified in the published results. I was responsive to any concerns or questions the participants might have throughout the study. Some law enforcement officers tend to be suspicious of study involvement when

providing corrective feedback because it often comes with a label that may risk their careers if not kept confidential. However, law enforcement agencies are built on the foundation of feedback. Participants were assured that their feedback would be heard, understood, reflected upon, and translated into positive social change within their profession. By following these steps, law enforcement officers were recruited while maintaining ethical standards and ensuring data reliability.

Instrumentation

Patton (2015) emphasized that an interview guide is a crucial instrument in qualitative research, as it provides a structured framework for conducting interviews while allowing flexibility for in-depth exploration. The guide ensures that all relevant topics are covered systematically, facilitating consistency across interviews and enhancing the reliability and validity of the collected data. By providing a clear outline of the key questions and topics to be addressed, the interview guide helps researchers maintain focus during interviews, reducing the risk of missing important information. Additionally, it allows the interviewer to adapt and probe further based on the responses, facilitating a more comprehensive understanding of the participants' perspectives (Patton, 2015). This structured yet adaptable approach is crucial for generating rich, detailed, and meaningful data that can be systematically analyzed to draw insightful conclusions.

I developed an interview guide (see Appendix) to ensure that all participants were asked the same set of questions, providing a standardized method for gathering information. I used it to stay on topic by ensuring that this study covered all relevant areas during the interview. I prepared it in advance to explore specific areas within this

study. The interview guide kept me on track, making the best use of time for both the researcher and the participants. Finally, the interview guide helped to compare responses from different participants, making it easier to identify patterns and draw conclusions for this study.

Procedures for Recruitment, Participation, and Data Collection

Given the sensitive nature of protecting participant identities, this study did not utilize video recording. Although video data could remain confidential, the presence of a camera could cause discomfort among participants, possibly leading to less candid and detailed responses. As a result, all interviews were recorded using audio-only platforms to maintain participant ease and encourage open dialogue. Cellular phones were not used as recording devices to further safeguard data integrity due to their susceptibility to security breaches. Instead, a secure and reliable audio recording platform was selected after thorough testing in various environments that mirrored the interview settings. This process ensured that the platform effectively captured high-quality data, and any necessary adjustments were made in advance to optimize recording conditions. In addition to audio recordings, handwritten notes were taken in a journal notebook as a secondary data backup, ensuring the preservation of key insights throughout the research process.

I conducted interviews with key participants in person and used audio recordings. The conference allowed more convenience for me and the participants, where time and location might have been an issue. I used in-person conferences to gain visual cues of the

participants, which I could observe. The in-person conferences were easily recorded and easily provided with written transcription.

I gathered data during these in-person interviews. I conducted in-depth interviews with law enforcement officers to explore their experiences, perceptions, and attitudes toward social media. These interviews showed how officers perceived their roles on social media platforms, their interactions with the public, and any concerns or benefits they associated with using social media. All data collected through these interviews were transcribed into text after the interviews. Codes were then assigned to significant data pieces, which were categorized into broader categories or themes. The theme development was used to assist in capturing the essence of the data. Once all the data were obtained, the themes were analyzed to draw meaningful conclusions and insights for this study.

Data Analysis Plan

In qualitative research, coding is a fundamental process that involves categorizing and organizing data into meaningful units (Saldaña, 2016). I used this process to manage large volumes of textual or visual data by breaking them into more manageable pieces. According to Saldaña (2016), coding involves assigning labels or codes to segments of data, which can be words, phrases, sentences, or paragraphs, based on their content and significance. In this study, this step was crucial for identifying the data patterns, similarities, and differences. Once the data were coded, the next step was to identify themes. Themes are broader patterns or topics that emerge from the coded data (Saldaña, 2016). They represent the core ideas or insights derived from the research and are used to

make sense of the data. Themes provide a way to organize and interpret the qualitative data, enabling researchers to draw meaningful conclusions and insights related to the RQs within this study.

To ensure the privacy and confidentiality of the participants, all data were manually reviewed and analyzed without using automated software. Thematic analysis was conducted by identifying, categorizing, and grouping recurring themes based on their relevance and significance (Patton, 2015). These themes and patterns were then carefully examined and interpreted concerning the existing literature and my professional experience. Coding and identification were integral to the qualitative research process, enabling me to systematically analyze and interpret data to uncover meaningful patterns and insights.

A thematic analysis outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006) was conducted to analyze the interview data. This approach was selected due to its flexibility and suitability for identifying patterns within qualitative data. All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. The transcripts were then manually reviewed and analyzed by identifying, categorizing, and grouping recurring themes based on their relevance and significance (Patton, 2015). These themes and patterns were then carefully examined and interpreted concerning the existing literature and my professional experience. I began with an inductive coding process, generating codes directly from the data. The initial codes were refined through several iterations. The identified themes were then interpreted concerning the RQs. I then compared different data sets, and the memo feature was used to document my analytic decisions. To ensure the credibility of this researcher's findings,

I engaged in member checking with a subset of participants and conducted peer debriefing sessions. This structure allowed me to provide a comprehensive and transparent account of this qualitative data analysis process.

Issues of Trustworthiness

Establishing trustworthiness in this qualitative study involved ensuring the study's credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. Patton (2015) stated that trustworthiness could be established by the time spent and rapport established with participants. Trust was crucial for participants to feel comfortable sharing personal experiences. I had to acknowledge the complexity of participants' experiences and attitudes. I also had to show empathy and understanding toward diverse viewpoints within the discourse on policing in the digital age. By implementing the mentioned strategies, I created an environment conducive to honest and forthright participant responses when discussing experiences, attitudes, and coping mechanisms related to policing in the digital age. Therefore, building trust, ensuring confidentiality, and respecting participant perspectives were central to fostering openness and authenticity in research.

Credibility

Establishing credibility in a qualitative study involves various techniques and strategies to ensure the findings accurately represent the participants' experiences and perspectives. Establishing credibility involves techniques such as member checking, prolonged engagement, and triangulation, all of which contribute to the study's trustworthiness (Nowell et al., 2017). I utilized triangulation, which combined the

interviews, participant observations, and document analysis to provide a comprehensive view of the phenomenon under study. Credibility was also established by selecting participants based on the established guidelines.

Transferability

Transferability is an important concept in qualitative research, particularly when considering how well the insights, conclusions, and recommendations drawn from one study might hold in different situations or with different populations. The findings in the research that can be applied in other contexts and studies are referred to as transferability (Nowell et al., 2017). Achieving transferability within this qualitative study involved providing enough contextual detail so that others could determine whether the findings apply to other settings or groups. Transferability was established by providing sufficient detail about the fieldwork context so the reader could decide how much the conclusions transfer to other times, settings, situations, and people (Nowell et al., 2017). U

I used thick descriptions by including detailed descriptions of the departments and specific interactions observed. I utilized purposeful sampling by purposively sample participants from different departments of Manatee County, varying lengths of service, and different levels of rank to capture a wide range of experiences. I also clearly described the data collection process in a study, including how participants were selected, the types of data collected, and how data were analyzed.

This study's theoretical and conceptual frameworks were clearly articulated to help other researchers understand how the findings might relate to their theoretical perspectives. I aimed to enhance the transferability of this study by striving for clarity,

transparency, and thoroughness throughout this study. By doing so, I contributed valuable insights to advance knowledge and inform practices and policies in diverse settings.

Dependability

Dependability in this qualitative study involved demonstrating that the research process is consistent and repeatable, ensuring reliable findings. Dependability involved participants' evaluation of the study's findings, interpretation, and recommendations such that all are supported by the data received from the study informants (Nowell et al., 2017). I first established dependability by creating an audit trail. I documented each step of the research process, including how participants were selected, how interviews were conducted, how data were analyzed, and how themes were derived. All versions of coding schemes and notes on changes made during the study were documented. I provided a detailed methodological description by clearly describing the methods and procedures, allowing others to understand and replicate the study. Dependability was also achieved by continuously reviewing and reflecting on the research process, adjusting as needed to maintain consistency and rigor.

Confirmability

I achieved confirmability in this qualitative study by ensuring the findings were well-grounded in the data and free from researcher bias. Confirmability is the degree to which the respondents shape the study findings, not researcher bias, motivation, or interest, as achieved through audit trails, reflexivity, and triangulation (Nowell et al., 2017). First, I established credibility by maintaining comprehensive and clear records of the research process. I documented all stages of the research process, including data

collection, analysis procedures, decision-making processes, and justifications for methodological choices. This transparency would allow others to trace the course of the research and verify the findings. I collected data through different methods (interviews, observations, document analysis) and was not biased by a single perspective. I also shared initial findings with law enforcement officers to verify the accuracy of interpretations and ensured that the findings reflected their experiences.

Ethical Procedures

I submitted this research study to Walden University's IRB, detailing how this study ensured informed consent and confidentiality while minimizing risks. I also addressed any feedback provided by the board before starting the study. Once IRB approval for this dissertation was granted, the study proceeded. The consent agreements for all participants were administered during the data collection process. Walden University IRB guidelines were strictly followed. The participants were current Manatee County, Florida, law enforcement officers. Other criteria included experience as a law enforcement officer before and after social media became a problem. This criterion was critical because officers could have demonstrated behavioral changes after exposure to social media stimuli.

According to T. Miller et al. (2012), qualitative research ethics encompass the relationships between researchers and participants, emphasizing the need for informed consent, confidentiality, and the ethical use of data. The *Belmont Report* is a foundational document in research ethics, particularly in biomedical and behavioral research involving human subjects. It was published in 1979 by the National Commission for the Protection

of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research in the United States. The report was significant for this research for several reasons.

The three core ethical principles outlined in The Belmont Report served as a framework for this research involving human participants (National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 1979). First, I respected all participants, emphasizing the importance of treating individuals as autonomous agents capable of making their own decisions and protecting those with diminished autonomy. The next core ethical principle was that I must ensure the well-being of participants by maximizing benefits and minimizing potential harm. Finally, the benefits and burdens of this research were distributed fairly, ensuring that no group was unduly burdened or excluded from potential benefits. Ensuring that participants were fully informed about the research, including its purpose, procedures, risks, benefits, and their rights, was conducted before they agreed to participate. Carefully weighing the potential risks and benefits of the research was also used to ensure that the benefits justified any risks to the participants. Selecting research participants was fair and equitable, and vulnerable populations were not exploited. The principles and guidelines outlined in the *Belmont Report* were incorporated into federal regulations governing human subjects research in the United States. These regulations were known as the Common Rule, which provided a framework for IRBs to evaluate and oversee research studies to ensure ethical compliance.

The *Belmont Report* has profoundly affected the conduct of research worldwide. It serves as a cornerstone for the ethical standards and regulations protecting human

research subjects, influencing policies and practices globally (National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 1979). Overall, the *Belmont Report* provides a clear and concise framework for conducting ethical research involving human subjects, ensuring their rights, well-being, and dignity were protected.

The IRB was responsible for ensuring that all Walden University research complied with the university's ethical standards as well as U.S. federal regulations. The IRB's ethics review and approval were required before participant recruitment, data collection, or data analysis. The IRB was concerned with my protection, the participants of the study, and the university. I gave the IRB specific details regarding how these protections should be assured. The IRB was also provided detailed and comprehensive information about the study, such as the consent process, how participants were recruited, and how the information was kept confidential and anonymous.

Any instrument used to interpret the information within this study would require the author's consent. After IRB approval (11-19-24-1059986), the questionnaires were given to law enforcement officers at the start of their shifts. I examined the subjective perceptions and experiences of the officers. To ensure the validity of the questionnaires, I did not modify the wording of any questionnaire except for the location in which the interview took place. All answers obtained should be appropriate for parametric analysis.

Confidentiality and anonymity in this study were accomplished by using pseudonyms for all participants and removing any identifying information from transcripts and reports. I chose a private and safe location for each participant and

allowed them to control the pace and content of the discussion. When the answers from this study were collected, they were secured and stored on a password-protected computer with a backup thumb drive. Both items will be secured in my private residence for 5 years and destroyed according to Walden University guidelines. The handling of these data and access to the passwords of the computer and thumb drive is conducted by only me, with no exceptions.

Summary

In this chapter, I fully explained the research design, rationale, research tradition and approach, role of the researcher, and all ethical issues for this study. The methodology was explained, including participant selection logic, instrumentation, and data analysis. Finally, trustworthiness issues were explained, which incorporated the topics of credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. In Chapter 4, I present the results of the data analysis.

Chapter 4: Results

Introduction

In this qualitative study, I explored the nuanced perceptions of law enforcement officers concerning the prevalent issue of antipolice sentiment and the evolving dynamics of social media as a force multiplier. With growing concerns surrounding public perception and the impact of social media on law enforcement practices, this research aims to provide an in-depth understanding of how officers navigate and interpret these challenges. A multistage cluster sampling method would collect a sample of law enforcement officers within Manatee County, Florida. Purposeful sampling ensured participants were properly vetted based on their experience, and the data collected from them was valuable to the study (Patton, 2015).

This study examined the extent to which law enforcement officers are influenced by social media. The aim of this study was to interview 10 participants or until saturation was met. I utilized a generic qualitative research methodology to explore the phenomenon under investigation (Patton, 2015). To enhance the effectiveness of this approach, I integrated the responsive interview model developed by Rubin and Rubin (2005). Participant responses were analyzed using this model, which provided a structured framework for examination. The data collected from participants was systematically reviewed and coded to identify emerging themes.

With the participants' consent, I recorded each interview using a voice dictation device and maintained handwritten notes in a journal to document my reflections on their responses. The audio recordings were securely stored on my password-protected personal

laptop. I carefully reviewed each audio file and manually transcribed the participants' responses. Upon completing the interviews, all participants were given the opportunity to review the transcripts to ensure accuracy. Given the success of the member checking process, and since no discrepancies or changes were identified, peer debriefing was deemed unnecessary.

