

7-27-2018

# Alternative to Proctoring in Introductory Statistics Community College Courses

Yelena Feinman  
*Walden University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/symposium2018>

---

## Recommended Citation

Feinman, Yelena, "Alternative to Proctoring in Introductory Statistics Community College Courses" (2018). *2018 Program & Posters*.  
23.  
<https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/symposium2018/23>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Symposium at ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2018 Program & Posters by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [ScholarWorks@waldenu.edu](mailto:ScholarWorks@waldenu.edu).

# Alternative to Proctoring in Introductory Statistics Community College Courses

Yelena Feinman, PhD

## Problem

The credibility of **unsupervised online exams**, which is an ongoing concern in higher education, has been mainly maintained by physical and remote proctoring (Malesky et al., 2016; Shute & Rahimi, 2017). However, both forms of proctoring are expensive and inconvenient (Ladyshevsky, 2015; Lee-Post & Hapke, 2017). The disconnect between high demand in online testing and the inability to maintain credibility of unsupervised web-based exams without inconvenient and expensive proctoring constitutes a problem.

## Purpose

The purpose of this quasi-experimental study was to examine whether systematically selected non-biometric security mechanisms can be an effective alternative to proctoring.

## Significance

The results of the study:

- Empirically confirm adequacy of the used theoretical framework
- Add to the body of best practices of secured online assessment
- Can be used by researchers, educators, and administrators for web-based exam implementation.

The use of the selected security mechanisms may allow for:

- Assessing student knowledge in a credible, inexpensive, and convenient way
- Not spending valuable in-class time on testing
- Enhancing viability of online courses.

## Social Change Implications

With the combination of security mechanisms utilized in the study, credibility of web-based exams will be maintained with needed convenience. More students with full-time jobs and family commitments will be able to get degrees. The society will gain more college graduates with a high potential of becoming valuable professionals in their fields.

## Theoretical Framework

The taxonomy of cheating reduction techniques (Varble, 2014) rooted in the **fraud triangle theory** (Cressey, 1950) explains which security mechanisms can substitute for proctoring and why.

The categories of the taxonomy aim to neutralize *need*, *rationalization*, and *opportunity* needed for cheating to occur.

## Relevant Scholarship

### Results of Previous Studies:

- With the use of **no or a few** security mechanisms students performed **better on unproctored exams** (Arnold, 2016; Fask et al., 2015).
- With the use of **several selected** mechanisms **mixed results** were obtained: Students performed better on unproctored exams (Varble, 2014), not significantly different (Beck, 2014; Stack, 2015), or better on proctored exams (Ladyshevsky, 2015).

### Gaps in the Literature

Previous researchers:

- Administered proctored exam in pencil-and-paper form and unproctored in web-based form.
- Studied the course delivery mode effect with small sample size.
- Did not examine the order or instructor effects
- Did not study the combination of the mechanisms utilized in the given investigation.

## Research Questions

When equivalent automatically scored web-based exams with the same security mechanisms are used,

- **RQ1:** What is a relationship between the format, proctored vs. unproctored, and student scores?
- **RQ2:** What is a relationship between the order in which proctored and unproctored exams are administered and student score?
- **RQ3:** What is a relationship between the course delivery mode and student scores?
- **RQ4:** What is a relationship between the instructor and student scores?

## Participants

**Census sample:** all students in web-based introductory statistics courses offered in a suburban community college between Fall 2015-Summer 2017.

**850** students who took at least one study's exam:

- Mean age = 22 (ranged from 14 to 50 years)
- Mean GPA = 3.19
- 704 face-to-face, 91 hybrid, and 55 online students

## Procedures

### One group sequential design

- Each student took two sets of proctored and unproctored exams in a certain sequence. In Set1, proctored exam was followed by unproctored. In Set 2, the order was reversed.

### Security Mechanisms

- Synchronous testing, time restriction, randomization, blocked backtracking, one question per page, and cheating warning statement.

### Data Collection

All exams were part of regular educational practice and had 23 automatically graded items and 70-minute time limit. Six questions were identical across all four exams. The exams were:

- Alternative within each set
- Equivalent between the sets

The content validity and equivalency of the exams were established by experts; reliability and construct validity were tested.

## Analysis

Repeated measures ANOVA was used for RQ1 and RQ2. Mixed factorial ANOVA was used for RQ3 and RQ4

## Findings

### Statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ) findings include:

- In all subgroups, scores were **lower** on **unproctored exam** in Set 2.
- The **order effect** was **significant** in all subgroups, except Ex. time group.
- The **instructor effect** in Set 1
- In UP group, the scores on asynchronous unproctored exam were significantly higher than on synchronous unproctored exam.

## Interpretation

Findings suggest that the **used security mechanisms were effective**.

Forgetting and end of the semester fatigue syndrome may explain lower scores on the unproctored exam.

The instructor effect could occur due to differences in instructors' experiences with web-based testing.

Synchronous testing may be important as a security mechanism.

## Limitations

Possible limitations include:

- Nonrandom assignment into groups with respect to the modes and instructors
- The use of archived data
- Small sample size in Ex. time group.

## Recommendations

### Future Research

- Replicate the study in different institutions and with larger Ex. time group
- Study the order effect with smaller retest interval between the sets ruling out forgetting and end of the semester fatigue effect.
- Study a relationship between instructor experience in web-testing and scores.
- Study a relationship between synchronous and asynchronous unproctored exams and students scores.

### Practical Recommendations

- Incorporate the study's combination of the security mechanisms to improve credibility of web-based exams.

## Acknowledgements

*Thank you to my dissertation committee members, Drs. **Deborah Y. Bauder**, **Wade Smith**, and **Gerald Giraud**.*