Setting

For this study, law enforcement agencies within Manatee County, Florida, were selected due to their unique jurisdictional characteristics and community dynamics. Manatee County's diverse population and geographic area offer a representative sample to explore law enforcement practices and challenges. Additionally, the selection aligns with the study's focus on understanding localized policing strategies and their impacts on community relations. Manatee County agencies also provide access to a variety of law enforcement professionals with diverse roles and experiences, enriching the depth and breadth of the data collected. The choice of this specific location ensures that the findings are grounded in real-world contexts, contributing valuable insights that may be transferable to similar jurisdictions in Florida and beyond.

Demographics

The participants in this study varied in age, years of service, and professional experience within the field of law enforcement. The length of service among participants ranged from 1 year to over 30 years, with a median of 17 years. Participants served in various capacities within law enforcement agencies of different sizes, with staffing ranging from 25 to 500 officers. These agencies were located throughout Manatee

County, Florida. Of the 10 participants, seven were male, and three were female. Additionally, the participants represented diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds. All participants met the selection criteria established for this study and were actively serving in various law enforcement roles at the time of data collection. Table 1 includes participant demographics.

Table 1

Participant Demographics

Characteristic	*n*	%
Age (years)		
21–34	3	30
35–44	3	30
45–55	4	40
56 and above	0	0
Gender		
Male	7	70
Female	3	30
Years of service		
0–10	5	50
11–20	2	20
21–30	2	20
31 and above	1	10

Data Collection

Following approval from the IRB, the participant recruitment process commenced. I used the snowball sampling method to identify and secure participants for the study. Initial outreach involved sending formal letters to law enforcement agencies within Manatee County, Florida. These letters provided a detailed explanation of the study's purpose, significance, and participation criteria, as outlined in the introduction of this chapter. The recruitment phase spanned several weeks, ultimately yielding a total of

10 participants who met the predefined eligibility criteria. Scheduling and conducting interviews required an additional 3 weeks, ensuring that each participant had the opportunity to select a location of their preference for the interview. The final interview was conducted on April 16, 2025.

I used a semi-structured interview approach, complemented by the responsive interviewing model developed by Rubin and Rubin (2005). This methodology facilitated an open exchange of ideas, allowing participants to articulate their experiences while enabling the researcher to pose follow-up questions for further clarification and depth. Each interview lasted between 30 and 40 min. The interview protocol consisted of 20 primary questions, categorized into four overarching areas: (a) warm-up, (b) literature-based, (c) theoretical framework, and (d) methodology. The Warm-up section aimed to establish rapport with participants by exploring their training, experience, and general perceptions of social media within law enforcement. The literature-based questions examined key variables predominantly investigated in prior quantitative research, including use of social media. The Theoretical Framework section integrated inquiries grounded in Lipsky's (2010) SLBT, focusing on officers' interactions with and use of social media. The Methodological section addressed questions aligned with the research objectives, specifically exploring the following:

RQ1. What are the perceptions of law enforcement officers regarding social media platforms and their content?

RQ2. What are law enforcement officers' perceptions of antipolice sentiment and the evolving role of social media as a force multiplier?

Data Analysis

The analysis of qualitative data in this study followed a rigorous and methodical process grounded in thematic analysis, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The aim was to uncover patterns in the lived experiences and perceptions of law enforcement officers concerning social media and antipolice sentiment. A six-phase approach underpinned the data analysis: data familiarization, generation of initial codes, search for themes, review of themes, definition and naming of themes, and production of the final report.

Following this structured approach, I securely stored all audio recordings on a password-protected laptop and manually transcribed each interview into Microsoft Word documents. Manual transcription, while time-intensive, provided a deeper engagement with the data, enabling a heightened sensitivity to subtle indicators such as inflection, pauses, and shifts in tone—elements often lost in automated transcription. As Rubin and Rubin (2005) suggest, manual transcription enriches interpretation by allowing the researcher to observe nuanced emotional and contextual cues embedded in participant responses. My background in law enforcement further contributed to an accurate and contextualized transcription, especially when interpreting professional jargon, operational terminology, or situational references specific to the field.

Once transcription was complete, I applied an open coding strategy. Initial codes were assigned to descriptive segments of text that aligned with the study's central phenomena—officers' perceptions of social media platforms, content dissemination, and the societal consequences of antipolice sentiment. The initial codes were inductively

derived from the data, allowing concepts to emerge naturally rather than imposing a preconceived coding structure. This inductive approach was critical to capturing authentic insights rather than forcing alignment with theoretical assumptions.

To enhance analytical rigor, I developed a coding matrix in Microsoft Excel, where excerpts from transcripts were categorized and organized by participant number and preliminary codes. This matrix facilitated easy cross-comparison of responses and aided in refining codes into broader thematic categories. As recurring patterns became evident across interviews, I moved into axial coding, clustering related codes into conceptual families and ultimately identifying four major themes. Codes that did not contribute meaningfully to the central RQs were set aside, as suggested by Holliday (2007), to ensure thematic precision and relevance.

A key strategy to enhance the credibility and confirmability of the findings was member checking. After transcription, each participant received a copy of their transcript to review and validate the accuracy of their statements. This process allowed for clarification of ambiguities and confirmed that their perspectives were authentically represented. Additionally, I maintained an observational log throughout the interview and transcription process. This log included reflective notes, significant verbal cues, nonverbal indicators observed during the conversations, and notable quotations. It served as an essential cross-referencing tool to triangulate findings between audio data, transcripts, and field observations.

I assessed saturation throughout the data collection and analysis process. By the nineth interview, no new codes or unique insights were emerging, indicating that

thematic saturation had been achieved (Guest et al., 2006). A 10th participant was nonetheless included to confirm the consistency of the established themes. The data from this final interview corroborated the thematic framework without necessitating additional codes, reinforcing the sufficiency and depth of the data set.

The iterative process of coding and thematic consolidation resulted in the identification of four major themes:

- social media as a double-edged sword in law enforcement
- challenges of misinformation and public perception
- officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media
- accountability, transparency, and the evolving role of policy

Each theme encapsulates a vital dimension of the participants' perceptions and experiences, illustrating how social media functions simultaneously as a tool of transparency and a source of occupational strain and public scrutiny. These themes are presented in detail in the Results section of Chapter 4, supported by direct participant quotes and connected to the existing literature to provide a robust interpretation of the findings.

Evidence of Trustworthiness

In Chapter 3, I discussed the principles of trustworthiness outlined by Lincoln and Guba (1985) that I applied to ensure the rigor and validity of this study. Several measures were implemented to establish credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. Credibility was achieved through the accurate transcription of interview audio recordings and meticulous notetaking during each interview. Additionally, member

checking was conducted to enhance accuracy. Participants were invited to review my notes and preliminary findings, which were sent to them via the personal email addresses they voluntarily provided. No participants expressed concerns regarding the documentation, nor were any discrepancies noted.

To ensure transferability, steps were taken to protect participant anonymity and alleviate concerns regarding potential identification. Dependability was maintained by following a consistent interview protocol and employing audio recordings and handwritten notes during data collection. This methodological consistency reinforced the reliability of the findings. Confirmability was established using a snowball sampling strategy and purposeful selection of participants who met the study's criteria, including sufficient law enforcement experience and specialized training. As Patton (2015) recommended, rapport was developed with each participant to encourage openness and facilitate detailed responses. Finally, neutrality was upheld by refraining from sharing personal perceptions of social media with participants or posing leading questions that could have influenced their responses. This approach ensured participants provided authentic and unbiased insights based on their professional experiences (Patton, 2015).

Results

In Chapters 2 and 3, I emphasized that participants in this study would remain completely confidential. Given the close-knit nature of the law enforcement community, certain identifying factors—such as years of experience, specialized training, and positions held—could inadvertently reveal an individual's identity. Therefore, these variables were presented in a generalized manner to maintain confidentiality while still

affirming the credibility of the participants. Additionally, responses were not attributed to specific individuals; instead, the data were synthesized into a collective narrative to analyze the phenomenon comprehensively. Through a rigorous qualitative analysis of participant interviews, I identified four emergent themes:

- social media as a double-edged sword in law enforcement
- challenges of misinformation and public perception
- officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media
- accountability, transparency, and the evolving role of policy

Each theme represents a critical aspect of how law enforcement officers perceive and experience the impact of social media in their professional roles. These themes not only highlight the benefits and challenges of social media use in policing but also underscore the evolving complexities surrounding public trust, officer well-being, and institutional accountability. In the following sections, I provide an in-depth discussion of each theme, supported by participant insights and analysis.

Theme 1

The theme of social media as a double-edged sword emerged prominently across participant narratives, highlighting the paradoxical role social media plays in modern law enforcement. This theme is directly connected to RQ1, which explored officers' perceptions of social media platforms and their content. Participants consistently acknowledged that while social media provides law enforcement agencies with tools to enhance communication, transparency, and public engagement, it simultaneously exposes officers to heightened scrutiny, misrepresentation, and reputational harm. Several officers

described feeling that content on social platforms is frequently taken out of context, leading to public misperception and a lack of trust, thereby framing social media as both a valuable asset and a professional liability.

In connection with RQ2, the theme underscores how social media functions as a force multiplier—not only for disseminating information but also for amplifying antipolice sentiment. Participants perceived that social media algorithms and viral content often escalate negative narratives, fostering an environment of hostility toward law enforcement. These perceptions aligned with broader concerns about the evolving role of digital platforms in shaping public discourse and inflaming community-police tensions. Overall, this theme reveals the complex and evolving relationship between law enforcement and social media, where benefits such as increased visibility and transparency are counterbalanced by risks to officer well-being, public trust, and operational security. The findings affirm the significance of understanding this duality in shaping policy, training, and communication strategies within law enforcement agencies.

P1 stated that,

> Social media is a powerful tool when used right—we can get information out fast, engage the community, even solve crimes. But at the same time, one misleading video clip or post taken out of context can spiral into a PR nightmare. It's like walking on a tightrope.

P2 stated that, "There's no denying that platforms like Facebook or Twitter help us connect, but they've also become places where judgment happens instantly and without all the facts. It feels like we're always one post away from being vilified." P3 stated that,

> We've had cases where public tips came in after we posted a photo or video. That's great. But we've also had officers do everything by the book and still face backlash online because people only see what they want to see.

P4 described social media as, "having eyes on you 24/7. It's good because it forces accountability, but it also means you're second-guessed constantly, even when you're doing the right thing."

P6 stated that, "There's a real benefit in building trust digitally. I've had citizens message me privately with information because they felt safe behind a screen. Still, I've also seen officers do heroic work and get no credit while a single negative encounter goes viral and overshadows all the good."

P7 and P9 stated that, "It cuts both ways—one minute, social media is your biggest ally in public safety; the next, it's fueling outrage over an incident that's still under investigation. The court of public opinion doesn't wait for the facts."

Their perspectives highlighted the paradoxical nature of social media—functioning as both an essential tool for modern policing and a significant challenge that can undermine law enforcement operations and public trust. This theme emerged from an analysis of participants' lived experiences, emphasizing both the benefits and the inherent risks associated with social media engagement in law enforcement settings. Table 2 shows the six codes associated with Theme 1 and their presence in interview responses by participant.

Table 2

Presence of Theme 1 Codes in Each Participant's Responses

Participant	Associated code					
	Social media as a tool for transparency and community engagement	Operational advantages	The challenge of misinformation and public backlash	Social media misuse by officers	Officer safety and the weaponization of social media	Policy and training gaps
P1	X	X	X	X	X	X
P2		X	X	X	X	X
P3	X	X	X	X	X	X
P4	X	X	X	X	X	X
P5	X	X	X		X	X
P6		X	X	X	X	X
P7	X	X	X	X	X	X
P8		X	X	X	X	X
P9	X	X	X	X	X	X
P10			X		X	X
Total *n*	6	9	10	8	10	10

Social Media as a Tool for Transparency and Community Engagement

Several participants underscored the advantages of social media in fostering transparency and strengthening community relations. Social media platforms enable law enforcement agencies to provide real-time updates on public safety concerns, issue alerts, and engage directly with the public. This direct line of communication allows agencies to counter misinformation, humanize the profession, and demonstrate accountability. As one participants noted, "In today's world, if we're not telling our story, someone else will—and that's not always accurate." This aligns with prior research that suggests social media serves as a strategic public relations tool, allowing agencies to shape narratives and build public trust (Schafer, 2021).

Operational Advantages: Investigations and Intelligence Gathering

Another key benefit participants identified was the role of social media in criminal investigations and intelligence gathering. Several participants described cases in

which digital footprints, social media activity, and user-generated content provided valuable leads for solving crimes. One participant explained, "We've cracked cases wide open because of what suspects post online—sometimes, they incriminate themselves without realizing it." This response aligns with the concept of open-source intelligence, wherein law enforcement leverages publicly available digital information to enhance investigative capabilities (Trottier, 2019). However, despite these advantages, social media remains a double-edged sword due to ethical and legal challenges surrounding digital privacy and Fourth Amendment considerations.

The Challenge of Misinformation and Public Backlash

While social media enhances transparency, it also exposes law enforcement agencies to significant challenges, particularly the rapid spread of misinformation. Several participants emphasized how viral posts—often taken out of context—can fuel antipolice sentiment, damage reputations, and incite public unrest. One participant stated, "It doesn't matter what actually happened—once the wrong version goes viral, we're already on the defensive." This highlights the difficulty law enforcement faces in controlling narratives, especially in high-profile incidents involving use-of-force encounters. Research suggests that misinformation on social media can erode public confidence in policing and contribute to increased tensions between officers and communities (Chermak & Gruenewald, 2020).

Social Media Misuse by Officers. Participants also expressed concerns about officers' personal use of social media, which has led to disciplinary actions and public scandals. Some officers have been found sharing inappropriate content, engaging in

unprofessional discourse, or exposing sensitive information. One participant explained, "We've had to terminate officers because of what they posted. Even private posts can become public, and there's no undo button." This finding is consistent with studies that highlight the risks of online misconduct, where officers' social media activity can reflect poorly on the department and result in public scrutiny (M. D. White & Fradella, 2022).

Officer Safety and the Weaponization of Social Media. Another critical concern raised by participants was the role of social media in compromising officer safety. Some reported cases where officers were doxed—having their personal information exposed online—leading to threats against them and their families. One participant shared, "People have posted our addresses, our kids' schools—it's terrifying." This aligns with broader concerns about digital threats and cybersecurity risks faced by law enforcement personnel, as hostile actors leverage social media for harassment and intimidation (Hannah et al., 2021).

Policy and Training Gaps. Finally, participants emphasized the lack of comprehensive policies and training on social media use in policing. While some departments have social media guidelines, enforcement and education vary widely. One participant remarked, "We're playing catch-up. Technology evolves faster than our policies, and that's a problem." This reinforces findings from previous research, which suggests that inconsistent social media policies contribute to legal and ethical ambiguities in law enforcement practices (Rosenbaum et al., 2020).

Theme 2

The theme of challenges of misinformation and public perception emerged as a core concern among participants, particularly in relation to how rapidly inaccurate or incomplete information spreads via social media. This theme is directly tied to RQ1 as participants expressed frustration with the unfiltered nature of digital platforms, where content is often shared without context or verification. Officers reported that these distortions result in misinformed public reactions, diminished trust in law enforcement, and undue criticism of routine police actions. In connection to RQ2, participating officers described misinformation as a key driver in the escalation of antipolice sentiment. Viral posts, especially those involving edited or selectively recorded video clips, were seen as fueling emotional and biased interpretations of police conduct. Participants emphasized that the lack of accountability in content sharing on social media has created an environment where perception often overrides fact, complicating efforts to maintain public confidence and operational integrity.

Theme 2 reinforces the urgent need for law enforcement agencies to develop timely, accurate, and strategic communication protocols in the face of digital misinformation. P1 stated that, “The biggest issue now isn’t just what we do—it's how what we do is interpreted online. Misinformation spreads faster than any official statement we release, and by the time we correct it, the damage is already done.” P2 described that there are times when a 10-s clip gets posted with zero context, and suddenly the whole department is under fire. The truth has less priority than whatever narrative gets the most clicks. P3 stated,

> We're constantly fighting perception more than crime. A lot of people believe what they see online, and it shapes how they treat us on the street—even when what they saw wasn't accurate or was from another part of the country.

P4 and P10 explained that social media creates this echo chamber where bad stories are amplified, and our side of the story rarely gets heard. It makes building relationships with the community much harder. P5 and P9 explained that see recruits hesitate in the field, not because they didn't know what to do, but because they were afraid of how it might look on video—or worse, how it might be twisted on social media. One officer (P6) noted, "There's a public perception that we're hiding something all the time. It's hard to rebuild trust when people are fed a steady stream of half-truths or biased coverage on platforms we can't control."

The theme of challenges of misinformation and public perception emerged as a dominant concern among the 10 participants in this study. Their experiences revealed how misinformation, often disseminated through social media and traditional news outlets, influences public attitudes toward law enforcement, shapes narratives surrounding critical incidents, and impacts officers' ability to perform their duties effectively. The theme underscores the complex relationship between public trust, media framing, and the reality of policing in a digital age. Table 3 shows the five codes associated with Theme 2 and their presence in interview responses by participant.

Table 3

Presence of Theme 2 Codes in Each Participant's Responses

Participant	Associated code				
	The viral nature of	Selective framing and	Public trust and the	The psychological	Counteracting misinformation

	misinformation and its impact on law enforcement	media narratives	erosion of legitimacy	toll on officers	
P1	X	X	X	X	X
P2	X	X	X	X	X
P3	X	X	X	X	X
P4	X	X	X	X	X
P5	X	X	X	X	X
P6	X	X		X	X
P7	X	X	X	X	X
P8	X	X	X	X	X
P9	X	X	X	X	X
P10	X	X		X	X
Total *n*	10	10	9	10	10

The Viral Nature of Misinformation and Its Impact on Law Enforcement

All 10 participants described instances where misinformation spread rapidly, often before law enforcement agencies had the opportunity to provide accurate information. The immediacy of social media allows unverified or misleading narratives to gain traction, shaping public opinion in ways that are difficult to counteract. One participant stated, “By the time we release a statement with the facts, the public has already formed an opinion based on a viral video clip or a misleading post.” This response aligns with research suggesting that misinformation spreads faster than factual corrections, particularly when content is emotionally charged (Vosoughi et al., 2018). In policing, this phenomenon exacerbates tensions by fostering misunderstandings about officers' actions, policies, and procedures. Participants noted that once misinformation gains momentum, law enforcement agencies are often left in a defensive posture, trying to counteract public outrage with factual clarifications that may not receive the same level of engagement or visibility.

Selective Framing and Media Narratives

Participants also highlighted the role of media framing in shaping public perception. Several officers recounted incidents where the media selectively presented portions of an event, omitting key context that would have changed the public's understanding of the situation. One participant noted, "The media is quick to broadcast high-profile incidents of alleged misconduct, but they rarely follow up when an officer is cleared of wrongdoing." This response reflects the concept of agenda-setting and framing theory, which suggests that the media influences what issues the public perceives as important and how those issues are interpreted (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). The study participants expressed frustration that media coverage often focuses on negative encounters with law enforcement, reinforcing public distrust even when data shows that most interactions between police and civilians are routine and nonconfrontational (Nix & Pickett, 2020). The lack of follow-up reporting on internal investigations further compounds the problem, as initial claims of misconduct may dominate the news cycle, while exonerations or contextual clarifications receive minimal attention.

Public Trust and the Erosion of Legitimacy

Misinformation and biased portrayals contribute to a crisis of legitimacy for law enforcement agencies. Several participants indicated that they had personally encountered civilians who expressed distrust or hostility based on false or misleading information. One officer shared, "People assume we're out here targeting certain communities unfairly because that's the dominant narrative, but they don't see the countless hours we spend trying to protect those same communities." This sentiment reflects broader criminological

theories, such as procedural justice theory, which suggests that public perceptions of fairness and legitimacy influence compliance with the law and cooperation with law enforcement (Tyler, 2006). When misinformation distorts reality, it erodes confidence in policing institutions, making it more difficult for officers to engage in community-oriented policing strategies.

The Psychological Toll on Officers

Participants also emphasized the emotional and psychological toll misinformation takes on law enforcement personnel. Officers in the study reported feelings of frustration, helplessness, and even burnout when confronting false narratives that painted them as aggressors rather than protectors. One participant remarked, "It's demoralizing when you risk your life every day, but people only see the worst of us because that's what gets shared online." Research supports this concern, indicating that persistent negative portrayals of law enforcement contribute to low morale, recruitment challenges, and officer disengagement (Jetelina et al., 2021). The participants' experiences align with findings that officers who feel misrepresented by the public and media are more likely to experience stress-related disorders, which in turn can affect their decision making and performance in the field (Papazoglou & Andersen, 2014).

Counteracting Misinformation: The Role of Proactive Communication

Despite these challenges, participants agreed that proactive communication strategies could mitigate some of the damage caused by misinformation. Several noted that agencies that engage directly with the public through social media platforms and community outreach programs are better positioned to counter misinformation before it

spirals out of control. One participant explained, “We’ve started putting out body camera footage sooner, engaging with the community more, and correcting false information as soon as we see it. It helps, but it’s still an uphill battle.” This response aligns with research suggesting that law enforcement agencies must take a strategic communication approach, leveraging transparency and direct engagement to maintain public trust (Meijer & Thaens, 2013). By adopting a data-driven and community-centered media strategy, department leaders can counteract misinformation while reinforcing narratives that highlight the positive contributions of officers.

Theme 3

The theme of officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media emerged as a powerful and deeply personal component of participant responses, offering important insight into the human cost of digital scrutiny. This theme speaks directly to RQ1, as participants described their evolving perceptions of social media not merely as a tool, but as a stressor that has altered their comfort levels and emotional resilience in both personal and professional spheres. Officers in the study reported experiencing anxiety, hypervigilance, and emotional exhaustion due to the constant threat of viral exposure and public misjudgment.

In addressing RQ2, the theme underscores the psychological burden officers bear in the face of widespread antipolice sentiment, often amplified by social media. Several participants noted that online hostility and community backlash have led to a withdrawal from proactive policing and a growing sense of isolation within their roles. The findings suggest that the intersection of social media dynamics and negative public sentiment

significantly influences morale, job satisfaction, and overall mental health. As such, this theme not only captures individual perceptions but also highlights a broader occupational hazard that requires systemic support and mental health strategies within law enforcement agencies. P2 stated,

> It's hard not to take it personally when your actions are being criticized by people who don't have the full picture. It weighs on you—especially when your career and reputation are online for everyone to see, even though they don't know the first thing about your day-to-day work.

P10 stated,

> The emotional toll of being scrutinized online is real. Every time there's an incident, you brace yourself for the backlash, wondering how the public will perceive it. It's like constantly being on edge, knowing your next shift could be dissected and judged online.

P3 stated,

> I've seen firsthand how social media can affect morale. Officers start second-guessing their actions because of the fear of how it will look online. It takes a toll on their mental health, especially when all the good things we do don't get nearly as much attention.

P4 said,

> You have to develop a thick skin to work in this job, but social media takes that to another level. It's not just about public opinion anymore; it's about personal attacks. And when you go home, you can't just leave it at the door.

P5 both commented that, “there’s this constant pressure now that didn’t exist when I started. Your actions are always under a microscope, and even the smallest misstep can have huge consequences.” They also described it as mentally exhausting knowing that your performance is being judged by the world in real time. P6 mentioned that today’s officers do not always realize how much social media can get to them.

P6 stated,

> We’re trained to be resilient, but it’s hard to keep your head in the game when you see your name trending for all the wrong reasons. It affects the way we think, act, and even how we interact with the community.

The theme of officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media emerged from this study due to the pervasive stress, emotional exhaustion, and mental health challenges that participants associated with social media exposure. The six participants provided detailed accounts of how digital scrutiny, misinformation, public hostility, and online threats have contributed to increased psychological strain within law enforcement. Their responses underscored that, although social media has transformed modern policing by enhancing communication and investigative capabilities, it has also introduced significant mental and emotional burdens that affect officers’ professional performance, job satisfaction, and overall well-being. Table 4 shows the five codes associated with Theme 3 and their presence in interview responses by participant.

Table 4

Presence of Theme 3 Codes in Each Participant’s Responses

Participant	Associated code				
	The emotional toll of negative	The impact of social media	Cyber harassment and digital threats	Social media-induced	Organizational support and the

	public perception	on work–life balance		hypervigilance and job stress	need for mental health resources
P1	X	X	X	X	X
P2	X	X	X	X	X
P3	X	X	X	X	X
P4	X	X	X	X	X
P5		X	X		X
P6	X	X	X	X	X
P7	X	X	X	X	X
P8	X	X	X	X	X
P9	X	X	X	X	X
P10	X		X	X	X
Total *n*	9	9	10	9	10

The Emotional Toll of Negative Public Perception

A recurring sentiment among participants was the psychological strain caused by the relentless criticism and hostility officers experience online. Several noted that social media has become a platform for antipolice sentiment, often fueled by viral incidents taken out of context. One participant stated, “It feels like no matter what we do, we’re always the enemy online. People don’t see the good; they only see what gets clicks and shares.” This response aligns with research indicating that negative media portrayals and online hostility contribute to officer stress, emotional exhaustion, and cynicism (Jetelina et al., 2021). When officers perceive that the public views them as inherently corrupt, violent, or oppressive, it erodes morale and professional motivation, leading to increased job dissatisfaction and a diminished sense of purpose (Nix & Wolfe, 2020). Participants frequently referenced the frustration of being misrepresented, which exacerbates feelings of burnout and disillusionment with the profession.

The Impact of Social Media on Work–Life Balance

Social media has blurred the lines between officers' professional responsibilities and personal lives, with participants reporting that negative online exposure follows them home. Unlike traditional news cycles, social media operates 24/7, making it difficult for officers to disconnect. One officer shared, "I used to leave work at work. Now, I come home, and my feed is full of antipolice content, or I see people posting my department's name, calling us criminals. It never stops." This constant exposure to negativity has been linked to increased anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress symptoms among officers (Papazoglou & Andersen, 2014). The participants expressed concern that social media fosters an "always-on" culture, where officers are expected to monitor online discourse about their department, respond to public criticism, and remain hyperaware of how their actions might be perceived in a digital space.

Cyber harassment and Digital Threats

Another significant challenge raised by participants was the weaponization of social media against law enforcement officers. Several recounted experiences of being doxed—having their personal information, addresses, and family details leaked online—leading to threats and harassment. One participant stated, "People have posted pictures of my house, my wife's job, even my kids' school. The fear doesn't go away, and it's exhausting."

The psychological impact of digital threats extends beyond individual officers to their families, creating heightened stress and hypervigilance. Research indicates that officers who experience targeted harassment or cyberthreats report increased levels of

anxiety, sleep disturbances, and hyperarousal symptoms consistent with PTSD (Hannah et al., 2021). This persistent sense of vulnerability contributes to chronic stress and emotional exhaustion, potentially leading to officer disengagement, resignation, or early retirement.

Social Media-Induced Hypervigilance and Job Stress

Participants also reported that the perceived need for self-monitoring on social media has altered their behavior, both on and off duty. Officers expressed fear of being recorded, misrepresented, or subjected to public scrutiny over routine actions. One officer remarked, “Even when I’m just grabbing coffee in uniform, I feel like someone’s watching, waiting for me to mess up so they can post it online.”

This state of persistent hypervigilance aligns with findings from stress and trauma research, which suggest that law enforcement officers are already prone to heightened awareness due to the dangers of their job. However, the added layer of digital surveillance amplifies this stress, increasing the risk of decision-making paralysis, emotional detachment, and reactive policing (M. D. White & Fradella, 2022). Participants noted that the fear of online backlash sometimes leads officers to second-guess necessary actions, potentially affecting their ability to effectively perform their duties in high-stakes situations.

Organizational Support and the Need for Mental Health Resources

Despite the challenges posed by social media, participants expressed that many law enforcement agencies lack sufficient mental health resources to help officers cope with the psychological impact of digital scrutiny. Several indicated that their departments

had no formal social media stress management training, nor did they offer consistent mental health support tailored to the unique pressures of online exposure. One participant stated, “We get training on tactics, de-escalation, use of force—but no one talks about how to handle social media stress. We’re just expected to deal with it.”

This finding is consistent with studies highlighting the gap between officer mental health needs and available resources, particularly in relation to chronic stressors like media scrutiny and digital harassment (K. McLean et al., 2020). The participants suggested that mandatory resilience training, peer support programs, and confidential counseling services could help mitigate the long-term psychological effects of social media exposure on officers.

Theme 4

The theme of accountability, transparency, and the evolving role of policy captures a critical intersection of participant insight, organizational response, and public expectation in the digital era. This theme is highly relevant to RQ1, as participants consistently acknowledged that social media has increased public demands for real-time accountability and transparency. Officers shared that their perceptions of digital platforms have evolved, viewing them as both a tool for openness and a mechanism through which they are constantly monitored by the public. Many noted a shift in organizational expectations, where policy increasingly dictates how officers interact with both the community and digital audiences.

With regard to RQ2, this theme emphasizes how social media amplifies calls for reform and accelerates the pace at which policies must adapt to public sentiment.

Participants reflected on the way digital discourse, often fueled by viral incidents, directly influences departmental policy changes, including use-of-force protocols, BWC guidelines, and disciplinary transparency. This evolving policy environment, shaped in part by social media pressure, has reframed how law enforcement officers perceive their roles and responsibilities in a society where legitimacy is now partially maintained through digital transparency.

The findings reveal that social media is not only a communication platform but a catalyst for institutional accountability and systemic reform. P1 stated,

> The rise of social media has shifted the entire landscape of accountability. We're not just accountable to our department or supervisors anymore, we're accountable to the public, who can see everything in real-time. It's a good thing, but it also creates a lot of pressure.

P2 stated,

> The way we engage with the public online has completely changed how we view accountability. Social media demands more transparency, but that also means we have to adjust our internal policies to ensure we're protecting the officers and the department from unjust criticism or exposure.

P3 stated,

> There's more pressure than ever to be transparent, but we also need policies that protect us from the dangers of being too open. We've seen officers get crucified for things that were completely out of context because there weren't clear policies in place to guide how we interact with social media.

P4 stated,

> Policies regarding social media have had to evolve, but not quickly enough to keep up with how fast public perception changes online. We need clear guidelines, and departments need to enforce them consistently. That's the only way to ensure accountability while maintaining officer safety.

P5 stated,

> There's a constant balancing act. We have to be transparent and accountable, but policies need to be there to ensure we're not exposed to unjust scrutiny or unsafe situations. The policies we have now are still catching up to the speed of social media.

P6 and P9 both agreed that accountability and transparency are critical, but there also needs to be policies that protect both citizens and officers. P6 stated, "Without those clear guidelines in place, officers are left trying to navigate social media on their own, and that can lead to mistakes or unintended consequences."

The theme of accountability, transparency, and the evolving role of policy emerged as a significant area of concern among the ten participants in this study, highlighting the complex and evolving relationship between law enforcement practices, public expectations, and institutional policies. Participants emphasized that in an era of heightened public scrutiny, law enforcement agencies face increasing demands for greater accountability and transparency, often shaped by the rapid dissemination of information through social media and digital platforms. Their responses underscored that,

while accountability measures are essential for fostering trust, they also introduce operational challenges and necessitate continuous policy adaptations.

The Growing Demand for Accountability in Modern Policing

One of the most consistent findings from participant responses was the heightened expectation of accountability, driven by public demands for law enforcement officers to justify their actions and decisions in real-time. Participants acknowledged that incidents of police misconduct, whether actual or perceived, are rapidly amplified on social media, often leading to immediate calls for disciplinary action, policy reform, or even criminal charges against officers. One participant remarked, "We're held to an almost impossible standard now. Every decision we make in the field can be questioned, dissected, and judged by people who don't understand the complexity of the situation."

This sentiment aligns with research indicating that public perceptions of police legitimacy are increasingly tied to an agency's willingness to hold officers accountable for their actions (Tyler, 2006). Furthermore, participants noted that while increased accountability measures—such as BWCs, independent review boards, and stricter internal oversight—are necessary for reinforcing trust, they also contribute to officer hesitancy, decision-making fatigue, and an increased burden of documentation (Lum et al., 2020). Table 5 shows the four codes associated with Theme 4 and their presence in interview responses by participant.

Table 5

Presence of Theme 4 Codes in Each Participant's Responses

Participant	Associated code			
	Transparency as a public expectation	The evolving role of policy in law	The unintended consequences of	Striking a balance

	and institutional challenge	enforcement oversight	increased accountability measures	
P1	X	X	X	X
P2	X	X	X	X
P3	X	X	X	X
P4	X	X	X	X
P5	X	X	X	X
P6	X	X	X	X
P7	X	X	X	X
P8	X	X	X	X
P9	X	X	X	X
P10	X	X	X	X
Total n	10	10	10	10

Transparency as a Public Expectation and Institutional Challenge

Participants also highlighted the role of transparency in shaping public trust, noting that the demand for immediate and unfiltered access to information has reshaped the way law enforcement agencies interact with the public. Transparency, once an internal matter managed through official channels, has become an external expectation, with community members, advocacy groups, and media outlets insisting on direct and unredacted access to police reports, body camera footage, and use-of-force data. One officer explained, “People don’t just want to know what happened; they want to see the raw footage, the reports, the decision-making process. And if we don’t provide it fast enough, they assume we’re hiding something.” This response aligns with research suggesting that perceived delays in releasing police information fuel public distrust, even when those delays are necessary for investigative integrity (Meares et al., 2017). Participants expressed frustration over the balancing act between transparency and due process, noting that premature disclosures—particularly of incomplete or contextually ambiguous evidence—can fuel misinformation, speculation, and further scrutiny.

The Evolving Role of Policy in Law Enforcement Oversight

Given the increased expectations for accountability and transparency, participants emphasized that policy adaptation has become an ongoing and reactive process, shaped by public pressure, legal mandates, and political considerations. Several officers expressed concern that new policies are often implemented hastily, sometimes in response to high-profile incidents rather than empirical evidence. One participant stated, "We're constantly adjusting policies, sometimes overnight, based on what's trending in the media rather than what actually improves policing." This response aligns with broader concerns in policing literature regarding policy-driven policing, where reforms are often introduced in response to societal demands rather than research-backed best practices (Walker, 2018).

Participants cited examples of rapidly evolving policies regarding use-of-force reporting, BWC activation requirements, and civilian oversight mechanisms, which, while well-intended, often create operational confusion, inconsistent enforcement, and legal uncertainties. Moreover, participants noted that some policies lack clear implementation strategies, leading to ambiguity in enforcement and increased liability concerns for officers. This was particularly evident in discussions about de-escalation mandates, no-knock warrant restrictions, and qualified immunity reforms, where officers reported that policy shifts have sometimes introduced hesitation in the field, potentially compromising officer safety.

The Unintended Consequences of Increased Accountability Measures

Although participants acknowledged the importance of institutional accountability, they also expressed concerns about the unintended consequences of hyper-accountability measures, including

- Officer disengagement: Some officers reported feeling disempowered, fearing that even justified actions could lead to career-ending scrutiny or litigation.
- De-policing: A few participants indicated that officers have become less proactive in their duties, particularly in high-crime areas, due to concerns over potential backlash. One officer stated, “If stopping someone can lead to me being fired or charged, why would I take the risk?”
- Erosion of officer morale: Continuous public scrutiny and evolving policies have contributed to burnout and attrition, with some participants expressing concerns that qualified officers are leaving the profession due to increasing risks and diminished institutional support.

Research supports these claims, showing that excessive external oversight—if not balanced with organizational support and clear policy guidance—can contribute to lower job satisfaction, reduced officer discretion, and reluctance to engage in proactive policing (Nix et al., 2019).

Striking a Balance

Despite these challenges, participants agreed that accountability and transparency are necessary for maintaining public trust and strengthening institutional legitimacy. However, they stressed that for reforms to be effective rather than reactionary, they must

be evidence-based, clearly articulated, and operationally feasible. Several participants suggested that the following strategies could help strike a balance:

- Proactive public engagement: Agencies should not wait until a crisis to engage with the community but should build long-term trust through education, town halls, and transparent policy discussions.
- 2, Standardized national policies: Instead of fragmented, reactive policies, there should be federal or state-level guidance on key policing issues, ensuring consistency and clarity across departments.
- Officer mental health and legal protections: Increased transparency should be paired with institutional protections for officers, ensuring that accountability measures do not contribute to unjustified career-ending consequences.

Summary

Chapter 4 provided a detailed description of the study's setting and presented the demographics of the participants. I outlined the data collection process and explained the methods used for data analysis. The chapter also included a discussion on evidence of trustworthiness to ensure the reliability and validity of the study. Additionally, emerging themes and key participant accounts were highlighted to provide insight into the findings. In Chapter 5, I will discuss the results in detail, focusing on the themes identified and the shared experiences reported by participants.

Chapter 5: Discussion, Conclusions, and Recommendations

Introduction

In this chapter, I synthesize the findings from this study, offering an in-depth discussion of the themes that emerged from law enforcement officers' perceptions of antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier. The purpose of this qualitative research was to explore how officers experience, interpret, and respond to the challenges posed by social media's role in shaping public perceptions of law enforcement. By analyzing participants' insights, I sought to illuminate the complex and multifaceted impact of digital platforms on policing, officer well-being, departmental policies, and community relations.

I have structured the discussion in this chapter to provide a critical examination of the study's findings in relation to existing literature and theoretical frameworks. First, the chapter revisits the primary RQs, linking them to the major themes identified in the analysis. Key findings are then contextualized within the broader discourse on law enforcement and public perception, highlighting both expected and unexpected insights. Additionally, this chapter discusses the practical implications of the study, particularly in terms of police training, departmental policies, and strategies for improving community engagement in the digital age.

Following the discussion, the chapter presents conclusions drawn from the findings, offering a reflective assessment of how social media has transformed the nature of police work, public trust, and officer accountability. Finally, the chapter includes recommendations for law enforcement agencies, policymakers, and future researchers,

emphasizing the need for strategic communication, mental health support for officers, and proactive community engagement to navigate the challenges posed by social media-driven antipolice sentiment. By integrating the voices of law enforcement officers with existing scholarly discourse, I aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the real-world implications of social media's influence on policing and propose actionable strategies for fostering a more constructive and balanced relationship between law enforcement and the communities they serve.

Interpretation of the Findings

The findings of this study provide critical insights into how law enforcement officers perceive and navigate the dual challenges of antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier. The data gathered from participant interviews revealed nuanced perspectives on how digital platforms amplify public scrutiny, shape law enforcement legitimacy, and influence officer behavior, morale, and decision making. This section interprets the study's findings in relation to existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and contemporary policing challenges, offering a comprehensive analysis of how officers experience the evolving social and digital landscape.

The study's findings align with previous research indicating that social media has fundamentally altered public discourse on law enforcement, serving as both a platform for accountability and a mechanism for widespread misinformation. Participants in this study highlighted how negative portrayals of policing, viral incidents, and real-time digital activism contribute to declining public trust and increased operational challenges for officers. This finding is consistent with previous studies, which demonstrate that

social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook amplify negative narratives about law enforcement, often without sufficient context (C. White, 2014). Officers expressed concerns about how instantaneous access to information, often lacking in nuance or full context, influences public perception and can lead to misinterpretation of police actions (O'Brien, 2019).

Moreover, the findings suggest that officers' sense of professional identity and psychological well-being are directly affected by the intensified scrutiny facilitated by social media. Participants described heightened levels of stress, increased hesitation in decision making, and concerns about departmental and legal repercussions in response to social media exposure. These findings resonate with research by A. McLean et al. (2017), which illustrates how the increasing visibility of police encounters on social media contributes to increased anxiety among officers and impacts their operational confidence. Such scrutiny, coupled with the pressure of being constantly observed, affects the psychological health of officers, potentially leading to job dissatisfaction, burnout, and disengagement (L. Miller & Hess, 2020).

Furthermore, the study revealed how law enforcement agencies are adapting to the digital age. Officers recognized both the challenges and opportunities presented by social media. Some participants acknowledged that social media could serve as a tool for community engagement, transparency, and public education, offering a means to build positive relationships with the community. This aligns with findings from Sampson and Simpson (2016), who suggest that when used effectively, social media can enhance police legitimacy by providing officers with a direct platform for sharing information and

responding to public concerns. However, participants in this study also expressed concerns about how social media can be weaponized to shape negative perceptions, which exacerbates the already existing challenges of maintaining public trust (Gronvall, 2020).

As departments work to integrate digital communication strategies, policy adaptations, and officer training on social media engagement, the findings suggest that proactive approaches to digital literacy and crisis communication may help mitigate some of the adverse effects of online scrutiny. This is supported by the work of Meares et al. (2017), who argued that the integration of technology within law enforcement requires a balanced strategy to leverage its potential benefits while minimizing its detrimental effects on officer morale and public perception. Proactive crisis management and public relations strategies, including training officers on how to navigate and respond to social media coverage, were emphasized by several participants as vital components of a broader institutional response.

By situating these findings within the broader discourse on policing, public perception, and media influence, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how officers experience and respond to the digital amplification of antipolice sentiment. The insights gained underscore the need for strategic policy reforms, officer mental health initiatives, and enhanced community engagement efforts to foster a more balanced and constructive relationship between law enforcement and the public in the digital era. As Goffman (2020) suggests, the digital age demands new forms of accountability, where

transparency and genuine community collaboration are essential for improving law enforcement practices and ensuring their legitimacy.

Theme 1

Theme 1 of this study, social media as a double-edged sword in law enforcement, encapsulates the complex and multifaceted role social media plays in contemporary policing, particularly in relation to antipolice sentiment and its amplification through digital platforms. The data gathered from the 10 law enforcement participants reveal that social media has both positive and negative implications for law enforcement agencies, officers, and their interactions with the public. While social media offers new opportunities for engagement, transparency, and accountability, it simultaneously exposes law enforcement to heightened scrutiny, misinformation, and an erosion of public trust. This duality of social media's impact on policing highlights the challenges officers face in navigating the delicate balance between utilizing these platforms to foster positive relationships with the community and managing the negative consequences of online criticism.

The findings suggest that social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube serve as powerful tools for increased transparency and communication between law enforcement and the public. Several participants noted the potential of social media to demonstrate accountability, allowing police departments to share real-time updates, engage with the community, and provide insight into their operations. This aligns with previous research by O'Brien (2019), who argues that social media has allowed law enforcement to humanize their image and engage with citizens in

ways that were previously unavailable. Officers in this study indicated that social media allowed them to counter false narratives, post positive stories of police work, and demonstrate their commitment to public safety. This aspect of social media's influence aligns with the theory of procedural justice, which posits that public perceptions of fairness in policing can be shaped by transparent, open, and consistent communication (Tyler, 2006).

However, as indicated by the participants, the other side of social media's impact is the rapid spread of misinformation, misinterpretation, and negative portrayals of police actions. Numerous officers expressed concern that false or misleading information could quickly go viral, leading to damage to their reputation and that of the agency as a whole. One officer remarked that even a single controversial incident, often captured out of context or with incomplete information, could escalate into widespread online outrage. This amplification of negative sentiment, often far removed from the full context of the incident, highlights the double-edged nature of social media's role. As C. White (2014) notes, social media can act as a force multiplier, magnifying both positive and negative narratives, but often with an inherent bias toward sensationalism. Officers reported feeling increasingly vulnerable to public backlash due to the instantaneous and permanent nature of online content, creating an environment where their every move was potentially under scrutiny by a wide audience, regardless of the situation's complexity.

Theme 1 further underscores the psychological impact of social media on officers, particularly in the context of the increasing antipolice sentiment amplified by online platforms. Several participants noted a growing sense of anxiety and stress due to the fear

of being recorded in high-stakes situations, with the possibility that their actions could be misrepresented or unfairly judged by the public. This heightened anxiety was further compounded by the constant mental fatigue of knowing that their actions might be subjected to public scrutiny at any given moment, a phenomenon which A. McLean et al. (2017) describe as creating an environment where officers feel paralyzed by fear of negative portrayal. The emotional toll on officers, many of whom expressed feelings of being under constant surveillance, aligns with the findings of L. Miller and Hess (2020), who emphasize that stress and job dissatisfaction among officers can be exacerbated by social media's persistent focus on misconduct and negative incidents. This creates a paradox where, while social media offers a platform for increasing transparency and trust, it simultaneously imposes a psychological burden on officers, eroding their confidence and morale.

In terms of community-police relations, the findings suggest that social media plays a pivotal role in shaping how officers are perceived by the public. On the one hand, social media can facilitate positive interactions and community engagement by allowing law enforcement to humanize their image, share community initiatives, and communicate directly with citizens. However, the overwhelming presence of antipolice sentiment online contributes to the polarization of public opinion, with some participants noting a clear division between pro-police and antipolice factions in the digital landscape. The officers reported that this polarization often spilled over into their everyday interactions with the public, where preconceived biases and negative attitudes toward law enforcement, fueled by social media narratives, could be observed in encounters with

citizens. This finding is supported by Sampson and Simpson (2016), who argue that social media's ability to amplify polarization has led to more adversarial relationships between police and certain segments of the community, particularly those who consume media with a critical view of law enforcement.

Moreover, the study highlights the strategic use of social media by law enforcement agencies in responding to criticism and countering misinformation. Several participants discussed how their departments had developed social media protocols to engage with the public proactively, post updates about investigations, and correct false claims. However, the effectiveness of these strategies was often questioned by officers, who noted that despite their best efforts, social media's rapid and viral nature made it difficult to effectively manage public opinion in real-time. Officers expressed frustration that, despite efforts to provide clarity and context, negative viral narratives would often outlast efforts to correct them. This speaks to the limitations of law enforcement's ability to control the narrative in an age of ubiquitous digital content.

The findings from this study highlight the psychological strain on officers, the polarization of public opinion, and the difficulties in managing online narratives, all of which contribute to a broader understanding of how social media functions as a force multiplier in law enforcement. This theme calls for strategic approaches to social media management, mental health support for officers, and policy development to better equip law enforcement agencies to navigate the challenges posed by the pervasive influence of digital platforms in contemporary policing.

Theme 2

The challenges of misinformation and public perception emerged as a central theme in this study, underscoring the profound impact of inaccurate or misleading information on law enforcement officers' experiences, public relations, and overall police legitimacy. The findings highlight how the proliferation of misinformation, particularly on social media platforms, exacerbates existing challenges for law enforcement agencies and creates new obstacles in maintaining positive public perceptions. This theme encapsulates the perceptions of law enforcement officers as they navigate the complexities of managing their professional image amidst a landscape increasingly dominated by misinformation, sensationalized narratives, and rapidly changing public opinion.

A key element of this theme was the participants' recognition of the rapid spread of misinformation through digital platforms, particularly social media. Officers expressed concern that misleading or incomplete information was often disseminated at a fast pace, resulting in distorted portrayals of police activities and interactions. Several participants described instances where police actions, captured in brief, context-deprived videos, were presented in ways that misrepresented the situation. These situations were often amplified through social media, with users adding their own biased interpretations, hastily formed judgments, or exaggerated emotions, which further fueled antipolice sentiment. This aligns with findings in previous literature, which emphasize how digital media's speed and viral nature lead to the swift circulation of unverified and inflammatory content (A. McLean et al., 2017; O'Brien, 2019). For law enforcement officers, the speed at which

misinformation spreads presents significant challenges in managing both the public narrative and the reality of police work, leading to a public perception crisis that is difficult to correct once it has taken hold.

Participants also expressed frustration at their limited ability to control or correct misinformation once it spread across social media. Many officers noted that efforts to clarify facts or provide context were often overshadowed by the viral nature of negative stories. One participant described the experience of posting a statement or video from the department to clarify a situation, only for the original, inaccurate post to remain the dominant narrative in the public's mind. This phenomenon highlights the chronic issue of information asymmetry, where misinformation outpaces official responses in terms of visibility and influence (C. White, 2014). Officers reported that despite their best efforts to engage with the community through social media and provide accurate portrayals of police work, they felt increasingly powerless in the face of polarized, misinformed public opinion that had been shaped by false narratives. This underscores the complex dynamics of social media, where the rapid dissemination of content coupled with limited oversight creates an environment in which misinformation can thrive.

The theme also draws attention to the psychological toll that misinformation and public perception issues take on law enforcement officers. Many officers in this study discussed how false narratives and misrepresented actions not only undermine their professional credibility but also contribute to emotional distress and job dissatisfaction. Officers reported feeling a constant sense of being judged by the public, especially in an age where public opinion is increasingly shaped by digital platforms, with

misinformation playing a pivotal role. The psychological burden of dealing with public misconceptions was seen as exhausting, leading to cynicism and a feeling of disconnection from the communities they serve. This finding corroborates research by L. Miller and Hess (2020), who suggest that officers are facing an increasing emotional strain from online scrutiny, particularly when they are unable to effectively counter misinformation. The emotional impact of being subjected to public misjudgment can contribute to burnout and low morale, further affecting the efficacy of law enforcement agencies.

Another notable aspect of this theme is the role that media outlets and news organizations play in perpetuating misinformation. Participants observed that some media channels would exaggerate or sensationalize incidents involving police, focusing disproportionately on negative events and providing minimal context or critical information. This can distort public perception by shaping the narrative in a way that criminalizes the actions of law enforcement officers based on incomplete information. One officer noted that news outlets often prioritized ratings over accuracy, leading to the perpetuation of skewed representations of police interactions. This aligns with the work of Sampson and Simpson (2016), who argue that the media's focus on sensationalized coverage, rather than nuanced and accurate reporting, contributes to the deterioration of public trust in law enforcement. These media representations, coupled with misinformation on social media, exacerbate the challenge of cultivating positive public perceptions, making it increasingly difficult for officers to navigate the complexities of their public image.

In addition to the impact on officers, the theme of misinformation and public perception also highlights the long-term consequences for police-community relations. Participants reported that misleading information, often perpetuated through social media and news outlets, creates an environment where trust in law enforcement is eroded, particularly in marginalized communities. Officers described instances where individuals or groups within the community approached them with preconceived negative perceptions, shaped by social media posts and news stories. The participants noted that antipolice sentiment, amplified by misinformation, often resulted in heightened tension during interactions with the public. The findings are consistent with Tyler's (2006) theory of police legitimacy, which suggests that when the public perceives law enforcement officers as unjust or untrustworthy, it erodes their legitimacy in the eyes of the community. The officers in this study expressed concern that misinformation not only affected their interactions but also undermined efforts to build trust and cooperation with the public.

Theme 3

Theme 3 captures the significant toll that social media has on law enforcement officers' mental health, emotional stability, and overall job satisfaction. The theme emerged strongly from the data and underscores the psychological burden that officers experience in response to the increased scrutiny, pressure, and public judgment that comes with the pervasive presence of social media. This analysis of Theme 3 explores how social media, as both a tool for engagement and a platform for public critique, affects officers' well-being, morale, and perceptions of their roles in law enforcement.

The participants in this study consistently described feeling the psychological strain of being under constant surveillance, not only in the physical realm but also in the digital space. The advent of social media has placed officers' actions, both in the line of duty and in their personal lives, in the public eye, often subject to the biases and interpretations of online communities. The pervasive nature of social media, where moments of police action are often captured on video and instantly shared globally, has led to an overwhelming sense of vulnerability and anxiety among officers. Several participants noted that the fear of being misrepresented or judged unfairly in viral posts created a sense of hypervigilance, leading them to second-guess their decisions in critical situations. One participant stated, "You're always thinking about the camera, about how something you do might be blown out of proportion and misinterpreted." This quote reflects the heightened stress and constant mental vigilance that officers feel when interacting with the public, as the potential for online backlash looms over them.

The findings align with existing literature that links the psychological toll of social media scrutiny to increased stress and anxiety among officers (A. McLean et al., 2017; L. Miller & Hess, 2020). The participants in this study shared experiences of feeling exhausted from the mental burden of knowing that their actions could be captured at any given moment. As noted by C. White (2014), this constant monitoring creates a fear of negative public perception, which can significantly affect officers' emotional well-being. In the context of antipolice sentiment, officers reported that the stress associated with online criticism often led to emotional fatigue, undermining their ability to perform their duties effectively. The data suggest that this psychological strain is particularly

pronounced when officers are unable to respond directly to false narratives or malicious interpretations of their behavior, which is often the case in the fast-paced, unregulated realm of social media.

The perception of unfair treatment and the emotional impact of being criticized online were recurring themes throughout the study. Officers expressed feelings of disillusionment and frustration with their work, particularly in light of the unrelenting public scrutiny they faced on social media platforms. Many participants described how, over time, the constant barrage of negative commentary contributed to a sense of alienation from the public they serve. The emotional toll of this scrutiny led some officers to experience symptoms of burnout, depression, and increased levels of job dissatisfaction. This finding is consistent with Sampson and Simpson (2016), who assert that antipolice sentiment propagated through social media can lead to disillusionment and deteriorating morale among law enforcement officers. The emotional strain reported by participants can affect officers' engagement levels, ultimately influencing their effectiveness in performing their duties.

Another significant psychological impact discussed by participants was the loss of trust in the public's perceptions of law enforcement. Several officers shared their feelings that despite their best efforts to uphold the law and protect the community, they were frequently misunderstood or demonized based on selective portrayals of police incidents online. One officer described feeling as if the public, particularly those who consume content from critical, antipolice sources, viewed all officers through a lens of suspicion and hostility. This perceived mistrust was particularly demoralizing, as officers felt that

their professional integrity and dedication were often ignored or mischaracterized. The psychological implications of this mistrust were evident, as participants described feelings of exclusion and devaluation, both of which contributed to the mental toll of their profession in the age of social media.

Moreover, the participants discussed how social media could foster a sense of frustration and cynicism within law enforcement. The ability of the public to engage directly with officers on social media often led to back-and-forth arguments and hostile exchanges, particularly when officers tried to defend their actions or clarify public misunderstandings. Officers noted that these interactions, often with individuals who were already entrenched in antipolice sentiment, served to reinforce negative perceptions and escalate tensions, leaving officers feeling disrespected and unsupported. This sense of being under attack by the public, even when the officers themselves felt justified in their actions, contributed to the psychological strain and emotional toll that they experienced daily.

In addition to psychological stress, participants also noted that the mental fatigue caused by social media scrutiny influenced their ability to perform their duties effectively. Several officers expressed concerns that the pressure to conform to a public image and the weight of online scrutiny hindered their ability to engage in effective community policing. Officers indicated that they became more withdrawn in their interactions with the public, fearing that any engagement could lead to misinterpretation or negative exposure on social media. This reluctance to engage with the public is concerning, as it can have long-term consequences for community-police relations and

trust-building efforts. The psychological impact of social media may, therefore, not only affect individual officers but also have a broader effect on policing practices and public safety as a whole.

The theme of officer well-being and the psychological impact of social media thus underscores the complex interplay between social media, mental health, and law enforcement performance. The study findings suggest that while social media can provide a platform for transparency and engagement, it also introduces significant psychological challenges for officers. These challenges—ranging from stress and burnout to emotional fatigue and diminished trust in the public—are critical factors that need to be addressed if law enforcement agencies are to preserve officer well-being and enhance police-community relations. Moving forward, there is a need for supportive strategies, including mental health resources and peer support programs, to help officers cope with the psychological challenges posed by social media scrutiny. Moreover, law enforcement agencies should consider developing comprehensive training programs that equip officers to manage public perceptions, online criticism, and the psychological toll of working in the age of social media.

Theme 4

The final theme explored how the evolving landscape of social media and the growing presence of antipolice sentiment have fundamentally reshaped law enforcement agencies' approach to accountability, transparency, and the development of policy frameworks. The findings from the study suggest that police officers recognize the need for heightened accountability in the age of social media while grappling with the

evolving expectations placed on them by both the public and their own departments. The analysis of this theme provides insight into how officers perceive the changing roles of policy in guiding their actions and protecting both the interests of the department and the public trust.

The primary focus of this theme centers on the perception that social media has become an integral mechanism for both holding officers accountable and providing transparency, particularly when dealing with public scrutiny and criticism. Several participants expressed that while the public demands greater transparency in law enforcement actions, the role of social media often complicates this goal, creating a tension between the expectations of the public and the practical challenges faced by law enforcement agencies. One participant stated, “Social media is a tool for the public to hold us accountable, but sometimes it feels like a weapon used to tear us down. It’s hard to balance transparency and protecting officers.” This sentiment reflects the complexity of accountability in the modern policing environment, where the instantaneous nature of social media often amplifies negative portrayals of police actions, whether accurate or not, creating a cycle of criticism that can damage both officer morale and public trust.

At the heart of Theme 4 is the recognition that accountability in policing is increasingly scrutinized, with social media serving as both a force for positive change and a source of heightened tension. Officers acknowledged that social media’s capacity to share police-related incidents in real-time—whether through BWCs, citizen videos, or media reports—has created a new avenue for transparency. However, the downside of this transparency, as discussed by participants, is the risk of misinterpretation and

misrepresentation of officers' actions, particularly in emotionally charged situations. As noted by several participants, officers' actions can be rapidly scrutinized, often without the full context of the situation being understood by the general public. This reflects the tension identified by Zhao et al. (2015), who argue that while transparency can improve public trust, it can also lead to increased vulnerability for officers in situations where online narratives are formed based on partial or incomplete information.

The policy implications of this theme were significant, as participants highlighted that the role of policies and procedures had evolved in response to the increasing role of social media in public discourse. Officers described the adoption of new social media policies aimed at guiding officer conduct, both in their professional and personal online lives. Policies addressing issues such as the use of force, public statements, and off-duty social media conduct have become more prominent in police departments, as agencies seek to protect both officers and the department's public image. However, some officers expressed concerns that these policies could be too rigid, potentially limiting their autonomy and ability to respond effectively in dynamic situations. One officer noted, "It feels like policies are being created to prevent backlash rather than support us in our work. There's a fine line between transparency and micromanagement." This perspective suggests that while policy changes are necessary to adapt to the growing influence of social media, officers may perceive these changes as overbearing, particularly when policies are designed to mitigate external criticism rather than address the underlying challenges officers face in the field.

In terms of accountability, officers pointed out the crucial role that BWCs and other forms of evidence capture play in enhancing both transparency and accountability. Many participants agreed that BWCs serve as a useful tool in documenting interactions with the public and ensuring that officers adhere to departmental policies. However, they also acknowledged that video footage can be selectively interpreted or manipulated by those with an agenda, further complicating the relationship between accountability and social media. The participants' reflections align with the literature on accountability in policing (Fyfe & Williams, 2019), which suggests that while BWCs offer valuable documentation of police-citizen interactions, they cannot entirely replace the need for nuanced understanding of complex encounters or the need for officers to be held accountable based on context rather than isolated video footage.

The tension between transparency and privacy was another significant issue highlighted by the participants. While officers generally supported the idea of being held accountable through the use of BWCs and public scrutiny via social media, there were concerns about the balance between public transparency and individual privacy rights. Officers expressed reservations about being exposed to unwarranted personal attacks or privacy violations as a result of online interactions that were often disconnected from the broader context of the incident. Some participants felt that the public's increasing expectations of transparency on social media placed them in situations where their personal lives and professional integrity were at risk of being unfairly attacked. This echoes concerns raised by Kraska (2016), who argues that the intense pressure for

policing transparency can infringe upon officers' rights and create ethical dilemmas about the degree to which personal information should be accessible to the public.

In the context of evolving policies, it was clear that social media has become a critical factor in shaping how law enforcement agencies approach policing strategies, training, and accountability measures. Participants noted that, as social media usage grows, law enforcement agencies must continue to adapt their policies to address the rapid spread of information and ensure that officers are properly supported when engaging with the public both on and offline. This ongoing policy development is critical for maintaining a balance between public accountability and officer protection, particularly in an era of heightened scrutiny.

Finally, the study suggests that social media policies should not only address the external pressures faced by officers but also consider the internal dynamics within police departments. Participants highlighted that policies designed to protect officers from the negative psychological effects of social media scrutiny could be as important as those meant to address public perceptions. Training programs aimed at helping officers navigate the emotional impact of online criticism and fostering emotional resilience could help mitigate the negative psychological effects associated with social media while reinforcing the importance of accountability and ethical conduct in the digital age.

Limitations of the Study

I examine the limitations of this study in light of the findings and their implications. Despite the rich, qualitative data collected through in-depth interviews, several limitations must be acknowledged to contextualize the results and inform future

research in this area. These limitations include the sample size, geographical scope, lack of gender diversity, and the potential for researcher bias, all of which could have influenced the comprehensiveness and generalizability of the study. The most prominent limitation of this study was the sample size. As discussed throughout the dissertation, only ten participants participated in the study. While the qualitative nature of the research allowed for an in-depth exploration of participants' perspectives, the small sample size restricts the ability to generalize the findings to a larger population of law enforcement officers. Although data saturation was reached and thematic depth was achieved, a broader sample would have provided additional insights into the experiences of a more diverse group of officers. Future studies should aim for a larger sample size to enhance the robustness of the findings and improve the generalizability of the results.

Another limitation of the study was its geographical scope. Participants were recruited from a single region, which may have influenced their experiences and perceptions regarding antipolice sentiment and the role of social media. Law enforcement practices and public sentiment can vary significantly depending on geographic location, regional culture, and the specific sociopolitical climate of a given area. As a result, the findings from this study may not fully reflect the diverse challenges faced by law enforcement officers in different regions of the country. Future research should consider expanding the geographical scope to encompass a more varied array of participants from different locations, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of how antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier manifest across diverse contexts.

A limitation that emerged during the study and was not initially anticipated in Chapter 1 was the lack of female participation. Despite efforts to recruit more female officers, only three female participants were included in the final sample. This amount is noteworthy because female officers may have unique perspectives on how social media influences public perceptions and their daily experiences in the field. Gendered experiences, including how female officers may be more or less affected by antipolice sentiment and the influence of social media, were not explored due to the limited female participants. This gap in the sample is a significant limitation, as it constrains the study's ability to fully understand the gendered dimensions of policing in the age of social media. Future research should prioritize the inclusion of female officers to explore potential differences in how they perceive and experience these dynamics.

Last, researcher bias remains a potential limitation of this study, despite efforts to mitigate it. While member-checking was utilized to ensure the accuracy of the participants' responses and to confirm the validity of the interview data, the process of interpreting and coding qualitative data inevitably involves a degree of subjectivity. The researcher's personal background, professional experience, and inherent biases could have influenced the interpretation of the findings, even with careful efforts to remain objective. The use of triangulation, such as involving multiple coders or employing a peer debriefing process, could help reduce the potential for bias in future studies. Moreover, increased attention to maintaining researcher neutrality during data analysis could further enhance the rigor of qualitative research in this field.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and limitations of this study, several recommendations for future research on the perceptions of law enforcement officers regarding antipolice sentiment and the role of social media as a force multiplier are proposed. These recommendations aim to expand the understanding of this complex issue by addressing the study's limitations and exploring under researched areas that may provide valuable insights for law enforcement agencies and policymakers.

Expanded Sample Size

A primary recommendation is to increase the sample size in future studies. Although the six participants in this study provided valuable insights, a larger and more diverse sample would enhance the generalizability and validity of the findings. A larger sample would also allow for a more comprehensive analysis of varying perspectives within law enforcement, considering different ranks, experiences, and geographical backgrounds. Expanding the sample size could also lead to more nuanced understandings of how antipolice sentiment manifests across different segments of law enforcement, including different departments, regions, and policing models.

Broadened Geographic Scope

Another key recommendation is to broaden the geographic scope of future research. This study focused on a specific region, which may limit the diversity of experiences related to social media and antipolice sentiment. Public perceptions of law enforcement can vary significantly based on regional political climates, crime rates, community-police relationships, and departmental policies. By expanding the geographic

reach, future studies could capture a broader range of perspectives, providing a more representative understanding of how social media acts as a force multiplier in different regions. This would also allow for comparative analyses between areas with differing levels of antipolice sentiment.

More Gender Diversity in the Sample

As this study did not include female officers, a key recommendation for future research is to ensure the inclusion of a more gender-diverse sample. Female officers may experience social media dynamics and antipolice sentiment differently due to gendered expectations and societal perceptions of women in policing. By incorporating a more diverse sample, researchers can better understand the ways in which gender impacts officers' experiences and perceptions, particularly regarding how social media amplifies or diminishes these dynamics. Future research should prioritize gender diversity to provide a more holistic view of law enforcement officers' experiences in the digital age.

Investigation of Other Research Topics

The Intersectionality of Social Media and Officer Identity

Building upon the previous recommendation, future research should explore the intersectionality of social media and officer identity in more depth. Officers' perceptions of antipolice sentiment and their experiences on social media may be shaped not only by gender but also by race, ethnicity, rank, and other aspects of their identity. Understanding how these factors interact could reveal important insights into how different officer identities experience and respond to public sentiment and the broader implications of social media's role in law enforcement. This approach would contribute to a deeper

understanding of the multifaceted impacts of social media on officers' psychological well-being, professional conduct, and community relations.

The Psychological Impact of Social Media

Future researchers should delve deeper into the psychological impact of social media on law enforcement officers. Although this study highlighted the emotional toll that antipolice sentiment and online interactions may have on officers, further exploration of mental health outcomes is needed. Future studies could examine the prevalence of issues such as stress, anxiety, burnout, and depression among officers who are regularly exposed to negative portrayals on social media. Additionally, understanding the long-term effects of social media engagement on officers' well-being could provide critical insights for developing targeted support systems, training programs, and interventions within law enforcement agencies.

The Role of Training and Policy Development

Finally, another important recommendation is to explore the effectiveness of training programs and policy development in addressing the challenges posed by social media and antipolice sentiment. This study touched on the evolving role of policy and accountability, but future research could investigate how law enforcement agencies are adapting their policies to navigate the digital age. Research could focus on how agencies are incorporating social media literacy into training programs for officers, educating them on managing public perceptions, managing negative interactions, and maintaining professionalism online. Additionally, evaluating the impact of such policies on officer

behavior, community trust, and department culture would be a valuable area for future exploration.

Longitudinal Studies on Social Media's Role in Law Enforcement

Given the dynamic nature of social media and its rapid evolution, a longitudinal approach to future studies is recommended. Long-term research could track changes in officer perceptions and behavior over time, examining how officers' interactions with social media evolve and the long-term impact of antipolice sentiment. This would allow researchers to capture shifts in public attitudes, officer responses to online criticism, and how law enforcement agencies adjust their strategies over.

Implications

The findings of this study hold significant implications for law enforcement agencies, policymakers, and scholars alike. By exploring the perceptions of law enforcement officers regarding antipolice sentiment and the role of social media as a force multiplier, this study contributes to a growing body of literature on the intersection between modern policing practices and the digital age. The insights garnered from this research offer valuable implications for how law enforcement agencies can better understand and address the challenges posed by social media in the context of public sentiment and officer well-being. Additionally, these implications extend to the development of policies, training programs, and support systems aimed at mitigating the negative impacts of antipolice sentiment while fostering positive community relations.

Law Enforcement Agencies and Practices

The study underscores the need for law enforcement agencies to reconsider their approaches to social media engagement and to recognize it as a crucial aspect of modern policing. The role of social media in shaping public perception of law enforcement is undeniable, and the findings suggest that officers' experiences on digital platforms significantly influence both their professional conduct and emotional well-being. As such, law enforcement agencies must prioritize the development of social media policies that provide clear guidelines on how officers should navigate online interactions while maintaining professionalism and public trust. These policies should focus not only on managing negative interactions but also on utilizing social media as a tool for community engagement and transparency.

Officer Well-Being and Support

The psychological toll of antipolice sentiment and social media engagement on law enforcement officers is a critical finding of this study. Officers reported experiencing emotional distress, anxiety, and feelings of dehumanization as a result of online criticism and negative portrayals of law enforcement. This highlights the urgent need for mental health support systems and resilience training within police departments. Law enforcement agencies should consider integrating mental health programs that specifically address the unique challenges officers face in the digital age. Providing officers with resources to cope with the emotional impact of social media interactions could enhance their overall well-being and improve their ability to perform their duties effectively.

Public Perception and Community Relations

The findings of this study suggest that antipolice sentiment on social media plays a significant role in shaping public perceptions of law enforcement. While some officers viewed social media as a tool for accountability and transparency, others expressed concerns about the negative impact it had on community relations. This dual role of social media—as both a tool for increasing transparency and a catalyst for public mistrust—implies the need for a balanced approach to online communication. Law enforcement agencies must find ways to use social media proactively to engage with the public, share positive stories, and foster trust, while simultaneously addressing the negative narratives that often emerge online. Community policing efforts that emphasize openness and dialogue can help mitigate the divisiveness fostered by negative online sentiment, promoting a more collaborative relationship between law enforcement and the communities they serve.

Policy Development and Training

As highlighted by the findings, there is a pressing need for policy development and training that reflects the evolving role of social media in law enforcement. Policymakers and law enforcement leaders must recognize the importance of incorporating social media literacy into training programs for officers. Such training should focus on understanding the implications of social media on their professional lives, as well as strategies for effectively managing online interactions. Additionally, policies should be developed to address the potential risks associated with online exposure, including safeguarding officer privacy and ensuring they are not unduly

affected by negative online sentiment. These policy adaptations will better equip officers to navigate the digital landscape while maintaining public trust and safeguarding their mental health.

Future Research

This study provides a foundation for further research into the complex relationship between social media, antipolice sentiment, and law enforcement. Future studies could explore the impact of social media on officer decision making, public trust, and community engagement in more depth. Additionally, investigating the role of gender, race, and ethnicity in shaping officers' experiences with social media could offer valuable insights into the intersectionality of social media dynamics within law enforcement. Longitudinal studies would also be beneficial to examine how the relationship between law enforcement officers and social media evolves over time, particularly in light of rapidly changing digital platforms and public sentiment.

Broader Societal Implications

Finally, the findings of this study underscore the transformative role that social media plays in shaping law enforcement practices, public perception, and institutional accountability. At a deeper level, these implications point to a cultural shift wherein traditional boundaries between public and private spheres, law enforcement authority, and civic oversight are increasingly mediated through digital platforms. This study reveals that the intensification of antipolice sentiment—often fueled by misinformation or emotionally charged narratives—can erode institutional trust and community legitimacy in ways that were previously unimaginable.

Democratic Stability

Public confidence in law enforcement is foundational to the legitimacy of democratic governance (Tyler, 2006). When that confidence is undermined by viral incidents—regardless of context or accuracy, this not only affects officer morale but threatens broader systems of law and order. A digitally driven erosion of public trust may lead to disengagement with law enforcement, decreased community cooperation, and elevated tensions between marginalized populations and police agencies. This phenomenon calls for reimagined strategies that merge digital literacy, community policing, and trust restoration.

Technological Ethics and Platform Governance

The amplification of antipolice sentiment through social media raises ethical questions for platform design and algorithmic responsibility. The findings suggest a growing need for legislative and platform-level reforms that address how digital content is curated, shared, and fact-checked. Law enforcement leaders must become stakeholders in national conversations about platform accountability and digital civility.

Institutional Reform and Training

This research directly supports the development of training programs focused on emotional resilience, media navigation, and narrative management. Law enforcement professionals must be equipped with psychological tools to process online criticism and misinformation without compromising their well-being or professional conduct. Organizational policies should address not only tactical policing in physical environments but also strategic communication and digital representation.

Community Advocacy and Dialogue

Finally, the implications are not limited to internal law enforcement operations. Community advocacy groups must use these findings to push for balanced discourse and shared accountability. Constructive social media engagement campaigns, digital town halls, and partnerships with law enforcement agencies can help reshape narratives and foster more nuanced understanding across community lines.

Conclusion

In this qualitative study, I explored the perceptions of law enforcement officers regarding the role of antipolice sentiment and social media as a force multiplier in modern policing. I sought to understand how law enforcement officers perceive the effects of social media on their professional experiences, their emotional well-being, and their interactions with the public, particularly in light of the growing influence of social media in shaping public discourse about law enforcement practices. Through the perspectives of the 10 participants, this research has provided valuable insights into the dual role that social media plays in both enhancing and challenging law enforcement efforts in an increasingly digitized society.

The findings suggest that while social media presents significant opportunities for law enforcement, including enhancing transparency, improving community engagement, and increasing accountability, it also exacerbates challenges related to antipolice sentiment and misinformation. Officers in this study reported experiencing heightened stress and anxiety due to the negative portrayals of law enforcement on social media platforms, underscoring the psychological toll that such public discourse can have on

officers' mental health and job satisfaction. At the same time, participants highlighted the potential for social media to act as a force multiplier in facilitating real-time communication, information dissemination, and increased visibility for police actions, especially when used strategically.

The study's findings further emphasize that social media's dual-edged nature necessitates careful navigation by law enforcement agencies. Social media platforms can serve as powerful tools for community policing, but they also expose officers to public scrutiny and potential misinformation that can distort public perceptions of police work. Law enforcement agencies must therefore strike a balance between leveraging the benefits of social media for community outreach and public relations while safeguarding officers from the negative effects of online backlash and unverified narratives. This study also illuminated the importance of developing policies and training programs that help officers manage the psychological and professional challenges posed by social media interactions.

Moreover, the study revealed the need for an evolving approach to law enforcement policies, one that addresses the role of accountability, transparency, and privacy in the digital age. As public perceptions of law enforcement become increasingly shaped by social media discourse, law enforcement leaders must continue to adopt policies and strategies that not only protect law enforcement officers but also foster trust and collaboration between police agencies and the communities they serve. The psychological impact on law enforcement officers, particularly related to stress, burnout, and mental health, warrants urgent attention. The study's findings point to the critical

need for mental health support systems within law enforcement agencies that specifically address the emotional toll of working in an environment where social media serves as both a source of engagement and a catalyst for public distrust. Future research should expand on these findings, examining more deeply the long-term psychological effects of social media on law enforcement professionals and the development of resilience-building programs to address these issues.

The implications of this study are wide-ranging, not only for law enforcement agencies but also for policymakers, mental health professionals, and community leaders. Policymakers must develop strategies to counter the negative consequences of antipolice sentiment on social media while ensuring that the voices of law enforcement officers are adequately represented in online discussions. Mental health professionals working with law enforcement should consider incorporating social media-related stressors into their therapeutic practices. Lastly, community leaders must continue to engage in open dialogues about the challenges facing law enforcement in the digital age, fostering a more nuanced understanding of police work and public perceptions.

In conclusion, the study underscores the importance of understanding the complex relationship between social media, antipolice sentiment, and law enforcement officers' perceptions. Although social media provides opportunities for greater community engagement and transparency, it also presents significant challenges related to misinformation and psychological well-being. The findings from this study contribute to a deeper understanding of how law enforcement officers are navigating the pressures of public scrutiny, and they provide actionable recommendations for future research, policy

development, and practice in this area. Ultimately, addressing these challenges will require a concerted effort from law enforcement agencies, policymakers, and the public to create a more supportive, transparent, and resilient policing environment in the digital era.

References

Agnew, R. (1992). Foundation for a general strain theory of crime and delinquency. *Criminology*, *30*(1), 47–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.1992.tb01093.x

Agnew, R. (2001). Building on the foundation of general strain theory: Specifying the types of strain most likely to lead to crime and delinquency. *Journal of Research on Crime and Delinquency*, *38*(4), 319–361. https://doi.org/10.1177/00224278010380040

Agnew, R., & DeLisi, M. (2012). General strain theory, the criminal justice system, and beyond: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, *40*(3), 174–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2012.01.002

Anderson, M., & Jiang, J. (2018, May 31). *Teens, social media, and technology, 2018*. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/

Bain, A., Robinson, B. K., & Conser, J. (2014). Perceptions of policing: Improving communication in local communities. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, *16*(4), 267–276. https://doi.org/10.1350/ijps.2014.16.4.345

Banks, C. (2013). *Criminal justice ethics: Theory and practice* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Barbot, O. (2020). George Floyd and our collective moral injury. *American Journal of Public Health*, *110*(9), 1253. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305850

Baskin-Sommers, A., Simmons, C., Conley, M., Chang, S.-A., Estrada, S., Collins, M., Pelham, W., Beckford, E., Mitchell-Adams, H., Berrian, N., Tapert, S. F., Gee, D. G., & Casey, B. J. (2021). Adolescent civic engagement: Lessons from Black Lives Matter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(41), Article e2109860118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109860118

Berzoff, J., Flanagan, L M.., & Hertz, P. (2008). *Psychodynamic clinical theory and psychology in contemporary multicultural contexts* (2nd ed.). Jason Aronson.

Bishopp, S., & Boots, D. P. (2014). General strain theory, exposure to violence, and suicide ideation among police officers: A gendered approach. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, *42*(6), 538–548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2014.09.007

Blake, D. M., & Lafond, B. (2017). De-policing in America: The effects of media and leadership on officers' discretionary enforcement. Law Enforcement Executive Forum, 17(1), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.19151/leef.2017.1701c

Boyd, d. m., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13(1), 210–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00393.x

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brezina, T. (2017). General strain theory. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264079.013.249

Brodkin, E. Z. (2012). Reflections on street-level bureaucracy: Past, present, and future. *Public Administration Review*, *72*(6), 940–949. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02657.x

Brodkin, E. Z. (2016). Street-level organizations and the welfare state: The case of the U.S. disability insurance program. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 26(4), 683–692. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muv031

Bruns, A. (2012). Blogs, Twitter, and breaking news: The produsage of citizen journalism. Digital Formations, 78. Peter Lang.

Bunting, A. M., & Stamatel, J. (2019). Exploring geospatial characteristics of hashtag activism in Ferguson, Missouri: An application of social disorganization theory. *Geoforum*, *104*, 55–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2019.06.008

Bureau of Justice Statistics. (2021). Federal law enforcement officers, 2020 – Statistical tables (NCJ 304529). U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. https://bjs.ojp.gov/library/publications/federal-law-enforcement-officers-2020-statistical-tables

Calvert, C. (2020). Hate speech, "true threats," and the First Amendment: An overview for a new era of political and social conflict. Cambridge University Press.

Campbell, B. A., Nix, J., ^ Maguire, E. R. (2017). Is the number of citizens fatally shot by police increasing in the post-Ferguson era? *Crime & Delinquency*, *64*(3), 398–420. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011128716686343

Carr, C. T., & Hayes, R. A. (2015). Social media: Defining, developing, and divining. Atlantic Journal of Communication, 23(1), 46–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/15456870.2015.972282

Chaney, C., & Robertson, R. V. (2013). Racism and police brutality in America. *Journal of African American Studies*, *17*(4), 480–505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12111-013-9246-5

Chermak, S. M., & Gruenewald, J. (2020). The impact of social media on public perceptions of law enforcement. Journal of Criminal Justice, 66, 101650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2020.101650

Coman, C., Rezeanu, C.-I., Mihalte, S.-I., & Kiss, R. (2018). Highlighting the image of the local government institutions in mass media based on the results of the content analysis. The Juridical Current, 72, 39–56. https://ideas.repec.org/a/pmu/cjurid/v72y2018p39-56.html

Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2014). *Business research methods* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Davich, J. (2020). Police officers share feelings about brutality behind the badge: 'We failed George Floyd. We have to do better.' *Chicago Tribune (Online)*. https://www.chicagotribune.com/2020/06/04/police-officers-share-feelings-about-brutality-behind-the-badge-we-failed-george-floyd-we-have-to-do-better/

Denef, S., Kaptein, N., Bayerl, P. S., & Ramirez, L. (2012). Best *practice in police social media adaptation*. Erasmus Research Institute of Management. https://repub.eur.nl/pub/40562

Dirikx, A., & Van den Bulck, J. (2014). Media use and the process-based model for police cooperation: An integrative approach toward explaining adolescents' intentions to cooperate with the police. *British Journal of Criminology*, *54*(2), 344–365. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azt063

Edison Research. (n.d.). Reach of leading social media and networking sites used by teenagers and young adults in the United States as of February 2017. *Statista*. https://www.statista.com/statistics/199242/social-media-and-networkingsites-used-by-us-teenagers/

Edison Research. (2018). Percentage of United States population with a social media profile from 2008 to 2018. *Statista*. https://www.statista.com/statistics/273476/percentage-of-us-population-with-asocial-network-profile/

Elliott, S. N., Kratochwill, T. R., Littlefield Cook, J., & Travers, J. (2000). *Educational psychology: Effective teaching*, *effective learning* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill College.

Enikolopov, R., Petrova, M., & Zhuravskaya, E. (2020). Political effects of the internet and social media. *Annual Review of Economics*, *12*(1), 415–438. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-081919-050239

Ferguson, C. J., Muñoz, M. E., Garza, A., & Galindo, M. (2014). Concurrent and prospective analyses of peer, television and social media influences on body dissatisfaction, eating disorder symptoms and life satisfaction in adolescent girls. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *43*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-012-9898-9

Fong, T. P. (2002). *The contemporary Asian American experience: Beyond the model minority*. Pearson College Division.

Freelon, D., McIllwain, C., & Clark, M. (2016). Beyond the hashtags: # Ferguson, Black Lives Matter and the online struggle for offline justice. *Center for Media & Social Impact*, *American University*, *Forthcoming*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2747066

Fyfe, J. J., & Williams, P. R. (2019). The impact of body-worn cameras on police accountability and public trust. *Journal of Policing*, *36*(2), 142–155.

Galovski, T., Peterson, Z., Beagley, M., Strasshofer, D., Held, P., & Fletcher, T. (2016). Exposure to violence during Ferguson protests: Mental health effects for law enforcement and community members. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *29*(4), 283–292. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22105

Gillespie, T. (2018). Custodians of the internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media. Yale University Press.

Goffman, E. (2020). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Penguin.

Goldsmith, A. (2015). Disgrace book policing: Social media and the rise of police indiscretion. *Policing and Society*, *25*(3), 249–267. https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2013.864653

Graziano, L. M., & Gauthier, J. F. (2018). Media consumption and perceptions of police legitimacy. *Policing: An International Journal*, *41*(5), 593–607. https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-12-2016-0177

Greene, J. R. (2007). Make police oversight independent and transparent. *Criminology & Public Policy*, *6*(4), 747–754. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9133.2007. 00477.x.

Gronvall, B. (2020). *Social media and the perception of policing: Understanding the role of digital platforms in law enforcement* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland].

Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? Field Methods, 18(1), 59–82. https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903

Hannah, G., O'Hara, K., & Lemon, J. (2021). The digital threat: Cybersecurity and law enforcement in the age of social media. *Policing & Society*, *31*(2), 231–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2021.1872934

Harder, R. A., Sevenans, J., & Van Aelst, P. (2017). Intermedia agenda setting in the social media age: How traditional players dominate the news agenda in election times. *International Journal of Press/Politics*, *22*(3), 275–293. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161217704969

Harpold, J. A., & Feemster, S. L. (2002). Negative influences of police stress. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, *71*(9), 1–7. https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/fbileb71&div=58&id=&page=

Harrison, P. (2015). Former Denver police chief says police are afraid to do their jobs. *The Denver Channel*. http://www.thedenverchannel.com/news/former-chief-denverpolice-afraid-to-do-their-jobs

Hockin, S. M., & Brunson, R. K. (2018). The revolution might not be televised (but it will be lived streamed) future directions for research on police–minority relations. *Race and Justice*, *8*(3), 199–215. https://doi.org/10.1177/2153368716676320

Holliday, A. (2007). Response to 'ELT and "the spirit of the times"'. *ELT journal*, *61*(4), 360–366. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm054

Hupe, P., Hill, M., & Buffat, A. (2016). *Understanding street-level bureaucracy*. Policy Press.

Hutchinson, B. (2020). It's a tough place to be in right now: US police face scrutiny and violence amid angry George Floyd protests. *ABC News*. https://abcnews.go.com/US/tough-place-now-us-police-face-scrutiny-violence/story?id=70994492

Hwang, H., & Kim, K. O. (2015). Social media as a tool for social movements: The effect of social media use and social capital on intention to participate in social movements. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *39*(5), 478–488. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12221

Intravia, J., Wolff, K. T., & Piquero, A. R. (2018). Investigating the effects of media consumption on attitudes toward police legitimacy. *Deviant Behavior*, *39*(8), 963–980. https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2017.1343038

Janneta, J., & Beiler, S. (2015). Policing 2016. To deliver safety, police need legitimacy and accountability. *Urban*. https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication-72951/2000511-Policing-2016-To-Deliver-Safety-Police-Need-Legitimacy-and-Accountability.pdf

Jetelina, K. K., Molsberry, R. J., Gonzalez, J. R., & Johnston, S. (2021). Law enforcement officer stress: The role of perceived media hostility and public rejection. *Policing: An International Journal*, *44*(3), 475–491. https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJ-03-2021-0045

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. Business Horizons, 53(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003

Kirkinis, K., Pieterse, A. L., Martin, C., Agiliga, A., & Brownell, A. (2021). Racism, racial discrimination, and trauma: A systematic review of the social science literature. *Ethnicity & Health*, *26*(3), 392–412. https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.2018.1514453

Kirsch, G. (2020). *Safeguarding digital democracy in the US*. American Institute of Stress.

Knight, H. E., Deeny, S. R., Dreyer, K., Engmann, J., Mackintosh, M., Raza, S., Stafford, M., Tesfaye, R., & Steventon, A. (2021). Challenging racism in the use of health data. *The Lancet*, *3*(3), 144–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(21)00019-4

Kraska, P. B. (2016). The transparency paradox: Social media, accountability, and law enforcement. *Policing and Society*, *26*(3), 312–328.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.

Lipsky, M. (2010). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public service*. Russell SAGE Foundation.

Marier, C. J., & Fridell, L. A. (2020). Demonstrations, demoralization, and de-policing. *Criminology & Public Policy*, *19*(3), 693–719. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12492

Marzano, L., Smith, M., Long, M., Kisby, C., & Hawton, K. (2016). Police and suicide prevention: Evaluation of a training program. *Crisis: The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention*, *37*(3), 194–204. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227

McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *36*(2), 176–187. https://doi.org/10.1086/267990

McGlaughlin, E. (2015). We're not seeing more police shootings, just more news coverage. *Fox*. https://www.fox17online.com/2015/04/21/were-not-seeing-more-police-shootings-just-more-news-coverage

McLean, A., Fox, D., & Chaffee, R. (2017). Impact of social media on officer stress: A review of the literature. *Policing and Society*, *27*(3), 276–292.

McLean, K., Wolfe, S. E., Rojek, J., & Alpert, G. P. (2020). The role of organizational justice and stress in predicting officer attitudes toward body-worn cameras. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *47*(9), 1060–1081. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854820920563

Meares, T., Tyler, T. R., & Gibson, C. (2017). The role of social media in community-police relations: Lessons from the Baltimore protests. *Journal of Law and Social Policy*, *26*(2), 58–73.

Meijer, A., & Thaens, M. (2013). Social media strategies: Understanding the differences between Twitter and Facebook use by the Dutch police. *Government Information Quarterly*, *30*(4), 343–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2013.05.023

Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). Stimulus. In *Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary*. https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/stimulus

Miller, L., & Hess, K. (2020). Policing in the digital age: The impact of social media on law enforcement practice and policy. *Journal of Police Science*, *36*(1), 45–62.

Miller, T., Birch, M., Mauthner, M., & Jessop, J. (Eds.). (2012). *Ethics in qualitative research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Morrow, S. (2005). Quality and trustworthiness in qualitative research in counseling psychology. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *52*(2), 250–260. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.52.2.250

Nalla, M. K. (2009). Democratic policing: A comparison of police officers' perceptions of their role and functions in transitional societies. *Journal of Criminal Justice and Security*, *11*(4), 520–535. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237119969_Democratic_Policing_a_Comparison_of_Police_Officers'_Perceptions_of_their_Role_and_Functions_in_Transitional_Societies

National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1979). The Belmont Report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research (DHEW Publication No. (OS) 78-0012). U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/belmont-report/read-the-belmont-report/index.html

Nix, J., & Pickett, J. T. (2020). Third-person perceptions, hostile media effects, and policing: Developing a theoretical framework for evaluating media influence. *Criminology & Public Policy*, *19*(2), 521–550. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12489

Nix, J., Pickett, J. T., Baek, H., & Alpert, G. P. (2019). Police research, officer surveys, and response rates. *Policing and Society*, *29*(5), 530–550. https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2017.1394300

Nix, J., & Wolfe, S. E. (2020). The impact of negative publicity on police self-legitimacy. *Justice Quarterly*, *37*(4), 736–758. https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2019.1679867

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *16*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847

O'Brien, S. (2019). Social media and policing: Examining the effects of online platforms on police operations and public perception. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, *34*(2), 223–237.

Papacharissi, Z. (2015). Affective publics: Sentiment, technology, and politics. Oxford University Press.

Papazoglou, K., & Andersen, J. P. (2014). A guide to understanding and addressing police stress. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, *29*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-013-9136-7

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Peck, J. (2015). Minority perceptions of the police: A state-of-the-art review. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*, *38*(1), 173–203. https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-01-2015-0001

Perry, M. M., Moule, R. K., & Dario, L. M. (2019). Technology-mediated exposure to police-citizen encounters: A quasi-experimental assessment of consequences for citizen perceptions. *Justice Quarterly*, *36*(3), 412–436. https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2017.1374435

Police shootings database 2015–2024: Search by race, age, department. (n.d.). The Washington Post. Retrieved May 29, 2025, from https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/investigations/police-shootings-database/

Qureshi, H., Lambert, E. G., & Frank, J. (2019). The relationship between stressors and police job involvement. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, *21*(1), 48–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461355719832621

Reisach, U. (2021). The responsibility of social media in times of societal and political manipulation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *Elsevier*, *291*(3), 906–917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2020.09.020

Reuter, C., & Kaufhold, M. A. (2018). Fifteen years of social media in emergencies: A retrospective review and future directions for crisis informatics. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, *26*(1), 41–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12196

Riley, E., & Peterson, C. (2020). Black Lives Matter: Assessing the role of racial resentment in feelings toward #BLM. *National Review of Black Politics*, *1*(4), 496–515. https://doi.org/10.1525/nrbp.2020.1.4.496

Roberts, C. M. (2010). *The dissertation journey: A practical and comprehensive guide to planning*, *writing*, *and defending your dissertation*. Corwin Press.

Rosenbaum, D. P., Graziano, L. M., Stephens, D. W., & Schuck, A. M. (2020). Social media policies in policing: The gap between policy and practice. *Policing: An International Journal*, *43*(3), 456–472. https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJ-06-2019-0092

Rothman, N. C. (2014, October). Taking sides in Ferguson: the media's shameful performance. *Commentary Magazine*, (3), 18. https://www.commentary.org/articles/noah-rothman/taking-sides-in-ferguson/

Rouse, M. (2015). Definition of Facebook. *What Is*. https://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/Facebook

Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2005). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data*. SAGE Publications, Inc.

Rudestam, K. E., & Newton, R. R. (2015). *Surviving your dissertation: A comprehensive guide to content and process* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Sampson, J., & Simpson, K. (2016). Engaging the public: How social media can foster trust in police. *Public Administration Review*, *40*(1), 8–12.

Schafer, J. A. (2021). Policing in the social media era: Challenges and opportunities for law enforcement agencies. *Crime*, *Law and Social Change*, *75*(4), 385–407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-021-09952-4

Schleffer, G. (2021). The political effects of social media platforms on different regime types. *Texas National Security Review*. https://tnsr.org/2021/07/the-politicaleffects-of-social-media-platforms-on-different-regime-types/

Silverman, C. (2015). *Lies*, *dam lies and viral content* (Report). Tow Center for Digital Journalism, Columbia University. https://doi.org/10.7916/D8Q81RHH

Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect. Cyber Psychology & Behavior, 7(3), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1089/1094931041291295

Sutherland, J., & Cameron, R. (2015). Employing phenomenology to highlight the richness of the leadership experience. In V. Cassar & F. Bezzina (Eds.), *Proceedings of the European Conference on e-Learning* (pp. 365–373). Academic Conferences and Publishing International Limited.

Tracy, S. J. (2019). *Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence*, *crafting analysis*, *communicating impact*. John Wiley & Sons.

Trottier, D. (2019). Open-source intelligence in policing: Ethical and legal implications of social media surveillance. *Surveillance & Society*, *17*(3/4), 422–437. https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v17i3/4.12038

Tufekci, Z. (2015). Algorithmic harms beyond Facebook and Google: Emergent challenges of computational agency. Colorado Technology Law Journal, 13, 203–218.

Tummers, L. L. G., Bekkers, V., Vink, E., & Musheno, M. (2015). Coping during public service delivery: A conceptualization and systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *25*(4), 1099–1126. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muu056

Turner, A. (2015). Generation Z: Technology and social interest. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, *71*(2), 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1353/jip.2015.0021

Tyler, T. R. (2006). Why people obey the law (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.

Valencia, N. (2015, September 13). Pistol-whipped Alabama detective held fire. *CNN*. https://www.cnn.com/2015/08/13/us/alabama-birmingham-police-detective-pistolwhipped/index.htm

Velden, P., Kleber, R., Grievink, L., & Yzermans, J. (2010). Confrontations with aggression and mental health problems in police officers: The role of organizational stressors, life-events, and previous mental health problems. *Psychological Trauma: Theory*, *Research*, *Practice*, *and Policy*, *2*(2), 135–144. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019158

Verto Analytics. (n.d.). Most popular mobile social networking apps in the United States as of July 2018, by monthly users (in millions). *Statista*. https://www.statista.com/statistics/248074/most-popular-us-social-networkingapps-ranked-by-audience

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, *359*(6380), 1146–1151. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559

Walker, A. (2018). Why the UK needs a social policy on ageing. *Journal of Social Policy*, *47*(2), 253–273. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279417000320

Waters, J. A., & Ussery, W. (2007). Police stress: History, contributing factors, symptoms, and interventions. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*, *30*(2), 169–188. https://doi.org/10.1108/13639510710753199

Weitzer, R. (2002). Incidents of police misconduct and public opinion. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, *30*(5), 397–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2352(02)00150-2

White, C. (2014). The influence of social media on public perception of policing: A literature review. *Journal of Social Media Studies*, *19*(3), 256–272.

White, M. D., & Fradella, H. F. (2022). *Controversies in modern policing: Ethics*, *misconduct*, *and accountability*. Oxford University Press.

Yadav, G. P., & Rai, J. (2017). The Generation Z and their social media usage: A review and a research outline. *Global Journal of Enterprise Information System*, *9*(2), 110–116. https://www.gjeis.com/index.php/GJEIS/article/view/222

Yuan, C., Wang, Z., Inslicht, S. S., McCaslin, S. E., Metzler, T. J., Henn-Haase, C., Apfel, B. A., Tong, H., Neylan, T. C., Fang, Y., & Marmar, C. R. (2011). Protective factors for posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in a prospective study of police officers. *Psychiatry Research*, *188*(1), 45–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.10.034

Zhao, J., He, N., & Lovrich, N. (2015). Public opinion, police legitimacy, and social media: The impact of digital discourse on law enforcement. *Police Quarterly*, *18*(2), 231–258.

Appendix: Interview Guide

The following 20 interview questions delve into how law enforcement professionals perceive social media as a force multiplier:

1. How do law enforcement professionals define social media as a force multiplier in their operations?
2. To what extent do law enforcement agencies use social media to enhance public relations and community engagement?
3. What are the perceived benefits of social media in shaping public opinion about law enforcement activities?
4. How does law enforcement use social media to disseminate information during emergencies and critical incidents?
5. What are the challenges and risks associated with law enforcement agencies using social media for public communication?
6. How do different law enforcement agencies train their personnel in utilizing social media effectively?
7. What role does social media play in improving the transparency and accountability of law enforcement practices?
8. How do law enforcement professionals manage the balance between public safety and individual privacy concerns when using social media?
9. How does social media influence the development and implementation of law enforcement strategies and tactics?
10. What ethical considerations do law enforcement professionals take into

account when using social media as a force multiplier?

11. How does social media impact the speed and accuracy of information dissemination within law enforcement agencies?
12. What are the legal implications of using social media data in law enforcement investigations and operations?
13. How do law enforcement professionals perceive the influence of social media on public trust and confidence in their agencies?
14. What strategies do law enforcement agencies employ to mitigate misinformation and false narratives spread through social media?
15. How does the use of social media affect the interaction between law enforcement officers and the communities they serve?
16. What are the potential psychological effects on law enforcement professionals who engage with social media as part of their duties?
17. How do generational differences among law enforcement personnel influence attitudes toward and use of social media?
18. What are the cybersecurity risks associated with law enforcement agencies using social media platforms for operational purposes?
19. How does social media enhance collaboration and information sharing among different law enforcement agencies?
20. How do cultural and societal factors influence the adoption and perception of social media as a force multiplier in law enforcement?

These questions explore various dimensions of how social media is perceived, utilized, and managed by law enforcement professionals, considering both its advantages and potential drawbacks in shaping public opinion, disseminating information, and influencing law enforcement strategies.